

1 **PART III, OPERATING UNIT 11 UNIT-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS**

2 **Integrated Disposal Facility**

3 This document sets forth the operating conditions for the Integrated Disposal Facility (IDF).

4 **III.11.A COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED PERMIT**

5 The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in the Integrated Disposal Facility (IDF)
6 Permit conditions, the Appendices specified in condition III.11.A and the Amendments specified in
7 Condition III.11.B through III.11.I. All subsections, figures, and tables included in these portions are
8 enforceable unless stated otherwise:

9 **OPERATING UNIT 11:**

- 10 Chapter 1.0 Part A Form, Revision 3, dated March 2005
- 11 Chapter 2.0 Topographic Map Description, dated April 9, 2006
- 12 Chapter 3.0 Waste Analysis Plan, dated April 9, 2006
- 13 Chapter 4.0 Process Information, dated April 9, 2006
- 14 Appendix 4A Design Report (as applicable to critical systems), dated March 31, 2008
- 15 Appendix 4B Construction Quality Assurance Plan, dated April 9, 2006
- 16 Appendix 4C Response Action Plan, dated April 9, 2006
- 17 Appendix 4D Technical specifications document (RPP-18-489 Rev 0), dated December 31, 2006
- 18 Chapter 5.0 Ground Water Monitoring, dated April 9, 2006
- 19 Chapter 6.0 Procedure to Prevent Hazards dated November 21, 2007
- 20 Chapter 7.0 Contingency Plan, dated November 21, 2007
- 21 Appendix 7A Building Emergency Plan – Pre-Active Life, dated November 21, 2007
- 22 Appendix 7B Building Emergency Plan – Active Life, dated November 21, 2007
- 23 Chapter 8.0 Personnel Training, dated November 21, 2007
- 24 Chapter 11.0 Closure and Post Closure Requirements, dated April 9, 2006
- 25 Chapter 13.0 Other Federal and State Laws, dated April 9, 2006
- 26 General and Standard Hanford Facility RCRA Permit, WA7 89000 8967 (Permit) conditions (Part I and
27 Part II Conditions) applicable to the IDF are identified in Permit Attachment 3 (Permit Applicability
28 Matrix).

- 1 **III.11.B AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED PERMIT**
- 2 III.11.B.1 Portions of Permit Attachment 4, Hanford Emergency Management Plan that are not
3 made enforceable by inclusion in the applicability matrix for that document, are not made
4 enforceable by reference in this document.
- 5 III.11.B.2 Permittees must comply with all applicable portions of the Permit. The facility and unit-
6 specific recordkeeping requirements are distinguished in the General Information Portion
7 of the Permit, and are tied to the Permit conditions.
- 8 III.11.B.3 The scope of this Permit is restricted to the landfill construction and operation as
9 necessary to dispose of: 1) immobilized low activity waste from the WTP, and 2) the
10 Demonstration Bulk Vitrification System and IDF operational waste as identified in
11 Chapter 4.0. Future expansion of the RCRA trench, or disposal of other wastes not
12 specified in this Permit, is prohibited unless authorized via modification of this Permit.
- 13 III.11.B.4 In accordance with WAC 173-303-806(11)(d), this Permit shall be reviewed every five
14 (5) years after the effective date and modified, as necessary, in accordance with
15 WAC 173-303-830(3).
- 16 III.11.B.5 Inspection Requirements – Pre-Active Life Period and Active Life Period
- 17 III.11.B.5.a The Permittees will conduct inspections of the IDF according to the following
18 requirements:
- 19 III.11.B.5.a.i Prior to the start of the active life of the IDF as defined in WAC 173-303-040, according
20 to Table 6.2 of Chapter 6.0.
- 21 III.11.B.5.a.ii Following the start of the active life of the IDF as defined in WAC 173-303-040,
22 according to Table 6.2A of Chapter 6.0.
- 23 III.11.B.5.b The Permittees will remedy any problems revealed by inspections conducted pursuant to
24 permit condition III.11.B.5(a) on a schedule which prevents hazards to the public health
25 and the environment and as agreed to in writing by Ecology. Where a hazard is imminent
26 or has already occurred, remedial action must be taken immediately.
- 27 III.11.B.5.c Reserved
- 28 III.11.B.5.d Rainwater Management
- 29 Prior to the start of the active life of the IDF, the Permittees will manage the discharge of
30 such water in accordance with the pollution prevention and best management practices
31 required by State Waste Discharge Permit Number ST 4511.
- 32 III.11.B.5.e Management of Liquids Collected in the Leachate Collection and Removal System
33 (LCRS), Leak Detection System (LDS), and Secondary Leak Detection System (SLDS)
34 prior to the start of the active life of the IDF.
- 35 III.11.B.5.e.i Permittees shall manage the liquid in the LCRS system in a manner that does not allow
36 the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the flat 50-foot by 50-foot LCRS sump HDPE
37 bottom liner, and the LCRS sump trough, except for storms that exceed the 25-year,
38 24-hour storm event [(WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(ii)(B)). Liquid with a depth greater than
39 30.5 cm above the LCRS liner will be removed at the earliest practicable time after
40 detection (not to exceed 5 working days).
- 41 III.11.B.5.e.ii Accumulated liquid of pumpable quantities in the LDS and SLDS will be managed in a
42 manner that does not allow the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the LDS liner or
43 SLDS liner [(WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(i)(C)(iii)]. Liquid with a depth greater than 30.5
44 cm above a liner will be removed at the earliest practicable time after detection (not to
45 exceed 5 working days).

