



PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Exhibit C.3

Allan Giffen
Director

May 1, 2006

Michael T. Meyer
URS Corporation
1501 4th Avenue, Suite 1400
Seattle, WA 98101-1616

RE: Draft Powder Mill Creek Sediment Removal Interim Action Plan, Boeing Everett Facility

Dear Mr. Meyer,

This letter is in response for a listing of the City of Everett's substantive requirements that will apply to the proposal to remove contaminated sediment from Powder Mill Creek.

A Public Works permit issued by the City of Everett would be required if we were acting as the sole regulatory agent. This permit would include, but not be limited to, excavation and restoration within the stream channel, hauling material on the public streets, installation of the barrier noted in the report and any necessary relocation of the City's sewer main. In addition to the permit, permission and agreements will be necessary for construction and remediation work that will be done on the City's property.

Requirements would include temporary erosion control, seasonal limits in the stream channel work, street cleaning, engineered design for the relocation of the sewer main as well as approval from the City Utilities Division regarding use of the City property and construction done in the vicinity of the sewer main.

In addition to a Public Works permit, a Planning Director Decision would be required for alterations to the Powder Mill Creek stream channel. The application would need to demonstrate that impacts would be minimized through construction techniques, field marking techniques, and preservation of significant trees. A stream restoration/enhancement plan meeting the requirements of City Ordinance No. 2909-06 (Critical Areas Ord.) would be part of the application. Most of this information has been provided in the Draft Engineering Design Report. A planting/revegetation plan should be provided consistent with the City's Administrative Guidelines for the Identification and Evaluation of Wetlands and Streams (see pages 13-19).

The City has met with Boeing on a number of occasions regarding this work. Interactions and solution finding has gone well. In general, the City is in concurrence with the various alternatives and methodology to be used for remedying this problem.

Exhibit C.3

May 1, 2006

Page two

We understand that the Department of Ecology is the lead agency for the SEPA review and that a public comment period will be provided. The City requests that we be placed on the mailing list for the public notice. The notice should be sent to the following:

Kirk Brooks, Building Official
City of Everett
3200 Cedar Street
Everett, WA 98201

Allan Giffen, Planning Director
City of Everett Planning Department
2930 Wetmore Avenue, Suite 800
Everett, WA 98201

Thank you for the opportunity to review this draft reports and technical information and we look forward to continued cooperation on this matter.

Sincerely,



David Tyler, Planner

Cc: Kirk Brooks, Building Official
Gerry Ervine, Land Use Manager
Allan Giffen, Planning Director