

From: Aloma Blaylock [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2012 9:36 PM
To: Wessel, Ann (ECY)
Subject: Water rules WRIA 18 East

To Department of Ecology

I have many concerns regarding the proposed rules for WRIA 18 E. Our area has suffered a continuous onslaught of rules and regulations which threaten the use of my land, water, my business, and my financial security. I DO NOT want to destroy or misuse land, water, or air. I DO want to use that which I have purchased on good faith.

When I purchase adjoining land and drill a well, so that my daughter can later move there to help me, these proposed rules would leave her without water, unless mitigation payments are made. These rules are not in effect now, or my actions would have been different. With a private well, if I decide in a few years to grow a garden (as I am encouraged to do to protect clean air) this would be an extra expense and perhaps impossible due to increased water usage. These new rules could make the adjoining land useless, my investment would be useless, and my financial security would be threatened. In two other ways, these rules affect my finances. If private wells become metered, I will have an additional unexpected expense. With other people also having no water on property, which makes it useless, property taxes on my usable property increases. These rules are unacceptable.

I am very disturbed by the expectations of the Department of Ecology. To achieve the river flows, we would need to decrease the current usage substantially. Who gets cut? How did you set the \$20.5 million savings in salmon restoration? Your numbers do not pass the sniff test. A second look is needed.

These rules should be tossed.

Aloma Blaylock
[REDACTED]