- 1 III.11.B.5.e.iii The Permittees will use a flow meter to check if the amount of actual liquid pumped
2 corresponds to the amount accumulated in the leachate collection tank to verify the
3 proper function of the leachate collection and removal sump pumps with each use. The
4 Permittees will document in the IDF portion of the facility operating record appropriate
5 quality assurance/quality control requirements for selection and operation of the flow
6 meter based on the required verification. In addition, the Permittees will evaluate the
7 leachate transfer lines for freeze and thaw damage when ambient conditions may cause
8 such damage to occur. The Permittees will document the methods and criteria used for
9 purposes of this evaluation, along with an appropriate justification.
- 10 III.11.B.5.e.iv The Permittee will inspect for liquids after significant rainfall events.
- 11 III.11.B.5.e.v The Permittee will annually verify monitoring gauges and instruments are in current
12 calibration; calibration will be performed annually or more frequently at intervals
13 suggested by the manufacturer (refer to Chapter 4.0, §4.3.7.4)
- 14 III.11.B.5.e.vi The Permittees will monitor liquids in the Leachate Collection and Removal System and
15 Leak Detection System to ensure the action leakage rate (Chapter 4.0, Appendix 4A) is
16 not exceeded. The Leachate Collection and Removal System will be inspected per
17 Condition III.11.B.5.c.
- 18 III.11.B.5.f Soil Stabilization
- 19 Prior to the first placement of waste in the IDF, the Permittee will apply soil stabilization
20 materials as needed to prevent soil erosion in and around the landfill.
- 21 **III.11.C DESIGN REQUIREMENTS**
- 22 III.11.C.1 IDF is designed in accordance with WAC 173-303-665 and WAC 173-303-640 as
23 described in Chapter 4.0. Design changes impacting IDF critical systems shall be
24 performed in accordance with Conditions III.11.D.1.d.i and III.11.D.1.d.ii.
- 25 IDF Critical Systems include the following: The leachate collection and removal system
26 (LCRS), leachate collection tank (LCT), leak detection system (LDS), liner system (LS),
27 and closure cap. H-2 Drawings for the LCRS, LCT, LDS, and LS are identified in
28 Appendix 4A, Section 3 of this Permit. Drawings for the closure cap will be provided
29 pursuant to Condition III.11.C.1.b.
- 30 III.11.C.1.a The Permittees shall construct and operate the IDF in accordance with all specifications
31 contained in RPP-18489 Rev 0. Critical systems, as defined in the definitions section of
32 the Site-Wide RCRA Permit, are identified in Appendix 4A, Section 1 of this Permit.
- 33 III.11.C.1.b Landfill Cap
- 34 At final closure of the landfill, the Permittees shall cover the landfill with a final cover
35 (closure cap) designed and constructed [WAC 173-303-665(6), WAC 173-303-806(4)(h)]
36 to: Provide long-term minimization of migration of liquids through the closed landfill;
37 Function with minimum maintenance; Promote drainage and minimize erosion or
38 abrasion of the cover; Accommodate settling and subsidence so that the cover's integrity
39 is maintained; and have a permeability less than or equal to the permeability of any
40 bottom liner system or natural sub soils present.
- 41 III.11.C.1.c Compliance Schedule
- 42 Proposed conceptualized final cover design is presented in Chapter 11 (Closure and
43 Financial Assurance). Six months prior to start of construction of IDF landfill final cover
44 (but no later than 6 months prior to acceptance of the last shipment of waste at the IDF),
45 the Permittees shall submit IDF landfill final cover design, specifications and CQA plan

