



Seattle City Attorney

Thomas A. Carr

Theresa R. Wagner
Senior Assistant City Attorney
206/233-2159

February 20, 2007

Clerk
Environmental Hearings Office
Washington State Pollution Control Hearings Board
4224 Sixth Ave SE, Rowe Six, Bldg. 2
Lacey, WA 98504-0903

cc: Env. Ecology Board
 Review Board

 File File ATG
 ATG Docket Clerk
 Ann Ludlow
Initial: MC 2/21/07

Re: City of Seattle Petition to Intervene
Phase I Municipal Stormwater Permit Appeal, PCHB No P 07-029
Snohomish County v. Dept. of Ecology

To the Clerk of the Board:

Enclosed for consideration by the Board are the original and one copy of the following:

1. City of Seattle's Petition to Intervene
2. Declaration of Chuck Clarke in Support of City of Seattle's Petition to Intervene
3. Declaration of Service

Seattle is a named permittee under the Phase I Municipal Stormwater Permit that is on appeal. Seattle requests that the Petition to Intervene be decided on the City's written submissions, unless Seattle's Petition is contested. If contested, Seattle reserves the right to request by letter that oral argument occur during the Prehearing Conference

Seattle has contacted counsel for the parties to seek stipulation of the parties to intervention by the City of Seattle. Counsel for the Snohomish County have responded that the County consents to intervention by Seattle. We await the response of counsel for Ecology.

Please do not hesitate to contact me by direct line (206/233-2159) or by email (theresa.wagner@seattle.gov) with any questions. Thank you for your assistance

Very truly yours,

THOMAS A. CARR
Seattle City Attorney

By:

Theresa R. Wagner
Senior Assistant City Attorney

Enclosures

cc: Mary Sue Wilson and Ron Lavigne, Counsel for Department of Ecology
Catherine Drews and Elizabeth Anderson, Counsel for Snohomish County

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
STATE OF WASHINGTON

SNOHOMISH COUNTY, a political)	
subdivision of the State of Washington,)	
)	No. P 07-029
Appellant,)	
vs.)	
)	CITY OF SEATTLE'S PETITION TO
WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF)	INTERVENE
ECOLOGY AND ITS DIRECTOR,)	
)	
Respondents)	
)	

The City of Seattle ("Seattle") hereby petitions the Board for intervenor status in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to WAC 371-08-420 and CR 24.

Seattle requests that this Petition to Intervene be decided on the City's written submissions, unless the Petition is contested. If contested, Seattle reserves the right to request by letter that oral argument occur during the Prehearing Conference. Seattle has contacted the parties to learn whether or not this petition is contested and awaits response from Ecology. Snohomish County has consented to intervention by Seattle.

Seattle specifically requests permission to participate in the prehearing conference as an intervenor.

The City of Seattle's contact information for this matter is:



1
2 City of Seattle
3 c/o Seattle Public Utilities Director's Office
4 700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4900
5 P.O. Box 34018
6 Seattle, WA 98124-4018
7 Attention: Chuck Clarke, Director

8
9
10 Phone: (206) 684-5851
11 Fax: (206) 684-4631

12 Seattle is represented by:

13
14 Theresa R. Wagner
15 Senior Assistant City Attorney
16 Seattle City Attorney's Office
17 600 Fourth Ave., 4th Floor
18 P.O. Box 94769
19 Seattle, WA 98124-4769

20
21 Phone: (206) 684-8200
22 Fax: (206) 684-8284

23 STATEMENT OF FACTS

24 The City of Seattle is a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of
25 Washington. Seattle is one of six cities and counties specifically named in and covered by the Phase
26 I Municipal Stormwater Permit, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System and State Waste
27 Discharge General Permit for discharges from Large and Medium Municipal Separate Storm Sewer
28 Systems (the "Permit"), which was issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology
29 ("Ecology") on January 17, 2007. Permit at S1 B.¹

30 ARGUMENT

31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

¹ "The following Cities and Counties are covered under this permit as permittees: 1. The City of Tacoma and the City of Seattle. 2. Clark, King, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties " The Permit is attached as an Exhibit to the Notices of Appeal filed in the above-captioned matters.

