Grays Harbor County MRC – April 17, 2013

What are your priorities, interests, and expectations for Marine Spatial Planning along Washington's coast?

Preserve & Protect Existing Uses	Inclusive, Bottoms-Up Process	Reducing User Conflicts	Don't Lose Sight of the Consequences	Be Guided by Science	Incorporate Local Knowledge
Preserve, protect, grow existing jobs!	Citizen's involvement in the MSP process	Reducing user conflicts	Mitigation for displacement	Common sense planning	Listen to existing info – i.e. logbooks
Recognize traditional user group by priority	Increase communication (stakeholders)		Overcrowding	Additional funding for ocean research	Best science available in the ocean is indigenous knowledge
Preserve existing uses	Who else is giving input			Listen to and use solid science	Will truth matter?
Preserve existing uses	Fishing community is involved with process – coastal communities			Planning should be based on solid science	Subjective & statistical data is utilized before ocean is used
Protect preserve existing uses				Good sound science	
				Will good data matter?	
				Cost should be included in analysis of energy projects	

The participants identified this theme as their number 1 priority, interest, & expectation for MSP

Maintain Ecosystem Health	Create User Driven Boundary	Recognize the Unique Tribal Situation on the Washington Coast	Balanced Growth – Quality of Life & Economic Development	Recognize Hidden Agenda	Predictable, Transparent Process
Improve & enhance water quality & quantity	How far out does planning go? 3 mi, 12 mi, EEZ?	Preemption of existing WA Coast (tribal U&A)	Encourage economic growth & stability	Existing ocean uses = key driver	Approval process to give out permits - not just one John Hancock
Is there scientific data to ensure marine life is not affected by the carbon dioxide, sulfa, etc. caused by wind turbines		WA state is unique = 4 sovereign nations	Any new commercial activity should not just maintain existing conditions but should enhance the ecology	Rework BOEM's mission statement	After process completed – can we be vetoed by governor?
Eliminate non-point pollution		Co-managed by 5 nations	Balance growth		Increase efficiencies
Avoidance of uses that threaten ecosystem					
Ocean acidification					
Maintain ecosystem health					

In attendance:

Lorena Mauer
Al Carter
Dane Reeves
Adam Miller
Gregory L. Hinz
Jim Bool?
Craig Zoura

Garrett Dalan
Keith Beck
Anneke van Doorninck
Paul Mirante
Arthur Grunbaum
Ray Toste
?

Alan Ramer
Ken Abby
Casey Dennehy
Larry Thevik
William Currie
Robin Leraas
Kara Cardinal

Harv Lillegard
Libbie Cain
Shane Reeves
Laurie Deranleau
Liz Seaton
Bill Dewey

Aaron Dierks
Charlie Must
Bill Walsh
Ray Brown
Lillian Broadbent
Heather Trim

Wahkiakum County MRC – April 22, 2013

What are your priorities, interests, and expectations for Marine Spatial Planning along Washington's coast?

Heed Local Voice	Respect Our Way of Life	Balance Old and New Uses	Make it Simple – No Double Standard	Empower the WCMAC	Preserve Our Resource-based Economy	Apply Reason to Maintaining Environment
Local input is necessary	Protect existing uses	Why wave energy?	Limit government waste	Empower the WCMAC – be an amplifier for local voice	Preserve our resource-based economy	Environment Issues (Quality)
Get local input – heed	Value small coastal jobs	Be efficient with resource	Too many acronyms – who's who?		Keep viable commercial fisheries	
Keep local interest on table, protect from squashing by few powerful interests	Statistics don't tell the truth about commercial fisheries	Maintain (prioritize) existing business to co-exist with new developments	Inflexible regulations keep from doing the right thing		Grow economies (existing & new)	
Ten-year moratorium on "how lucky" we are to have recreation-based service industries	Correct history of betrayal of rural communities	Listen to local knowledge	Mitigation should be on site or in the area not for economic advance ± 100 miles away		Support rural develop-ment: transportation to markets – road ferry, ports	
Input given but not heard – no change/ results		Who's use takes precedence?	Keep it simple – use common sense		Protect cultures	
The participants identified this theme as their number 1 priority, interest, & expectation for MSP		Effective conflict resolution process			Preserve cultural economic heritage of our communities	
		Quantify what communities can expect to gain				
		Work with local fishermen, crabbers - areas				
		Local people's input to be considered				
		Funding/benefit consideration				