- 1 to Ecology for review and approval. No construction of the final cover may proceed until
2 Ecology approval of the final design is given, through a permit modification.
- 3 III.11.C.1.d The Permittees shall notify Ecology at least sixty (60) calendar days prior to the date it
4 expects to begin closure of the IDF landfill in accordance with WAC 173-303-610(c).
- 5 III.11.C.2 Design Reports
- 6 III.11.C.2.a New Tank Design Assessment Report
- 7 Permittees shall generate a written report in accordance with WAC 173-303-640(3)(a),
8 providing the results of the leachate collection tank system design assessment. The report
9 shall be reviewed and certified by an Independent Qualified Registered Professional
10 Engineer (IQRPE)¹ in accordance with WAC-173-303-810(13)(a).
- 11 [1] "Independent qualified registered professional engineer," as used here and elsewhere
12 with respect to Operating Unit 11, means a person who is licensed by the state of
13 Washington, or a state which has reciprocity with the state of Washington as defined in
14 RCW 18.43.100, and who is not an employee of the owner or operator of the facility for
15 which construction or modification certification is required. A qualified professional
16 engineer is an engineer with expertise in the specific area for which a certification is
17 given.
- 18 III.11.C.2.b Compliance Schedule
- 19 Permittees shall submit the leachate collection tank design assessment report to Ecology
20 along with the IQRPE certification, prior to construction of any part of the tank system
21 including ancillary equipment.
- 22 **III.11.D CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS**
- 23 III.11.D.1 Construction Quality Assurance
- 24 III.11.D.1.a Ecology shall provide field oversight during construction of critical systems. In cases
25 where an Engineering Change Notice (ECN) and/or Non Conformance Report (NCR) is
26 required, Ecology and the Permittees shall follow steps for processing changes to the
27 approved design per Conditions III.11.D.1.d.i and III.11.D.1.d.ii.
- 28 III.11.D.1.b Permittees shall implement the Construction Quality Assurance Plan (CQA plan)
29 (Appendix 4B of the permit) during construction of IDF.
- 30 III.11.D.1.b.i The Permittees will not receive waste in the IDF until the owner or operator has
31 submitted to Ecology by certified mail or hand delivery a certification signed by the CQA
32 officer that the approved CQA plan has been successfully carried out and that the unit
33 meets the requirements of WAC173-303-665 (2)(h) or (j); and the procedure in
34 WAC 173-303-810 (14)(a) has been completed. Documentation supporting the CQA
35 officer's certification shall be furnished to Ecology upon request.
- 36 III.11.D.1.c Construction inspection reports
- 37 Permittees shall submit a report documenting the results of the leachate tank installation
38 inspection. This report must be prepared by an independent, qualified installation
39 inspector or a professional independent, qualified, registered, professional engineer either
40 of whom is trained and experienced in the proper installation of tank systems or
41 components. The Permittees will remedy all discrepancies before the tank system is
42 placed in use. This report shall be submitted to Ecology 90 days prior to IDF operation
43 and be included in the IDF Operating Record. [WAC-173-303-640(3)(h)].

