

January 2007

1
2
3

CHAPTER 2.0
RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE MEASURES FOR 100-NR-1 OPERABLE UNIT

January 2007

1
2
3
4
5

This page intentionally left blank.

January 2007

1
2
3
4
5

This page intentionally left blank.

January 2007

2.0 RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE MEASURES FOR 100-NR-1 OPERABLE UNIT

According to EPA guidance, a RCRA corrective measures study should identify the recommended corrective measure. This section is included for consistency with EPA RCRA guidance, and the recommended corrective measures presented in this section correspond to the preferred remedial alternatives that will be identified in the integrated CERCLA Proposed Plan and RCRA Permit Modification proposal for the 100-NR-1 and 100-NR-2 Operable Units (OUs). The preferred alternative that will be presented in the Proposed Plan is only a preliminary recommendation, and changes to the preferred alternative, or a change from the preferred alternative to another alternative, may be made based on public comment. The recommended corrective measures presented in this section will be revised, if necessary, to reflect the remedy eventually selected by the CERCLA ROD.

In addition to identifying the recommended corrective measure, the RCRA process requires that the specific permit conditions associated with the recommendation be identified. This section includes detailed information to be referenced for purposes of establishing RCRA permit conditions. If, as a result of public comment, the preferred alternative is changed, then the permit conditions and information presented in this section will be modified accordingly.

The Tri-Party Agreement defines the 100-NR-1 and 100-NR-2 OUs as RCRA past-practice sites. RCRA corrective action authority applies to releases of dangerous¹ waste and dangerous constituents including releases from solid waste management units and to releases of mixed waste (mixtures of hazardous waste and radiological contaminants), but not to waste that only contains radiological contaminants. Since many of the waste sites in the operable units contain radiological contaminants, and because they are in the 100 Area, which is listed on the NPL, the adequacy of any action taken under another regulatory authority will be evaluated against CERCLA program criteria. The recommended RCRA corrective measures² that are discussed in this section have been developed to satisfy requirements for both RCRA corrective action and CERCLA remedial action. By applying CERCLA authority concurrently with RCRA corrective action requirements through an integrated plan, all regulatory and environmental obligations at the 100-NR-1 and 100-NR-2 OUs can be met as effectively and efficiently as possible. Also, by applying CERCLA authority jointly with that of RCRA, additional options for disposal of corrective action and remedial action wastes at the ERDF are possible. By allowing flexibility in final disposal options, disposal costs can be minimized while still being protective of human health and the environment.

The following discussion explains RCRA corrective action performance standards, which must be met by the recommended corrective measures.

2.1 RCRA Corrective Action Performance Standards

The RCRA corrective action performance standards found at [WAC 173-303-646\(2\)](#) state that the corrective measure:

1. *Shall protect human health and the environment from all releases of dangerous wastes and dangerous constituents, including releases from all solid waste management units at the facility.* For purposes of corrective action at the 100-NR-1 and 100-NR-2 Operable Units, protection is generally determined as follows:

¹ RCRA authority with respect to hazardous waste management and corrective action has been delegated to the State of Washington. The State of Washington has published regulations for this authority at [WAC 173-303](#), "Dangerous Waste Regulations." The State terms "dangerous waste" and "dangerous constituents" are generally equivalent to the RCRA terms "hazardous waste" and "hazardous constituents."

² RCRA corrective measures are essentially equivalent to CERCLA remedial actions.

January 2007

- 1 a. Human health³ will be protected by preventing exposure to contaminants above unacceptable
2 levels (i.e., MTCA B with a residential land-use scenario for soil sites).
3 b. Protection of the Columbia River will be enhanced by removing contamination from the
4 source sites and by utilizing the existing pump-and-treat system (via hydraulic controls) to
5 reduce discharges of contaminated groundwater.
6 c. Ecological resources will be protected by minimizing impacts resulting from corrective
7 measures, by cleaning up source sites (except the shoreline site) to levels that are protective
8 of human health, and by continuing the existing pump-and-treat operations to reduce
9 discharges of contaminated groundwater to the river.
10 d. Cultural resources will be protected by minimizing impacts resulting from corrective
11 measures.