In attendance:

Carol Ervest	Doug Kess	Poul Toftemark
Kayrene Gilbertsen	Mike Backman	Kent Martin
Donna Westlind	Carrie Backman	

Pacific County MRC – Ilwaco, April 10, 2013

What are your priorities, interests, and expectations for Marine Spatial Planning along Washington's coast?

Protect, Preserve, & Promote Local Resources & Jobs		Local Stakeholders' Voices Heard	No Ocean Energy/Mining/Drilling	Define Geographic Boundary of MSP	Sound Decision-Making Processes
Make long-term sustainability a top priority	Nothing on top of fishing grounds	Coastal voice over Puget Sound voice	No wave energy – not cost effective or reliable source of income for community	Define the upland boundary where MSP begins	Spatial decisions stand alone, void of subsidies for economic evaluation
USA's largest trade imbalance is seafood. How can we provide access to world market?	Protect, preserve, grow jobs	Want more than a voice – power, influence decision making	No wave or wind energy in Washington waters	Maintain 200 mile boundary	Use factual science when making proposals for zoning!
Codify within MSPO statute that existing sustainable uses are protected and preserved	Limit impact on fishing grounds	Provide political & or legal structure to ensure & empower local plans & concern – local control			Make plans based on information from a wide range of sources (fishermen, local gov't, state, feds, etc.)
Protect sustainable resources in coastal communities	Save fishing & shellfish grounds on the Washington Coast	Local input/review of decisions impacting marine resources			Avoid "best-available science" – verify, question - use sound science
Protect existing jobs	No net loss of fishing grounds	CMSP – Bottoms up approach			What are the effects on the local economy?
Protect existing fisheries, species, natural resources	Protect heritage & legacy				Full impact evaluations of new proposals
Protect coastal economies					Common sense planning
Protect & renew natural resources for public use					

The participants identified this theme as their number 1 priority, interest, & expectation for MSP

Oil Spill Response	Oil Spill Prevention	More Funding for Filling Data Gaps – WCMAC Defines	No Veto of Local/Public Voice	Strike a Balance Between Regional, National & Natural Interests	Recognize Local & Regional Differences
Oil spill response	Get "Big Oil" to pay for oil response vessels (Westport)	Fund filling of information gaps for mapping (fisheries, geology, economic, recreation?)	No governor over-ride!	Strike a Balance Between Regional, National & Natural Interests	Recognize different coastal county needs
	Oil spill prevention – tug in Westport	Comprehensive mapping of existing new/potential uses (geologic, mining, energy)			Place based CMSP (Willapa ≠ Neah Bay)

Make MPA Decisions Based on Facts	Promote Small Ports Channel Dredging	Electricity from Wave Action, Tide	Make WCMAC the Policy Making Body for Washington Coast	Control Predators at Sustainable Numbers
No more MPAs	Small ports channel dredging	Electricity from wave action, tide	Make WCMAC the policy making body for Washington coast	Control predators sustainable no.!
More MPAs				

Jon Chambreau	Ryan Crater	Kelsey Cotting	Paul Waterstat	Dick Sheldon	Mike Nordin
Kathleen Sayce	Tom Kollaset	Brian Sheldon	Mandon Peterman	Casey Dennehy	John Hanson
Andi Day	Dave McBride	Willia, Phoder	Milton Gudgell	Ed Green	Al Malchow
Kelly Frech	Steve Manewal	Doug Kess	Mike Cassinelli	Jim Long	Libie Cain
Ed Bittner	Key McMurry	Anne Brown	John Herrold	Brian Cutting	Brian Boudreau
Deb Beasley	Jeff Nesbitt	Lance GR	KG Sudmelu	Robert Byrd	Bryan McHale
Steve Gray	Rob Greenfield	Jill Merrill	Marilyn Sheldon		

The group expressed their interest in having a workshop or summit meeting focused on wave energy.

