

**From:** Cindy Alia [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 26, 2012 12:23 PM  
**To:** Wessel, Ann (ECY)  
**Subject:** Dungeness Basin Inflow rules

Anne Wessel,

Please consider the facts in the present inflow rule debate, and include in that consideration the work and efforts expended in the huge amounts of water already saved through the intensive water conservation measures taken by the irrigators. Also please consider that as we are able to make more sophisticated studies with better technology, we are learning that we have far more reserves in water than what was thought in the past. I do not support adoption of the proposed instream flow rule for the Dungeness River. However, if adopted, the rules more than adequately protect the Dungeness basin from the appropriation of its water resources. The proposed rule allows for withdrawals of water, in the form of reservations for future use. Allowing those future uses will keep the river achieving the 180 cfs minimum flow in late summer to sustain fish and the river itself. I urge Ecology to take into consideration the above if a rule and the proposed reservations for future use is adopted. I have loved the land and the people of the Dungeness area all my life and my hope for the future of both is a balance of the harmonious existence of all species, our human brethren as well, many of the people of the area have worked hard to be excellent stewards of the land they love. This is evidenced by the continuing beauty of the lands where people live and work, sharing their bounty with their equally successful and thriving brothers, the salmon.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Alia