

**From:** Beth [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 03, 2012 2:31 PM  
**To:** Wessel, Ann (ECY); Wessel, Ann (ECY)  
**Subject:** Public Comment re public meeting O& Water Rights comment

1. There was inadequate notification of all parties affected for this change. All property holders were NOT notified officially as should have been done on such a drastic proposal.
2. People who have existing wells &/or right to hook up to a community well but have not had the finances to proceed to build and therefore comply with the 5 year arbitrary deadline are penalized without regard to hardship. (Existing permitted wells should be factored into useage without regard to "present" use.)
3. It is unacceptable to have rules/regulations as important as this decided upon by a few rather than by a democratic vote of the property owners.
4. It is preposterous to set in place regulations without any agency presently in place to process any and all mitigation imposed by these policies.
5. Every owner of property affected but unable to meet the IMPOSED deadline will be adversely affected and suffer additional property value decline as a result of this new restriction. In this time of recession hardship, it is inappropriate to enact a rule to further devalue our property.
6. The Open House and Public Hearing for the 6/28/12 meeting AGENDA sheet states the meeting to be about the PROPOSED water resources management rule yet the presentation was NOT this. Indeed, it was presented as a "done deal" with the meeting set only to comply with having a meeting. Is this a "proposal" which may be modified or not?

Florence E. Blay  
[REDACTED]