12 A discussion of how these performance standards will be achieved is provided in Permit
13 Sections 9.2 and 9.3.

- 14 2. *Is required regardless of the time at which waste was managed at the facility or placed in such*
15 *units, and regardless of whether such facilities or units were intended for the management of*
16 *solid or dangerous waste;*

17 *The 100 Area was evaluated to identify sites where waste was placed or handled. The results of*
18 *this investigation are provided in a variety of documents listed in DOE/RL-95-111, Rev. 0,*
19 *Section 2.2. Based on three principle resources (i.e., 100 Area Technical Baseline Report, RCRA*
20 *Facility Investigation/Corrective Measure Study Work Plan, and WIDS), DOE/RL-95-111, Rev. 0*
21 *identifies 114 potentially contaminated source sites in the 100-NR-1 Operable Unit. Thirty three*
22 *of these have been eliminated from further consideration in the evaluations of alternatives*
23 *because either they were never contaminated, are not currently contaminated, or they fall under*
24 *other regulatory jurisdictions and are not subject to RCRA regulations. The remaining 81*
25 *potentially contaminated waste sites would be subject to RCRA corrective measures because*
26 *dangerous constituents were handled at and potentially released from the sites. Corrective*
27 *measures recommended for the various categories of waste sites are described in Section 9.2.1*
28 *below.*

- 29 3. *Must be implemented by the owner/operator beyond the facility property boundary, where*
30 *necessary to protect human health and the environment.*

31 *The recommended corrective measures are interim actions that address contaminated soils and*
32 *groundwater within the 100-NR-1 and 100-NR-2 Operable Units. There have been releases of*
33 *dangerous constituents to locations beyond the boundaries of the areas addressed by*
34 *DOE/RL-95-111, Rev. 0 and the DOE is undertaking studies of the impacts of these releases and*
35 *how they will need to be addressed in final actions for the Hanford Site. Although the*
36 *recommended corrective measures will reduce the potential for future off site releases, this*
37 *performance standard will be addressed during final remediation of the Hanford Site as*
38 *discussed in Section 9.1 above.*

39 In addition to the performance standards cited in the WAC, the following also applies:

- 40 4. Corrective action must be conducted in compliance with training requirements established in
41 [29 CFR 1910.120\(e\)](#) and Permit Condition II.C.2.

42 *Training to be implemented to meet this requirement is described in Section 9.2.5 below.*

³ It is assumed that protection of human health will also result in the protection of various ecological receptors (i.e., plants and animals) that could come into contact with the potentially contaminated sites as discussed in Section 4.3. It is also a basic assumption in recommendations for corrective measures that they will not preclude any future land use.

January 2007

1 **2.2 Corrective Measures for the 100-NR-1 Operable Unit Source Sites**

2 The 100-NR-1 OU addresses contaminated soils and underground pipelines. It also includes the shoreline
3 site, which is composed of the riverbank seeps in the 100-N Area (N-Springs) and the contaminated soil
4 associated with waste site 100-N-65. The 100-NR-1 Operable Unit does not include the contaminated
5 groundwater underlying this area. The groundwater is addressed in the 100-NR-2 OU.

6 Based on the types of contaminants that occur at the waste sites, the 81 waste sites included in the
7 100-NR-1 OU have been categorized into the following types:

- 8 • Radioactive waste sites (37)⁴
- 9 • Inorganic waste sites (6)
- 10 • Burn pits (6)
- 11 • Surface solid and miscellaneous waste sites (9)
- 12 • Surface petroleum sites (20)
- 13 • Deep petroleum sites (2)
- 14 • Shoreline site (1).

15 **2.2.1 Recommended Actions and Justifications**

16 Different corrective measures have been recommended for the various categories of waste sites in the
17 100-NR-1 OU. The recommended corrective measures are as follows:

- 18 • Remove/Dispose for the radioactive and inorganic waste sites, the burn pits, and the surface solid
19 and miscellaneous waste sites. The Remove/Dispose corrective measure would consist of
20 removing contaminated media that exceed cleanup levels; disposing media at the ERDF;
21 backfilling, grading, and revegetation excavated areas; and land-use restrictions and access
22 controls as described in detail in DOE/RL-95-111, Rev. 0, Section 5.3.4.
- 23 • Remove/Ex Situ Bioremediation/Dispose for near-surface petroleum sites. The Remove/ Ex Situ
24 Bioremediation/Dispose corrective measure would consist of removing contaminated media that
25 exceed cleanup levels; treating excavated soil through biodegradation to reduce toxicity (ex situ
26 bioremediation); disposing residual, contaminated media at the ERDF; backfilling and
27 revegetation excavated areas; and groundwater monitoring as described in detail in
28 DOE/RL-95-111, Rev. 0, Section 5.3.5.
- 29 • In Situ Bioremediation for deep petroleum sites. The In Situ Bioremediation corrective measure
30 would consist of treating contaminated soil in place through biodegradation to reduce toxicity (in
31 situ bioremediation); revegetating disturbed areas; and groundwater monitoring as described in
32 detail in DOE/RL-95-111, Rev. 0, Section 5.3.6.
- 33 • Institutional Controls under a modified CRCIA ranger/industrial scenario for the shoreline site.
34 The Institutional Controls corrective measure would consist of land-use and/or access controls
35 and groundwater monitoring as described in detail in DOE/RL-95-111, Rev. 0, Section 8.7.2.

