

From: Andrew Watkins [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 06, 2012 12:18 PM
To: Wessel, Ann (ECY)
Subject: WRIA 18

I attended the Public Hearing on 6/28/12 in Sequim. The proposed rule, along with the economic and financial data, is to say the least, thin. There seems to be an agenda driven by an ideology that is very narrow in focus. In addition to a series of questionable events concerning the DOE actions, we now have knowledge of a trove of emails that are at least suspect, and need to be fully investigated. before any rule is proposed.

A thorough unbiased investigation as to the scientific merit of your arguments should be initiated, undertaken, and published. Commensurate with an equally unbiased investigation of the economic ramifications of the proposed legislation.

Without the aforementioned studies I believe any proposed legislation will only result in litigation. I would recommend a postponement of your proposed legislation until the scientific and economic merits sustain your views.

Sincerely,

Andrew Watkins, CFP