- 1 III.11.D.1.d ECN/NCR Process for Critical Systems
2 Portions of the following conditions for processing engineering change notices and
3 non-conformance reporting were extracted from and supersede Site Wide General Permit
4 Condition II.L.
- 5 III.11.D.1.d.i Engineering Change Notice for Critical Systems
6 During construction of the IDF, the Permittees shall formally document changes to the
7 approved designs, plans, and specifications, identified in Appendices 4A, 4B, 4C, and 4D
8 of this permit, with an Engineering Change Notice (ECN). The Permittees shall maintain
9 all ECNs in the IDF unit-specific Operating Record and shall make them available to
10 Ecology upon request or during the course of an inspection. The Permittees shall provide
11 to Ecology copies of proposed ECNs affecting any critical system within five (5) working
12 days of initiating the ECN. Identification of critical systems is included in
13 Condition III.11.C.1 and Appendix 4A of this permit. Within five (5) working days,
14 Ecology will review a proposed ECN modifying a critical system and inform the
15 Permittees whether the proposed ECN, when issued, will require a Class 1, 2, or 3 Permit
16 modification.
- 17 III.11.D.1.d.ii Non-conformance Reporting for Critical Systems
18 III.11.D.1.d.ii.a During construction of the IDF, the Permittees shall formally document with a
19 Nonconformance Report (NCR), any work completed which does not meet or exceed the
20 standards of the approved design, plans and specifications, identified in Appendices 4A,
21 4B, 4C and 4D of this permit, The Permittees shall maintain all NCRs in the IDF unit-
22 specific Operating Record and shall make them available to Ecology upon request, or
23 during the course of an inspection.
- 24 III.11.D.1.d.ii.b The Permittees shall provide copies of NCRs affecting any critical or regulated system to
25 Ecology within five (5) working days after identification of the nonconformance.
26 Identification of critical systems is included in Condition III.11.C.1 and Appendix 4A of
27 this permit. Ecology will review a NCR affecting a critical system and notify the
28 Permittees within five (5) working days, in writing, whether a Permit modification is
29 required for any nonconformance, and whether prior approval is required from Ecology
30 before work proceeds, which affects the nonconforming item.
- 31 III.11.D.1.d.iii As-Built Drawings
32 Upon completing construction of IDF, the Permittees shall produce as-built drawings of
33 the project, which incorporate the design and construction modifications resulting from
34 all project ECNs and NCRs, as well as modifications made pursuant to
35 WAC 173-303-830. The Permittees shall place the drawings into the Operating Record
36 within twelve (12) months of completing construction.
- 37 III.11.D.2 The Permittees shall not reduce the minimum frequency of destructive testing less than
38 one test per 500 feet of seam, without prior approval in writing from Ecology
- 39 **III.11.E GROUND WATER AND GROUND WATER MONITORING**
40 Ground water shall be monitored in accordance with WAC 173-303 and the provisions
41 contained in the Ecology-approved facility ground water monitoring plan (Chapter 5.0).
42 All wells used to monitor the ground water beneath the unit shall be constructed in
43 accordance with the provisions of WAC-173-160.

- 1 III.11.E.1 Ground Water Monitoring Program
- 2 III.11.E.1.a Prior to initial waste placement in the IDF landfill, the Permittees shall sample all ground
3 water monitoring wells in the IDF network twice quarterly for one first year to determine
4 baseline conditions. For the first sampling event (and only the first), samples for each
5 well will include all constituents in 40 CFR 264 Appendix IX. Thereafter, sampling will
6 include only those constituents as specified in Chapter 5.0, Table 5-2: chromium (filtered
7 and unfiltered the first year to compare results), specific conductance, TOC, TOX, and
8 pH. Other constituents to be monitored but not statistically compared include alkalinity,
9 anions, ICP metals, and turbidity. These will provide important information on
10 hydrogeologic characteristics of the aquifer and may provide indications of encroaching
11 contaminants from other facilities not associated with IDF.
- 12 III.11.E.1.b After the baseline monitoring is completed, and data is analyzed, the Permittees and
13 Ecology shall assess revisions to Chapter 5.0, Table 5-2. Subsequent samples will be
14 collected semi-annually and will include constituents listed in Table 5-2 as approved by
15 Ecology. All data analysis will employ Ecology approved statistical methods pursuant to
16 WAC 173-303-645. Changes to Chapter 5.0 will be subject to the permit modification
17 procedures under WAC 173-303-830.
- 18 III.11.E.1.c All constituents used as tracers to assess performance of the facility through computer
19 modeling should be sampled at least annually to validate modeling results. Groundwater
20 monitoring data and analytes to be monitored will be reviewed periodically as defined in
21 Chapter 5.0 of this permit.
- 22 III.11.E.1.d Upon Ecology approval of the leachate monitoring plan, leachate monitoring and
23 groundwater monitoring activities should be coordinated as approved by Ecology to form
24 an effective and efficient means of monitoring the performance of the IDF facility.
- 25 III.11.E.1.e Ground water monitoring data shall be reported to Ecology on an annual basis beginning
26 on March 1 after the issue date of this permit and annually on March 1 after that.
- 27 **III.11.F LEACHATE COLLECTION COMPONENT MANAGEMENT**
- 28 Permittees shall design, construct, and operate all leachate collection systems to minimize
29 clogging during the active life and post closure period
- 30 III.11.F.1 Leachate Collection and Removal System (LCRS)
- 31 III.11.F.1.a At least 120 days prior to initial waste placement in the IDF, the Permittees shall submit a
32 Leachate monitoring plan to Ecology for review, approval, and incorporation into the
33 permit. Upon approval by Ecology, this plan will be incorporated into the Permit as a
34 class 1' modification. The Permittees shall not accept waste into the IDF until the
35 requirements of the leachate monitoring plan have been incorporated into this permit.
- 36 III.11.F.1.b Leachate in the LCRS (primary sump) shall be sampled and analyzed monthly for the
37 first year of operation of the facility and quarterly thereafter (pursuant to
38 WAC 173-303-200). Additionally, leachate shall be sampled and analyzed to meet waste
39 acceptance criteria at the receiving treatment storage and disposal facility.
- 40 III.11.F.1.c Permittees shall manage the leachate in the LCRS system in a manner that does not allow
41 the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the flat 50-foot by 50-foot LCRS sump HDPE
42 bottom liner except for rare storm events as discussed in Chapter 4.0, Section 4.3.6.1 and
43 the LCRS sump trough [(WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(ii)(B). Liquid with a depth greater
44 than 30.5 cm above the SLDS liner will be removed at the earliest practicable time after
45 detection (not to exceed 5 working days).