36 In developing the recommended corrective measures, the various alternatives were compared against both
37 the CERCLA evaluation criteria and the RCRA performance standards. Alternatives that met the two
38 CERCLA threshold criteria (i.e., overall protection of human health and the environment and compliance
39 with ARARs), would also meet the RCRA performance standards numbered 1 through 3 in Section 9.1.
40 All the recommended corrective measures provide protection of human health (performance standard 1.a).
41 The measures that include a removal or treatment component will be protective by removing and
42 disposing of contaminated soil or treating contaminated soil to reach acceptable levels in accordance with
43 ARARs. Similarly, the in situ component will treat contaminated soil to ARARs. The institutional

⁴ These sites are called radioactive waste sites because radioactive constituents are the primary concern; however, these sites are also potentially contaminated with dangerous constituents.

January 2007

1 controls recommendation will be protective of human health by preventing exposure through the use of
2 access controls and land-use restrictions.

3 In addition, the recommended corrective measures, except for institutional controls, would be protective
4 of the environment (performance standard 1.b). By removing or treating contaminated soils, no
5 contaminants above acceptable cleanup levels would remain at the site. Therefore, the potential for
6 contaminants to migrate to other environmental resources is minimized. Institutional controls would not
7 be protective of the environment because they are not effective in preventing migration of contaminants
8 to the groundwater or the river. However, the recommendation to implement institutional controls is
9 viewed as only an interim measure pending availability of information that would support selection of a
10 final remedy for the shoreline site. Attaining ARARs for final cleanup are beyond the scope of the
11 recommended corrective measures, but they will be addressed as part of final cleanup of the site.

12 All of the recommended corrective measures would minimize impacts to ecological and cultural resources
13 (performance standards 1.c and 1.d). For recommendations with removal components, impacts would be
14 minimized through careful adherence to ecological and cultural resources mitigation planning. With the
15 in situ treatment component, little disturbance of the site would be required, therefore impacts to
16 ecological or cultural resources would be minimal. In addition, both the remove and treatment
17 recommendations should have a beneficial impact on ecological and cultural resources by reducing the
18 amount of contamination discharged to offsite sources. Institutional controls, which are already widely
19 used at Hanford, would present no additional risk to ecological or cultural resources.

20 Performance standard 2 is being met with these recommended corrective measures because all of the sites
21 that have been identified as being potentially contaminated in the 100-NR-1 are being addressed by one of
22 the corrective measures.

23 By removing or treating contaminated soils to acceptable cleanup levels, and by controlling migration of
24 contaminants to the groundwater, the potential for releases beyond the boundaries of the 100-NR-1 or
25 100-NR-2 Operable Units is greatly reduced. Therefore, the recommended corrective measures would
26 satisfy performance standard 3, both in the near term and the future. In addition, this performance
27 standard will be addressed during final remediation of the Hanford Site as discussed in Section 9.1 above.

28 Performance standard 4 pertaining to training is discussed in Section 9.2.5 below.

29 **2.2.2 Cleanup Standards for the 100-NR-1 Operable Unit**

30 The cleanup standards for the 100-NR-1 OU are MTCA Method B values identified for the contaminants
31 of concern listed in DOE/RL-95-111, Rev. 0, Table 4-7. If there are sites where deep soil contamination
32 (more than 4.6 m below surrounding grade) is in excess of the cleanup standards, several factors will be
33 considered to determine the extent of additional corrective actions. These factors include protection of
34 human health and the environment, remediation costs, size of the ERDF, worker safety, presence of
35 ecological and cultural resources, the use of institutional controls, and long-term monitoring costs. The
36 extent of remediation must also ensure that contaminant levels in the soil are protective of groundwater
37 and the Columbia River. The decision of whether to proceed with the Remove/Dispose recommendation
38 below 4.6 m will be made by the regulators in consideration of the factors listed above.

