

Votes	Rank		Coastal/Infrastructure PAWG - Prioritization of Recommendations
			Objective: Enhance ability of state and coastal communities and ecosystems to prepare/adapt to impacts of SLR and other CC influences
		1	Overarching recommendations: Continue multi-party coordination group on topic; continue to clarify roles of agencies and research entities (UW etc)
		2	The state should conduct/sponsor education programs for: public, decision makers, and others.
			Priority Recommended Early Action Strategies
10	1	A-1	Incorporate the best available SLR/CC information into local government GMA and SMP planning, to promote resiliency of ecological systems and communities.
8	2	A-2	Revise State land use planning and regulation statutes and regulations to inform and effectively address CC/SLR. This would include consideration of revisions to GMA, SMA, SEPA and HPA process.
6	3	II-1	Establish sustained monitoring of SLR and its affects in Puget Sound region, modeled after existing State/Federal monitoring program on the WA Pacific Coast
5	4	A-4	Pursue state funding for pilot projects in vulnerable developed shoreline areas to examine alternatives to reconstructing bulkheads.
5	4	A-6	Inform property purchasers and investors re: SLR risk that may affect coastal property. Potential mechanisms: RE Disclosure forms, public information on emerging insurance industry response to CC.
5	4	B-1	Include best available data on SLR in design of coastal facility construction/major repair projects.
5	4	II-2	Improve mapping and characterization of SLR vulnerability: Create general characterization of SLR vulnerability by all shoreline types.

4	5	C-2	Include nearshore areas adjacent to vulnerable coastal habitats for preservation/conservation easement/purchase.
3	6	B-2	Include SLR in State infrastructure funding program eligibility and requirements. Develop guidance for program requirements.
2	6	A-3	Reduce liability of government for building in SLR risk areas <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk placed on property owner within hazard area. • Include sea level rise disclosure
2	7	A-5	Utilize FCAAP and EMD Pre-Disaster Multi-hazard Planning to address SLR/CC related risks.
2	7	II-3	Fund/conduct legal and policy analysis: SLR and ownership/regulation/public access issues.
1	8	B-3	Cleanup sites: Consider future SLR in design and prioritization of shoreline toxic cleanup sites.
1	8	C-1	Require consideration of impacts of SLR in habitat restoration, large-scale mitigation and acquisition proposals. Add funding program eligibility and planning requirements.
1	8	C-4	Include reclamation/habitat improvement opportunities in long-term management of armored/diked shorelines.
0	-	C-3	Fund update of Washingtons' Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy to address CC impacts and conservation strategies
0	-	II-4	Develop risk reduction guidelines. Encourage engineering community to develop guidance/methods re: risk over time to various types of facilities.