- 1 III.11.F.1.d After initial waste placement, Permittees shall manage all leachate from the permitted
2 cell as dangerous waste (designated with Dangerous Waste Number F039) in accordance
3 with WAC 173- 303.
- 4 III.11.F.2 Monitoring and Management of Leak Detection System (LDS/ secondary sump)
- 5 III.11.F.2.a Permittees shall manage the leachate in the LDS system in a manner that does not allow
6 the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the LDS liner (WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(ii)(B).
- 7 III.11.F.2.b Permittees shall monitor and record leachate removal for comparison to the Action
8 Leakage Rate (ALR) as described in Appendix 4C, Response Action Plan. If the leachate
9 flow rate in the LDS exceeds the ALR, the Permittees shall implement the Ecology
10 approved response action plan (Appendix 4C).
- 11 III.11.F.2.c Leachate from the LDS (secondary sump) shall be sampled semi-annually if a pumpable
12 quantity of leachate is available for sampling.
- 13 III.11.F.2.d Accumulated liquid of pumpable quantities in the LDS will be managed in a manner that
14 does not allow the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the LDS liner
15 [WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(i)(C)(iii)]. Liquid with a depth greater than 30.5 cm above the
16 LDS liner will be removed at the earliest practicable time after detection (not to exceed
17 5 working days).
- 18 III.11.F.3 Monitoring and Management of the Secondary Leak Detection System (SLDS)
- 19 III.11.F.3.a At least 180 days prior to initial waste placement, the, the Permittees shall submit to
20 Ecology for approval a sub-surface liquids monitoring and operations plan (SLMOP) for
21 the SLDS to include the following: monitoring frequency, pressure transducer
22 configuration, liquid collection and storage processes, sampling and analysis and
23 response actions. The SLMOP shall be approved by Ecology prior to placement of waste
24 in the IDF, and incorporated into the Permit as a Class 1' modification.
- 25 III.11.F.3.b Permittees shall monitor and manage the SLDS (tertiary sump) pursuant to the approved
26 sub-surface liquids monitoring and operations plan.
- 27 III.11.F.3.c Accumulated liquid of pumpable quantities in the SLDS will be managed in a manner
28 that does not allow the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the SLDS liner
29 [WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(i)(C)(iii)]. Liquid with a depth greater than 30.5 cm above the
30 SLDS liner will be removed at the earliest practicable time after detection (not to exceed
31 5 working days).
- 32 III.11.F.3.d After initial waste placement, permittees shall manage all leachate from the permitted cell
33 as dangerous waste in accordance with WAC 173- 303.
- 34 **III.11.G CONSTRUCTION WATER MANAGEMENT**
- 35 III.11.G.1 During construction, it is anticipated that liquids will accumulate on top of all liners and
36 sumps. Permittees shall manage the construction wastewater in accordance with State
37 Waste Discharge Permit ST 4511.
- 38 III.11.G.2 Liquid accumulation within the LCRS, LDS, and SLDS prior to initial waste placement
39 will be considered construction wastewater (i.e., not leachate).

1 **III.11.H LANDFILL LINER INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT & LANDFILL OPERATIONS**

2 III.11.H.1 Permittees shall design, construct, and operate the landfill in a manner to protect the
3 liners from becoming damaged. Temperature: Waste packages with elevated
4 temperatures shall be evaluated and managed in a manner to maintain the primary (upper)
5 liner below the design basis temperature for the liner (e.g., 160 F). Weight: Waste, fill
6 material and closure cover shall be placed in a manner that does not exceed the allowable
7 load bearing capacity of the liner (weight per area 13,000 lb/ft²). Puncture: At least 3
8 feet of clean backfill material shall be placed as an operations layer over the leachate
9 collection and removal system to protect the system from puncture damage.

