

4.1 Introduction

The proposed action would involve the handling and storage of crude oil on the project site. Additionally, crude oil would be transported by rail to the project site and by vessel from the project site to end destinations. Spills of oil or other hazardous substances related to the proposed action could occur on land or in water, at any time of day or night, and in any weather condition. It is impossible to know exactly when a spill is going to happen and how much oil is likely to be spilled. However, it is possible to identify risks based on the materials involved, where they are stored, how they are stored, the corridors through which they would travel, and typical weather conditions. While preventing a spill is the best strategy for avoiding potential damage to human health and the environment, once a spill occurs, a rapid, aggressive, and organized response can contain and control the spill and minimize damage.

4.1.1 What is the scope of this analysis?

This chapter presents the analysis of environmental health and safety impacts associated with incidents involving spills of crude oil¹, fires, or explosions during onsite handling and storage and offsite rail and vessel transport. The subsections that follow describe the scope of the analysis, study area, general approach used in this analysis, applicable regulations, and general risk considerations as developed with guidance from the co-lead agencies. The remaining sections of this chapter address potential impacts for terminal (onsite) operations, from rail transport, and from vessel transport. These sections also identify the existing requirements for each area and additional mitigation measures to offset potential impacts. The thresholds and measures were developed based on direction and guidance from the co-lead agencies. The chapter concludes with an analysis of the general environmental impacts of oil spills, fires, or explosions on the resources identified in Chapter 3, *Affected Environment, Impacts, and Mitigation*.

Impacts related to extended transport are described in Chapter 5, *Extended Rail and Vessel Transport*. Cumulative impacts on environmental health and safety are addressed in Chapter 6, *Cumulative Impacts*. Socioeconomic impacts are addressed in Chapter 7, *Economics, Social Policy, and Cost-Benefit Analysis*.

4.1.2 What is the study area?

The study area for environmental health and safety includes humans and resources that could be harmed in the event of a spill during operation at the project site, during rail transport along the

¹ The analysis presented in this chapter focuses on Bakken crude oil but generally applies to any crude oil proposed for storage and handling by the applicant (i.e., diluted bitumen). In places where there is a material change in risk or consequence due to the chemical properties of a specific material (e.g., Bakken crude oil versus bitumen), the difference is discussed specific to the material in question. Potential hazardous materials impacts associated with onsite operations are addressed in Section 3.14, *Hazardous Materials*.

Puget Sound & Pacific Railroad (PS&P) rail line—from Centralia, Washington, to the project site—and during vessel transport in Grays Harbor.² These resources are described generally in this chapter and in detail in Chapter 3, *Affected Environment, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures*.

Because transport of oil would extend beyond this detailed study area, the risks of rail transport outside the PS&P rail line (on the BNSF Railway Company [BNSF] and Union Pacific main lines) and beyond state waters (during transit along the west coast and abroad) are discussed qualitatively in Chapter 5, *Extended Rail and Vessel Transport*.

4.1.3 What is the approach to this analysis?

4.1.3.1 Information Sources

Numerous sources provided information on materials, risks, and transportation, as cited in the appropriate sections. A guiding source of information was the *Washington State 2014 Marine and Rail Oil Transportation Study* published by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) in March 2015 (Washington State Department of Ecology 2015).

The Washington State Legislature directed Ecology, in consultation with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Washington Military Department's Emergency Management Division, Federal Railroad Administration, and Washington State Department of Transportation to conduct a study on marine and rail oil transportation. In June 2014, Governor Inslee issued an Oil Transport Directive to Ecology outlining key components to be addressed. The Legislature's and Governor's actions were driven by the rapid changes in how crude oil is moving through rail corridors and over Washington waters, creating new safety and environmental risks. The study focused on developing recommendations to foster public health and safety, environmental protection, and respect for tribal treaty rights. Recommendations and information from the study are included as appropriate throughout this draft environmental impact statement.

New oil transportation safety legislation was passed in 2015 at the request of Governor Inslee. The legislation became effective July 1, 2015 in Engrossed Substitute House Bill (ESHB) 1449. The bill addresses financial assurance requirements for facilities and vessels, oil spill prevention plans and oil spill contingency plans, oil tanker tug escorts, and emergency response planning.

4.1.3.2 Risk Analysis

Risk management involves the systematic identification, evaluation, and control of impacts that may arise from uncertain future events such as spills, fires, explosions, toxic releases, or natural disasters. Assessing a risk to a particular resource requires **identifying** possible hazards, **evaluating** the frequency of adverse events and the magnitude of their consequences, and **determining** appropriate measures for prevention or **mitigation**. By anticipating the level of risk and the potential impacts, preventive and mitigation measures can be **implemented** to reduce the frequency of an event, the impacts, or both.

² The proposed action would result in increased rail and vessel traffic related to the transport of crude oil to and from the project site. Therefore, resources that could be affected during rail and vessel transportation are considered in this analysis.

Because it is not possible to predict the timing or magnitude of a spill, this chapter focuses on spill scenarios. Scenarios were developed for a range of potential incidents involving the terminal, trains, and vessels. These scenarios considered spills, fires, or explosions related to existing conditions (no-action alternative), routine operation of the proposed action at the project site and during rail and vessel transport, and worst-case spills. Risk assessments used the following scenarios to identify the likelihood a spill could happen.

- | Incidents involving onsite handling and storage of crude oil and bulk materials at the project site.
- | Incidents involving trains transporting crude oil and bulk materials along the PS&P rail line.
- | Incidents involving vessels transporting crude oil and bulk materials in Grays Harbor.

