

	County	City	Transit	Uses	Amount	Authority	Notes
Share of State Fuel Tax	Yes.	Yes.	No.	Any purpose allowed by 18th amendment.	Formula allocation.		
Border Area Fuel Tax	No, except for transportation benefit districts containing a border crossing	Cities, towns within 10 miles of an international border.	No.	Street maintenance and construction.	Up to one cent per gallon	82.47.020	Blaine, Nooksack, Sumas, Pt. Roberts TBD. Blaine 2005 = \$107,604
Commercial Parking Tax	Any county.	Any city.		General transportation.	No rate set	82.80.030	City of Sea-Tac 2005 = \$3,668,067
High Capacity Transit (HCT)			Sound Transit; transit agcy in Clark, Kitsap, Spokane, Thurston and Yakima Counties.	Planning, constructing, operating HCT, commuter rail, and feeder transportation systems.	MVET, employer tax up to \$2/month, sales tax up to 1%	81.104.140-.170	Enacted only by Sound Transit. Thurston transit could raise \$58.5 million in 2007 if imposed.
Local Option HOV Taxes	King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties.			Improve HOV lanes, reduce SOV commuting, support commuter rail.	MVET, employer tax up to \$2/month, sales tax up to 1%	81.100.030, 81.100.060	Not in use.
Local Option Ferry Taxes	County ferry districts.	No.		Acquire and operate ferries.	property tax up to 75 cents per \$1000 assessed value and excess property tax levy	Ch. 36.54 RCW	Not in use.
Passenger-Only Ferry PTBA			Available in Puget Sound area	Acquire and operate ferries.	MVET up to .4%, sales tax up to .4% outside of ST, tolls	36.57A.210	Not in use.
RTID Local Option	King, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties.			Capital construction of highways of statewide significance, and up to 10% of revenue for local arterials.	Sales tax up to .1%, vehicle license fee up to \$100, MVET up to 0.8% parking tax, tolls, local option fuel tax up to 10% of statewide tax	Voter-approved Ch. 36.120 RCW	RTID plan on 11/07 ballot.
Road Improvement District	Limited geographic area.			For specific road improvements.		Vote or petition of affected citizens Ch. 36.88 RCW	
County Rail Districts	Yes	No.	No.	Improved rail freight or passenger service.	Excess property tax levy for one-year period or to retire voter approved GO bonds.	Voter approved. Ch. 36.60 RCW	Not enacted.

	County	City	Transit	Uses	Amount	Authority	Notes
Rural Arterial Grants	Yes.	No.			Competitive program administered by CRAB.		\$16,467,743 (2005 expenditures)
Transportation Improvement Account	Yes	Yes.			Competitive program administered by TIB.		\$38,108,417 (2006 expenditures)
Small City Arterial Program	No.	Yes. Cities under 5,000			Regional competitive program administered by TIB.		
Small City Preservation Program	No.	Yes. Cities under 5,000			Regional competitive program administered by TIB.		
Small City Sidewalk Program	No.	Yes. Cities under 5,000			Regional competitive program administered by TIB.		
Public Works Assistance Account	Yes.	Yes.			Competitive low interest loan program administered by DCTED.	Ch. 43.155 RCW	
Community Economic Revitalization Board	Yes.	Yes.			Competitive low interest loan and grant program for economic development administered by DCTED.	Ch. 43.160. RCW	
SAFETEA-LU Funds					Competitive federal program administered by WSDOT HLP.		
Impact fees	Yes, in GMA planning counties.	Yes, in GMA planning cities.	No.	Public streets and roads, and recreation facilities identified in the capital facilities plan.	The portion of the project cost reasonably related to the demands and needs of new development.	82.02.050 - .100	Impact fees are used in many GMA planning cities and in some GMA planning counties.
SEPA Mitigation Fees or In-Kind	Yes.	Yes.	Yes.	Any public facilities reasonably related to the demands and needs of the specific development.	The portion of the project cost reasonably related to the demands and needs of the specific development.	Chapter 43.21C RCW	