10 III.11.H.1.a All equipment used for construction and operations inside of the IDF shall meet the
11 weight limitation as specified in condition III.H.1. Only equipment that can be
12 adequately supported by the operations layer as specified in condition III.H.1 (e.g., will
13 not have the potential to puncture the liner) shall be used inside of the IDF. All
14 equipment used for construction and operations outside of the IDF shall not damage the
15 berms. Changes to any equipment will follow the process established by condition II.R
16 of the site wide permit. Within 120 days from the effective date for the permit, a process
17 for demonstrating compliance with this condition shall be submitted for review by
18 Ecology. This process will be incorporated into appropriate IDF operating procedures
19 prior to IDF operations.

20 III.11.H.2 The Permittees shall construct berms and ditches to prevent run-on and run-off in
21 accordance with the requirements of Section 4.3.8 of this permit. Before the first
22 placement of waste in the IDF, the Permittees shall submit to Ecology a final grading and
23 topographical map on a scale sufficient to identify berms and ditches used to control run-
24 on and run-off. Upon approval, Ecology will incorporate these maps into the permit as a
25 Class 1' modification.

26 III.11.H.3 The Permittees shall operate the RCRA IDF Cell (Cell1) in accordance with
27 WAC 173-303-665(2) and the operating practices described in Chapters 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and
28 Appendix 4A, Section 1, subsection 7, except as otherwise specified in this Permit.

29 III.11.H.4 The Permittees shall maintain a permanent and accurate record of the three-dimensional
30 location of each waste type, based on grid coordinates, within the RCRA IDF Cell (Cell1)
31 in accordance with WAC 173-303-665(5).

32 **III.11.I WASTE ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA**

33 The only acceptable waste form approved for disposal at the RCRA cell of IDF are IDF
34 operational waste, Immobilized Low Activity Waste (ILAW) in glass form from the
35 Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) Low Activity Waste (LAW) Vitrification facility and
36 ILAW from the Bulk Vitrification Research Demonstration and Development facility (up
37 to 50 boxes). Specifics about waste acceptance criteria for each of these wastes are
38 detailed below.

39 No other waste forms may be disposed at the RCRA cell of IDF unless authorized via a
40 Final Permit modification decision. Requests for Permit modifications must be
41 accompanied by an analysis adequate for Ecology to comply with SEPA, as well as by a
42 risk assessment and groundwater modeling to show the environmental impact. Permit
43 Condition III.11.I.5 outlines the process by which waste sources in the IDF are modeled
44 in an ongoing risk budget and a ground water impact analysis.

- 1 III.11.I.1 Six months prior to IDF operations Permittees shall submit to Ecology for review,
2 approval, and incorporation into the permit, all waste acceptance criteria (WAC) to
3 address, at a minimum, the following: physical/chemical criteria, liquids and liquid
4 containing waste, land disposal restriction treatment standards and prohibitions,
5 compatibility of waste with liner, gas generation, packaging, handling of packages,
6 minimization of subsidence.
- 7 III.11.I.1.a All containers/packages shall meet void space requirements pursuant to
8 WAC 173-303-665(12).
- 9 III.11.I.1.b Compliance Schedule
- 10 III.11.I.1.b.i Six months prior to IDF operations, the Permittees shall submit to Ecology for review,
11 approval, and incorporation into the permit any necessary modifications to the IDF Waste
12 Acceptance Plan (Appendix 3A of the permit application, DOE/RL-2003-12, Rev 1).
- 13 III.11.I.2 ILAW Waste Acceptance Criteria
- 14 The only ILAW forms acceptable for disposal at IDF are: (1) approved glass canisters
15 that are produced in accordance with the terms, conditions, and requirements of the WTP
16 portion of the Permit, and (2) the 50 bulk vitrification test boxes as specified in the
17 DBVS test plans.
- 18 To assure protection of human health and the environment, it is necessary that the
19 appropriate quality of glass be disposed at IDF. The LDR Treatment Standard for eight
20 metals (arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium and silver), when
21 associated with High Level Waste, is HLWIT (40 CFR 268). Because these metals are
22 constituents in the Hanford Tanks Waste, the LDR standard for ILAW disposed to IDF is
23 HLWIT.
- 24 For any ILAW glass form(s) that DOE intends to dispose of in IDF, DOE will provide to
25 Ecology for review, an ILAW Waste Form Technical Requirements Document
26 (IWTRD). The IWTRD will contain:
- 27 III.11.I.2.a WTP ILAW Waste Acceptance Criteria
- 28 III.11.I.2.a.i A description of each specific glass formulation that DOE intends to use including a basis
29 for why each specific formulation is proposed for use, which specific tank wastes the
30 glass formulation is proposed for use with, the characteristics of the glass that are key to
31 satisfactory performance (e.g., VHT, PCT, and TCLP and/or other approved performance
32 testing methodologies that the parties agree are appropriate and necessary), the range in
33 key characteristics anticipated if the specific glass formulation is produced on a
34 production basis with tank waste, and the factors that DOE must protect against in
35 producing the glass to ensure the intended glass characteristics will exist in the actual
36 ILAW.
- 37 III.11.I.2.a.ii A performance assessment that provides a reasonable basis for assurance that each glass
38 formulation will, once disposed of in IDF in combination with the other waste volumes
39 and waste forms planned for disposal at the entire Integrated Disposal Facility, be
40 adequately protective of human health and the environment; and will not violate or be
41 projected to violate all applicable state and federal laws, regulations and environmental
42 standards.
- 43 Within 60 days of a request by Ecology, the Permittees shall provide a separate model
44 run using Ecology's assumptions and model input.

