

Fourth ESSB 6001 Rule Stakeholder Meeting Notes
SeaTac Airport, October 23, 2007, 9:00 am to 4:00 pm

Following is the agenda that guided the group's discussion:

Overall Goal of the Committee's Work:

To provide input to the Department of Ecology (DOE) about issues, concerns and recommendations related to ESSB 6001. The input will inform the writing of rules that DOE will then propose for adoption.

Overall Outcome:

A report of stakeholder recommendations and noted concerns about how the rules will deal with:

- ◆ The implementation and enforcement of the Emission Performance Standard (EPS)
- ◆ Criteria for evaluating carbon sequestration plans
- ◆ An output-based methodology for calculating emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) for cogeneration facility.

Today's Meeting Objectives:

- 1) Learn about and discuss concerns & recommendations regarding what is written in ESSB 6001 about:
 - a) What is the boundary or scope of processes associated with production of electrical and/or thermal energy to include when calculating emissions?
 - b) For purposes of compliance with the EPS, clarify if scope of emission sources will include all GHGs, as defined under ESSB 6001, or only emissions of CO₂.
 - c) What are "unspecified sources" and how do we address long-term purchases of electricity from unspecified sources?
 - d) How will Ecology enforce the EPS?
- 2) Identify next meeting topic and prep work.

<i>Time</i>	<i>Topic</i>
9:00	Welcome, Overall Purpose Review & Agenda
9:10	Brief (Re-) Introductions
9:30	Total Emissions – Determining the boundary for accounting for emissions
11:30	Break to get lunch, then resume discussion
12:00	Greenhouse Gases – Clarify which greenhouse gases to include when calculating emissions
1:00	Presentation by Dick Byers, WUTC -Power Contracts – Specified and Unspecified Sources -Q&A
1:45	Unspecified Sources of Electricity
2:45	Break
3:00	Enforcement of the EPS

3:30	Summary – Where are we on this topic? What’s next?
3:40	Next Meeting Agenda Plan & Prep
4:00	Adjourn

Stakeholder Members Present:

Dave Arbaugh, Don Brookhyser, Dick Byers, Svend Brandt-Erichsen, Jessica Coven, Kyle Davis, Carrie Dolwick, Corinne Grande (for Peggy Duxbury), Keith Faretra, Tom Krueger, Audrey Chang (for Mo McBroom), Casie Davidsion (for Pete McGrail), Chris Smith Towne, William Bridges, Howard Schwartz (for Tony Usibelli), and Dave Warren

Others in Attendance:

Tom Wood, Jim Russell, Robert Mack, Tim Johnson, Stuart Clarke, and Victoria Lincoln.

Staff Members Present:

Dept. of Ecology: Tom Todd, Nancy Pritchett, John Storman, Alan Newman, Sarah Rees, Cathy Carruthers, and Anjali Adelsman.

Wa. State Attorney General’s Office: Leslie Seffern

EFSEC: Allen Fiksdal

Facilitator:

Debbie Rough-Mack

Total Emissions – Determining the boundary for accounting for emissions

Alan Newman, Engineer with DOE’s Air Quality Program, prepared the decision matrix (combined with the “Greenhouse gases” topic) to guide the discussion and seek input from the committee. Notes taken from that discussion appear in a separate matrix, attached. The matrix will also be available as a .pdf on the DOE website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/laws-rules/activity/wac173407_218.html

Greenhouse Gases – Clarify which greenhouse gases to include when calculating emissions

Tom Todd, Unit Manager in DOE’s Air Quality Program, prepared the decision matrix (combined with the “Total Emissions” topic) to guide the discussion and seek input from the committee. Notes taken from that discussion appear in a separate matrix, attached. The matrix will also be available as a .pdf on the DOE website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/laws-rules/activity/wac173407_218.html

“Power Contracts: Specified and Unspecified Sources” Presentation by Dick Byers (WUTC), Dave Warren (WPUDA) and Howard Schwartz (CTED’s Energy Policy Division)

The presentation laid the groundwork -- and generated lots of discussion -- about unspecified resources. Refer to their Powerpoint slides and the matrix guiding the discussion.

Unspecified Sources of Electricity

Nancy Pritchett, Env. Planner with DOE's Air Quality Program, prepared the decision matrix to guide the discussion and seek input from the committee. Notes taken from that discussion appear in a separate matrix, attached. The matrix will also be available as a .pdf on the DOE website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/laws-rules/activity/wac173407_218.html

Because there was so much discussion during and after the presentation (and the topic was not exhausted!) Nancy will continue to accept written comments at npri461@ecy.wa.gov until 5:00 P.M. October 26th.

Enforcement of the EPS

Because of the considerable energy around continuing the previous topic, discussion of this topic was delayed until a later meeting.

Actions, Next Steps

The next meeting was scheduled for November 13, 2007 at SeaTac airport. However, ESFEC has a hearing scheduled for November 13th that several stakeholder members would like to attend. We are considering options for rescheduling to a different day that week. Nancy will be in touch with the best option, based on room availability and committee members' schedules.

DOE staff members will meet and determine agenda items. The group recommended they consider:

- Enforcement of the EPS (Sarah will send information prior to the meeting, and will also consider the merits of discussing who applies and judges the EPS standard)
- CTED issues – Sec 5 (8)
- Baseload characteristics of contracts
- Draft language revisited as a group?