

March 20, 2003

Comments and Recommendations for the RI/FS Draft for RTAG Review  
From Martha Hurd

The following comments refer to Volume II of the document:

\* Will the new sampling locations that had little or no evaluation by EPA in 1998 receive the full suite of analysis for COPCS as listed in Volume 2, 2.2 Chemical Analytes Page 2-3. Example would be the new sample sites east of Ennis Creek as noted on Figures 2-2 and 2-6.

\* Section 2, page 2-4. First paragraph at the top of the page. DNR supports the continued look at chemical detection levels for the MTCA Method Level B unrestricted land use cleanup values since future use of the site (particularly the State owned aquatic lands currently under lease at the site) may very well become public or commercial/residential.

\*I really liked the characterization of chemical concentrations shown for industrial and unrestricted land use criteria or other threshold level measurements (the little boxes in the right hand corners of the Figures).

\*Figure 2.4 Should samples also test for PAH's in the Wood Mill area as it did not have sampling in this area during the 1998 EPA work as shown on Figure 3-3.

\*Figure 2-9. Should all new samples test for dioxins/furans since none of the original samples resulted in levels below unrestricted land use criteria? This may help in equal comparisons between all the samples and comparing to planned background samples that will be taken (as shown on figure2-11).

Hope to participate in future phone conferences. Perhaps these points were already hashed out to everyone else's satisfaction.