- 1 III.11.I.2.a.iii A description of production processes including management controls and quality
2 assurance/quality control requirements that assure that glass produced for each
3 formulation will perform in a reasonably similar manner to the waste form assumed in the
4 performance assessment for that formulation.
- 5 III.11.I.2.a.iv The Permittees shall update the IWTRD consistent with the above requirements for
6 review by Ecology consistent with their respective roles and authority as provided under
7 the TPA. Ecology comments shall be dispositioned through the Review Comment
8 Record (RCR) process and will be reflected in further modeling to modify the IDF ILAW
9 waste acceptance as appropriate. The initial IWTRD shall contain glass formulation data
10 as required by III.11.I.2.a.i, and shall be submitted no later than January, 2007, or if later
11 than this date, as agreed to by Ecology. The performance assessment required by
12 III.11.I.2.a.ii, and the quality assurance/quality control requirements process required by
13 III.11.I.2.a.iii shall be submitted for Ecology review as soon as possible after issuance of
14 the Final Tank Closure and Waste Management EIS , and at least 180 days prior to the
15 date DOE expects to receive waste at IDF, but in no case later than July, 2010 (or a later
16 date if agreed to by Ecology). At a minimum, the Permittees shall submit updates to the
17 IWTRD to Ecology every five years or more frequently if either of the following
18 conditions exist:
- 19 • The Permittees submits a permit modification request allowing additional waste
20 forms to be disposed of at IDF,
 - 21 • The WTP of other vitrification facility change their glass formulations from those
22 previously included in the ITRWD.
- 23 III.11.I.2.a.v The Permittees shall not dispose of any WTP ILAW not described and evaluated in the
24 IWTRD.
- 25 III.11.I.3 ILAW Waste Acceptance Criteria Verification
- 26 III.11.I.3.a Six months prior to disposing of ILAW in the IDF, the Permittees will submit an ILAW
27 verification plan to Ecology for review and approval. This plan will be coordinated with
28 WTP, Ecology, and the Permittees personnel. This plan will outline the specifics of
29 verifying ILAW waste acceptance through WTP operating parameters, and/or glass
30 sampling. The Plan will include physical sampling requirements for batches, glass
31 formulations, and/or feed envelopes.
- 32 III.11.I.4 Demonstration Bulk Vitrification System (DBVS) Bulk Vitrification Waste Acceptance
33 Criteria
- 34 III.11.I.4.a Bulk Vitrification waste forms that are acceptable to be disposed of at IDF are up to
35 50 boxes of vitrified glass produced pursuant to the DBVS RD&D Permit from
36 processing Hanford Tank S-109 tank waste.
- 37 III.11.I.4.b If Bulk Vitrification is selected as a technology to supplement the Waste Treatment Plant,
38 the IDF portion of the Permit will need to be modified to accept Bulk Vitrification Full
39 Scale production waste forms. This modification will need to be accompanied by
40 appropriate TPA changes (per M-062 requirements) and adequate risk assessment
41 information sufficient for the Department of Ecology to meet its SEPA obligations.
- 42 III.11.I.4.c DBVS Waste Acceptance Verification will occur on 100% of the waste packages.
43 Pursuant to the DBVS RD&D Permit, a detailed campaign test report will be produced
44 and submitted to Ecology detailing results of all testing performed on each waste package
45 that is produced. IDF personnel shall review these reports to verify that the waste
46 packages meet IDF Waste Acceptance Criteria.