39 **2.2.3 Cost**

40 The estimated cost for the various Remove/Dispose alternatives that are recommended for the 80 source
41 sites (which excludes the shoreline site) is \$48.7 million. The cost for the Institutional Controls under the
42 Modified CRCIA Ranger/Industrial Alternative that would be applicable to the shoreline site is estimated
43 to be \$63,358. Detailed cost analyses for all the alternatives are contained in Permit Attachment 47,
44 Chapter 7.0, §7.2.

January 2007

1 **2.2.4 Schedule**

2 Corrective measures for the 100-NR-1 Operable Unit will begin upon completion of all the TSD units and
3 will follow the duration schedule identified in the *Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis for the*
4 *100-N Area Ancillary Facilities and Integration Plan* (Permit Attachment 48).

5 **2.2.5 Training**

6 All personnel working at the Hanford Site, including at sites associated with the 100-NR-1 Operable Unit,
7 will be provided with and will successfully complete general site training as specified in Permit
8 Condition II.C.2 of the Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit. The general requirements specified in
9 Permit Condition II.C.2 are as follows:

10 All Hanford Facility personnel shall receive general training within 6 months of hire. This training shall
11 provide personnel with orientation of dangerous waste management activities being conducted on the
12 Hanford Facility. This training shall include:

- 13 • Description of emergency signals and appropriate personnel response
- 14 • Identification of contacts for information regarding dangerous waste management activities
- 15 • Introduction to waste minimization concepts
- 16 • Identification of contact(s) for emergencies involving dangerous waste
- 17 • Familiarization with the Hanford Facility Contingency Plan.

18 In addition to the training specified in the permit condition, personnel who work at or visit the
19 100-NR-1 OU sites and who have the potential for exposure to contaminants above permissible levels
20 will be provided with training in accordance with [29 CFR 1910.120\(e\)](#). All such personnel shall receive
21 the required training before they are permitted to engage in hazardous waste operations that could expose
22 them to hazardous substances, safety, or health hazards. The training shall consist of provision of the
23 following information:

- 24 • Names of personnel and alternates responsible for site safety and health
- 25 • Safety, health, and other hazards present on the site
- 26 • Use of personal protective equipment
- 27 • Work practices by which the employee can minimize risks from hazards
- 28 • Safe use of engineering controls and equipment on the site
- 29 • Medical surveillance requirements, including recognition of symptoms and signs that might
30 indicate overexposure to hazards
- 31 • Familiarization with the site safety and health plan.

32 This information shall be provided both initially and in annual refresher courses, and certifications shall
33 be made as summarized in subsection 9.2.5.3.

34 **2.2.5.1 Initial Training**

- 35 • For general site workers, initial training shall consist of a minimum of 40 hours of instruction off
36 the site, and a minimum of three days actual field experience under the direct supervision of a
37 trained, experienced supervisor.
- 38 • For workers who are on site only occasionally for a specific limited task, or those who will work
39 only in areas where no health hazards or the possibility of an emergency exists (i.e., are not
40 required to wear respirators), initial training shall consist of a minimum of 24 hours of instruction
41 off the site, and a minimum of 1 day of supervised field experience.
- 42 • For on-site managers and supervisors directly responsible for employees engaged in hazardous
43 waste operations, initial training shall consist of a minimum of 40 hours of instruction and 3 days
44 of field experience. This may be reduced to 24 hours of instruction and 1 day of field experience

January 2007

1 if supervision is limited to those workers who are on site only occasionally or work in areas
2 where no health hazards exist. Managers and supervisors must also have 8 hours of specialized
3 training on such topics as employer's safety and health program and associated employee training
4 program, personal protective equipment program, spill containment program, and health hazard
5 monitoring procedures and techniques.

- 6 • For trainers, they shall have academic credential and instruction experience in the subjects they
7 are expected to teach, or must have satisfactorily completed a training program for teaching the
8 subjects, and shall demonstrate competent instructional skills and knowledge of the subject
9 matter.
- 10 • For those employees engaged in responding to hazardous emergency situations at hazardous
11 waste cleanup sites that may expose them to hazardous substances shall be trained in how to
12 respond to such expected emergencies.

13 **2.2.5.2 Refresher Training**

14 Employees and supervisors required to have completed the initial training as described above shall
15 receive 8 hours annually of refresher training in the required topics and/or a critique of incidents that
16 occurred during the previous year that could serve as training examples.

17 **2.2.5.3 Certification**

18 Employees and supervisors that have received and successfully completed the training and field
19 experience shall be certified by their instructor as evidenced by a written certificate. Uncertified
20 employees shall be prohibited from engaging in hazardous waste operations.