<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Votes</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Coastal/Infrastructure PAWG - Prioritization of Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Objective: Enhance ability of state and coastal communities and ecosystems to prepare/adapt to impacts of SLR and other CC influences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|       | 1    | Overarching recommendations:  
|       |      | Continue multi-party coordination group on topic; continue to clarify roles of agencies and research entities (UW etc) |
|       | 2    | The state should conduct/sponsor education programs for: public, decision makers, and others. |

### Priority Recommended Early Action Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>A-1</th>
<th>Incorporate the best available SLR/CC information into local government GMA and SMP planning, to promote resiliency of ecological systems and communities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A-2</td>
<td>Revise State land use planning and regulation statutes and regulations to inform and effectively address CC/SLR. This would include consideration of revisions to GMA, SMA, SEPA and HPA process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>II-1</td>
<td>Establish sustained monitoring of SLR and its affects in Puget Sound region, modeled after existing State/Federal monitoring program on the WA Pacific Coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A-4</td>
<td>Pursue state funding for pilot projects in vulnerable developed shoreline areas to examine alternatives to reconstructing bulkheads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A-6</td>
<td>Inform property purchasers and investors re: SLR risk that may affect coastal property. Potential mechanisms: RE Disclosure forms, public information on emerging insurance industry response to CC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>Include best available data on SLR in design of coastal facility construction/major repair projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>II-2</td>
<td>Improve mapping and characterization of SLR vulnerability: Create general characterization of SLR vulnerability by all shoreline types.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>Include nearshore areas adjacent to vulnerable coastal habitats for preservation/conservation easement/purchase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>Include SLR in State infrastructure funding program eligibility and requirements. Develop guidance for program requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2 | 6 | A-3 | Reduce liability of government for building in SLR risk areas  
- Risk placed on property owner within hazard area.  
- Include sea level rise disclosure |
| 2 | 7 | A-5 | Utilize FCAAP and EMD Pre-Disaster Multi-hazard Planning to address SLR/CC related risks. |
| 2 | 7 | II-3 | Fund/conduct legal and policy analysis: SLR and ownership/regulation/public access issues. |
| 1 | 8 | B-3 | Cleanup sites: Consider future SLR in design and prioritization of shoreline toxic cleanup sites. |
| 1 | 8 | C-1 | Require consideration of impacts of SLR in habitat restoration, large-scale mitigation and acquisition proposals. Add funding program eligibility and planning requirements. |
| 1 | 8 | C-4 | Include reclamation/habitat improvement opportunities in long-term management of armored/diked shorelines. |
| 0 | - | C-3 | Fund update of Washingtons’ Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy to address CC impacts and conservation strategies |
| 0 | - | II-4 | Develop risk reduction guidelines. Encourage engineering community to develop guidance/methods re: risk over time to various types of facilities. |