The scenarios considered various sizes of potential spills based on the activity (such as transport or transferring oil) and size of tank, rail cars, and vessels. Spill scenarios are referred to using the amount of material spilled. The potential impacts would be related to the amount spilled, location, and other conditions. Table 4.1-1 presents these spill scenarios by source (project site, rail transport, vessel transport) and spill size.

Table 4.1-1. Oil Spill Scenarios by Size

| Source | Spill Scenario |
|------------------|--|
| Small | |
| Project site | 2,100 gallons (50 barrels) spilled when transferring oil from rail cars or to vessels at the project site |
| Rail transport | 1,000 gallons (24 barrels) spilled during a derailment along the PS&P rail line |
| Medium | |
| Project site | 10,000 gallons (238 barrels) spilled when transferring oil to a vessel at the project site 50,400 gallons (1,200 barrels) spilled from pipeline or storage tank at the project site |
| Rail transport | 30,000 gallons (714 barrels or the contents of one full tank car) spilled during a derailment along the PS&P rail line |
| Large | |
| Project site | 8.4 million gallons (200,000 barrels, the entire contents of 1 full storage tank) spilled on project site |
| Rail transport | 90,000 gallons (2,140 barrels or the contents of three full tank cars) spilled during a derailment along the PS&P rail line 150,000 gallons (3,570 barrels or the contents of five full tank cars) spilled during a derailment along the PS&P rail line 900,000 gallons (21,400 barrels or the contents of 30 full tank cars) spilled during a derailment along the PS&P rail line |
| Vessel transport | 105,000 gallons (2,500 barrels) spilled into Grays Harbor from a vessel collision Up to 1.2 million gallons (29,000 barrels) from a vessel grounding in Grays Harbor 15.1 million gallons (360,000 barrels or the entire contents of one full tanker, including fuel) spilled into Grays Harbor from a vessel collision at harbor entrance |

The risk assessment first determined the likelihood that a spill would occur. In general, the larger the spill, the less likely that the spill would be expected to occur. The following methods were used to determine likelihood of occurrence.

- | For spills at the project site, operations information, such as the number of rail car unloadings, vessel loadings, and storage tanks in use, was combined with historical information on spills associated with these activities to determine the likelihood of spills.
- | For spills along the PS&P rail line, the number of rail trips carrying crude oil was combined with historical information from the Federal Rail Administration on incidents along the PS&P rail line and across the country to determine the likelihood of spills.
- | For spills during vessel transport, the number of vessel trips carrying crude oil was combined with historical information on vessel incidents to determine the likelihood of spills.

The risk assessment considered the type of operations, transportation routes for trains and vessels, and historical incident data to determine the likelihood of an incident happening. The analysis looked at a 20-year period from 2017 to 2037 for the proposed action.

The analysis first quantified the *chance* of each spill scenario occurring in any given year in the 20 year analysis period. It then qualitatively assessed the *likelihood* based on the likelihood of an incident occurring. The quantitative analysis is included in Appendix M, *Risk Assessment Technical Report*.

The *likelihood of an incident* is defined as follows.

- | **Likely to happen.** Involves regular transportation and facility operations or conditions that would be expected *to frequently happen*.
- | **Unlikely to happen.** Involves unusual operations or conditions that would be expected *to rarely happen*.

4.1.3.3 Risk of a Spill Reaching Water

A spill of oil or hazardous material that reaches water has a greater potential to affect the environment or people. A spill on land would affect resources but, in general, would be easier to contain and cleanup. Water can move oil or other materials over a bigger area and increase the complexity of a spill. Weather conditions, tides, river flows, and wind patterns greatly affect the movement of oil or hazardous materials in water. To provide a general idea of the movement and extent of the area that could be affected by an oil spill to water, oil spill models were done for Grays Harbor and the Chehalis River (Appendix N, *Oil Spill Modeling*).

Federal and state regulations require equipment and design features, such as containment areas to catch spills or equipment like emergency shutoffs. These requirements are factors in determining the amount of oil that could reach water and are described in Section 4.1, *Environmental Health Risks—Terminal (Onsite)*; Section 4.5, *Environmental Health—Rail Transport*; and Section 4.6, *Environmental Health—Vessel Transport*. The likelihood of a spill reaching water is defined as follows.

- | **Likely to reach water.** Incident occurs on or near the water and outside of a containment area.
- | **Unlikely to reach water.** All or most of the spill is within a containment area or incident is not near water.

4.1.3.4 Potential to Affect Environment

Impacts from an incident such as an oil spill, fire, or explosion vary widely based on the material type and amount, location, proximity to water, and weather conditions. The size of a spill does not alone determine the potential impacts. A small spill in a sensitive area could have significant impacts as could a large spill or explosion. Therefore, impacts are not specifically identified for each spill scenario but are discussed generally in Section 4.7, *Impacts on Resources*.

For the purposes of this environmental review, the qualitative terms used to describe the potential to affect the environment are defined as follows.

- | **Severe environmental impacts.** The spill is likely to result in a large amount of oil entering the environment and extensive damage to the human and natural environment. This would include large uncontained spills requiring extensive emergency response and cleanup efforts and a greater potential for the spill circumstances to result in fires or explosions.
- | **Low environmental impacts.** The spill could result in a small amount or no oil entering the environment. This would include small spills that would likely be contained and cleaned up relatively easily and would have a low potential for ignition.