1 Seattle requests to intervene in this matter for all purposes. WAC 371-08-420² As a named
2 permittee, Seattle is entitled to intervene as a matter of right pursuant to CR 24(a), because all of the
3 requirements are met: (1) Seattle claims an interest relating to the subject of the action, which is the
4 Permit; (2) disposition of the action may as a practical matter impair or impede Seattle's ability to
5 protect its interest; and (3) Seattle's interest is not adequately represented by the existing parties.
6 The requirements of CR 24(a) are liberally construed to favor intervention. Columbia Gorge
7 Audubon Society v. Klickitat County, 98 Wn. App. 618, 623, 989 P.2d 1260, 1263 (1999). CR
8 24(a) should be interpreted to allow intervention unless it would work a hardship on one of the
9 original parties. Loveless v. Yantis, 82 Wn.2d 754, 759, 513 P.2d 1023, 1026 (1973). In the
10 alternative, Seattle should be permitted to intervene pursuant to CR 24(b) because any claim Seattle
11 may have is based on the subject of the action, which is the Permit.

12 Seattle has an indisputable stake in the future of its own NPDES permit, which is before the
13 Board on appeal. Pertinent facts are stated in the Declaration of Chuck Clarke, filed with this
14 Petition. Seattle is already investing staff time and resources to comply with the Permit. Seattle is
15 concerned that the pending appeal might change the Permit as it relates to Seattle, altering Seattle's
16 expected compliance obligations. The appeal raises important issues about the Permit. If Seattle is
17 not party to the appeal, Seattle will be unreasonably denied the opportunity to participate in briefing,
18 hearings and other proceedings but will be subject to any resulting permit modifications. Therefore,
19 the interests of justice will be served by allowing Seattle to fully participate in the appeal of its
20 permit.

21 _____
22 ² WAC 371-08-420 Intervention.

23 (1) The presiding officer may grant a petition for intervention at any time, upon determining that the
petitioner qualifies as an intervenor pursuant to Civil Rule 24, that the intervention will serve the interests
of justice, and that the prompt and orderly conduct of the appeal will not be impaired.

 (2) The presiding officer may impose conditions upon the intervenor's participation in the
proceedings

1
2 No other party adequately represents Seattle's interests and the needs of Seattle's general
3 public and elected officials. Seattle is the state's largest city and has a more dense and developed
4 urban environment than any other Phase I city or county. Seattle's perspective on the Permit is
5 unique, based on Seattle's characteristics and Seattle Public Utilities' practice of using the principles
6 of Asset Management to consider the financial, social and environmental costs and benefits of many
7 decisions. Decl. of Chuck Clarke at ¶¶ 3-5. The other parties to appeals of the Permit, both
8 permittees and citizen groups, can be expected to diverge from Seattle's interests because of the
9 geographical, organizational, social, and political attributes of each. Although Seattle is in
10 agreement with Ecology's approach to many aspects of the Permit, Ecology's role as regulator
11 inherently distinguishes it from the permittees.


12 Because Seattle's Petition is filed and served within a week after the appeal deadline,
13 intervention will not cause hardship undue delay or prejudice to either appellant or respondent.

14 Seattle respectfully requests that its Petition be granted and that no conditions be placed on
15 Seattle's participation before the Board. A proposed form of order will be provided upon request.

16 DATED this 20th day of February, 2007.

17 THOMAS A. CARR
18 Seattle City Attorney

19
20 By:


Theresa R. Wagner, WSBA #17352
Assistant City Attorney

21 Attorneys for Intervenor City of Seattle
22
23

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
STATE OF WASHINGTON

)	
SNOHOMISH COUNTY, a political)	
subdivision of the State of Washington,)	No P 07-029
)	
Appellant,)	
vs.)	DECLARATION OF CHUCK CLARKE IN
)	SUPPORT OF CITY OF SEATTLE'S
WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF)	PETITION TO INTERVENE
ECOLOGY AND ITS DIRECTOR,)	
)	
Respondents.)	
)	

I, CHUCK CLARKE, declare and state:

1. I am the director of Seattle Public Utilities, which is a department of the City of Seattle, a Washington municipal corporation
2. The City of Seattle is a permittee under the Phase I Municipal Stormwater Permit (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System and State Waste Discharge General Permit for discharges from Large and Medium Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems) (the "Permit") issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology ("Ecology") on January 17, 2007, with an effective date of February 16, 2007.
3. Seattle Public Utilities has been assigned responsibility for coordinating the City of Seattle's compliance with the Permit for the City's "large municipal separate storm sewer system."