- 1 III.11.I.4.d The Permittees shall not dispose of any waste forms that do not comply with all
2 appropriate and applicable treatment standards, including all applicable Land Disposal
3 Restrictions (LDR).
- 4 III.11.I.5 Modeling – Risk Budget Tool
- 5 III.11.I.5.a The Permittees must create and maintain a modeling - risk budget tool, which models the
6 future impacts of the planned IDF waste forms (including input from analysis performed
7 as specified in conditions III.11.I.2.a through III.11.I.2.a.ii above) and their impact to
8 underlying vadose and ground water. This model will be submitted for Ecology review
9 as soon as possible after issuance of Final Tank Closure and Waste Management EIS, and
10 at least 180 days prior to the date DOE expects to receive waste at IDF but in no case
11 later than July 2010 (or a later date if agreed to by Ecology). The model shall be updated
12 at least every 5 years. The model will be updated more frequently if needed, to support
13 permit modifications or SEPA Threshold Determinations whenever a new waste stream
14 or significant expansion is being proposed for the IDF. This modeling-risk budget tool
15 shall be conducted in manner that is consistent with state and federal requirements, and
16 represents a cumulative risk analysis of all waste previously disposed of in the entire IDF
17 (both cell 1 and cell 2) and those wastes expected to be disposed of in the future for the
18 entire IDF. The groundwater impact should be modeled in a concentration basis and
19 should be compared against various performance standards including but not limited to
20 drinking water standards (40 CFR 141 and 40 CFR 143). Ecology will review modeling
21 assumptions, input parameters, and results and will provide comments to the Permittees.
22 Ecology comments shall be dispositioned through the Review Comment Record (RCR)
23 process and will be reflected in further modeling to modify the IDF ILAW waste
24 acceptance as appropriate.
- 25 III.11.I.5.a.i The modeling-risk budget tool will include a sensitivity analysis reflecting parameters
26 and changes to parameters as requested by Ecology.
- 27 III.11.I.5.a.ii If these modeling efforts indicate results within 75% of a performance standard
28 [including but not limited to federal drinking water standards (40 CFR 141 and
29 40 CFR 143)], Ecology and the Permittees will meet to discuss mitigation measures or
30 modified waste acceptance criteria for specific waste forms.
- 31 III.11.I.5.a.iii When considering all the waste forms to be disposed of in IDF, the Permittees shall not
32 dispose of any waste that will result (through forward looking modeling or in real
33 groundwater concentrations data) in a violation of any state or federal regulatory limit,
34 specifically including but not limited to drinking water standards for any constituent as
35 defined in 40 CFR 141 and 40 CFR 143.

- 1 III.11.I.6 The Permittees shall not dispose of any waste that is not in compliance with state and
2 federal requirements as identified in Chapter 13.0.
- 3 III.11.I.6.a In accordance with DOE's authority under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended
4 and other applicable law, prior to disposing of any mixed immobilized low-activity waste
5 (ILAW) in the IDF, DOE will certify to the State of Washington that it has determined
6 that such ILAW is not high-level waste and meets the criteria and requirements outlined
7 in DOE's consultation with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission beginning in 1993
8 (Letter from R.M. Bernero, USNRC to J. Lytle, USDOE, dated March 2, 1993; Letter
9 from J. Kinzer, USDOE, to C. J. Paperiello, USNRC, Classification of Hanford Low-
10 Activity Tank Waste Fraction, dated March 7, 1996; and Letter from C.J. Paperiello,
11 USNRC, to J. Kinzer, USDOE, Classification of Hanford Low-Activity Tank Waste
12 Fraction, dated June 9, 1997). While the requirement to provide such certification is an
13 enforceable obligation of this permit, the provision of such certification does not convey,
14 or purport to convey, authority to Ecology to regulate the radioactive hazards of the waste
15 under this permit.
- 16 III.11.I.7 IDF Operational Waste Acceptance Criteria
- 17 III.11.I.7.a IDF operational activities (including decontamination, cleanup, and maintenance) will
18 generate a small amount of waste. Waste that can meet IDF waste acceptance without
19 treatment will be disposed of at the IDF. All other IDF operational waste will be
20 managed pursuant to WAC 173-303-200.