Pacific County MRC – South Bend, April 9, 2013

What are your priorities, interests, and expectations for Marine Spatial Planning along Washington's coast?

Protect, Preserve, & Enhance Sustainable Existing Uses	Assist & Advocate for Local Economies	Consider the Impacts of Regulations	Oil Spill Prevention	Ensure Coastal Resilience	Coastal Self-Determination	Use Sound Science	Use Common Layman Language	Coastal Zone Management Act Boundary
Protect & preserve existing uses – jobs & natural resources	Assist & advocate for economies of aquaculture	Reduce regulation	Oil spill prevention	Ensure coastal resilience	Empower the WCMAC (4)	Sound ecological, economic, social science	Use common layman language	Western boundary line out 200 miles – both CMSP & SMP
Protect existing use!	Protect private sector jobs	No new regulatory oversight	Oil spill prevention	Continue placement of dredge material	Self-determination of future			
Recognize/protect existing uses		Ocean energy takes up a huge footprint above, below, bed		Clean marine & estuarine waters	Strong coastal communities			
To protect and support current uses or resources				Healthy environment	Strong coastal voice			
Promote sustainability of marine resources				Protect Willapa Bay from development	Local voice is heard			
Protect sustainable uses					Stakeholder & citizen participation			
Preserve public access					Coastal citizen involvement			
Preserve & enhance public access								
Reduce threats to use								

Attendees:

Doug Kess
Dale Beasley
Mike Nordin
Mike Williams
Meagan Martin
Michael Spencer
Key McMurry
Kara Cardinal
Casey Dennehy
Dennis Wilson
Don Gillies
Mark Huber
Annie Brown

North Pacific Coast MRC – April 19, 2013

What are your priorities, interests, and expectations for Marine Spatial Planning along Washington's coast?

Respect Ecosystem Gifts	Practice Science - Support Ecosystem Gifts	Respect Rights & Privileges	K.I.S.S.	Desired Outcomes of MSP (If we do this right…)	Respect the Public Process	Respect Small Local Enterprise
Value ecosystem services	Collect & use accurate, high resolution data	Respect tribal treaty rights	Stop layering multi-designations for same resource	Commercial fishing & shellfish (non-tribal & tribal)	Continue to engage public through entire process	Don't let money & profit run MSP outcomes
Current uses may be unsustainable	Best available science is used throughout	Rights & privileges	Do the "right things" v. "doing things right"	Maintain public access to public beaches	Engage potential for citizen science	Increase local private enterprise to be self-sustaining
Conduct intensive, robust research on ocean health status & trends	Locally driven adaptive management (ground truth plans)			Research impacts of resource extraction/military/shipping on species	Need to find a common language – best available science <-> traditional ecological knowledge	
Establish marine protected areas	Establish & communicate pollution trends, sources, & impacts			Make connections between uses & impacts (holistic management)		
Use renewable animal & plant resources	Map plastic pollution; clean it up!			Community-based offshore energy potential		
Protect aquatic & tidal habitat	Outcome reflects the process outcomes			Develop wind, wave & tidal energy sites		
Ecosystem services valuation				Geographic response plans that work		
Protect/value view sheds				Conduct inventory of minerals, oil, gas, & helium		
Prioritize resilience – conditions <u>will</u> change				Identify emerging uses		
				Inventory existing stakeholders		

In attendance:

Jill Silver	Casey Denney	Rich Osborne	Kara Cardinal	John Richmond
Chris Clark	Chiggers Stokes	Dana Sarff	John Hunter	Ed Bowen
Sue Wolf				