1 Seattle Public Utilities is now expending staff time and resources to comply with the Permit.

2 4 Seattle's interests in appeals of the Phase I municipal stormwater permit cannot be
3 represented by any other party. Seattle is the state's most populous and densely-developed city.
4 Seattle is unique among Phase I permittees and the other parties. Seattle's approach to managing
5 stormwater is consistent with a Stormwater Management Program approved by Ecology and suited
6 to the attributes of the City:

7 (a) Most of Seattle was fully developed before modern environmental laws were enacted.

8 Seattle is served by a variety of stormwater infrastructure: piped and informal separate storm
9 sewers, partially separated storm sewers, and combined sewers.

10 (b) In Seattle's stormwater regulatory program, redevelopment is a more prominent issue
11 than new development. The average parcel size in Seattle is very small, approximately 5000
12 sq ft., and the rate of redevelopment is <1% per year.

13 (c) About 25% of Seattle's land mass is in city, state and federal transportation corridors,
14 which poses unusual challenges for stormwater management.

15 (d) Seattle's stormwater system drains to a great variety of receiving waters, including small
16 lakes and urban streams, large lakes (Lake Union and Lake Washington), the Duwamish
17 River, and Puget Sound.

18 (e) Seattle is governed by its unique City Charter as well as state and local laws.

19 5. Seattle Public Utilities is a leader in using Asset Management principles to make
20 well-informed utility decisions about directing resources to stormwater management and other
21 areas of business. "Asset Management" means that Seattle Public Utilities uses a formal
22 internal review process to make well-informed and cost-effective decisions in a transparent
23

1 manner, fully informed of the life-cycle triple bottom line of financial, social and environmental
2 costs and benefits.

3 DATED this 20th day of February, 2007, at Seattle, Washington.

4
5 
6 CHUCK CLARKE
7 Director, Seattle Public Utilities
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
STATE OF WASHINGTON

SNOHOMISH COUNTY, a political)	
subdivision of the State of Washington,)	
)	No. P 07-029
Appellant,)	
vs)	
)	DECLARATION OF SERVICE
WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF)	
ECOLOGY AND ITS DIRECTOR,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

KIM FABEL states and declares as follows:

1. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify in this matter, am a Legal Assistant in the Law Department, Civil Division, Seattle City Attorney's Office, and make this declaration based on my personal knowledge and belief.

2. On February 20, 2007, I filed for the City of Seattle the following documents with the Pollution Control Hearings Board, via legal messenger to 4224 6th Ave. SE, Rowe Six, Bldg. 2, Lacey, WA 98504-0903:

- Cover letter
- City of Seattle's Petition to Intervene
- Declaration of Chuck Clarke in Support of City of Seattle's Petition to Intervene
- Declaration of Service

3. Also on February 20, 2007, I caused true and correct copies of those documents to be delivered in the manner indicated to the parties listed below:



1 Jay Manning, Director
2 Department of Ecology
3 300 Desmond Drive
4 Lacey, WA 98503

Via: Legal Messenger

4 Mary Sue Wilson, Sr. Ass't Attorney General
5 Ron Lavigne, Ass't Attorney General
6 Attorney General of Washington
7 Ecology Division
8 2425 Bristol Court SW
9 P O. Box 40117
10 Olympia, WA 98504-0117
11 *Attorneys for State of Washington, Department of Ecology*


Via: Legal Messenger

9 Catherine Drews
10 Elizabeth Anderson
11 Civil Division
12 Snohomish County Prosecutor's Office
13 3000 Rockefeller, M/S 504
14 Everett, WA 98201-4046
15 Fax: (425)388-6333
16 *Attorneys for Snohomish County*

Via: Facsimile and U.S. Mail

14 4. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that
15 the foregoing is true and correct.

16 DATED this 20th day of February, 2007, at Seattle, King County, Washington.

18 
19

20 KIM FABEL