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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Shoreline Management Act Requirements

The Shoreline Management Act guidelines (Guidelines) require local shoreline master programs (SMPs) to regulate new development to “achieve no net loss of ecological function.” The Guidelines (WAC 173-26-186(8)(d)) state that, “To ensure no net loss of ecological functions and protection of other shoreline functions and/or uses, master programs shall contain policies, programs, and regulations that address adverse cumulative impacts and fairly allocate the burden of addressing cumulative impacts.”

The Guidelines further elaborate on the concept of net loss as follows:

“When based on the inventory and analysis requirements and completed consistent with the specific provisions of these guidelines, the master program should ensure that development will be protective of ecological functions necessary to sustain existing shoreline natural resources and meet the standard. The concept of “net” as used herein, recognizes that any development has potential or actual, short-term or long-term impacts and that through application of appropriate development standards and employment of mitigation measures in accordance with the mitigation sequence, those impacts will be addressed in a manner necessary to assure that the end result will not diminish the shoreline resources and values as they currently exist. Where uses or development that impact ecological functions are necessary to achieve other objectives of RCW 90.58.020, master program provisions shall, to the greatest extent feasible, protect existing ecological functions and avoid new impacts to habitat and ecological functions before implementing other measures designed to achieve no net loss of ecological functions.” [WAC 173-206-201(2)(c)]

In short, updated SMPs shall contain goals, policies and regulations that are designed to direct actions in a manner to prevent degradation of ecological functions relative to the existing conditions as documented in that jurisdiction’s analysis report. For those projects that result in degradation of ecological functions, the required mitigation must at a minimum return the resultant ecological function back to the baseline. This is illustrated in the figure below. The jurisdiction must be able to demonstrate that it has accomplished that goal through an analysis of cumulative impacts that might occur.
through implementation of the updated SMP. WAC 173-26-186(8)(d) states “[e]valuation of such cumulative impacts should consider:

(i) current circumstances affecting the shorelines and relevant natural processes;
(ii) reasonably foreseeable future development and use of the shoreline; and
(iii) beneficial effects of any established regulatory programs under other local, state, and federal laws.”

As outlined in the Shoreline Restoration Plan (Appendix A of the SMP) prepared as part of this SMP update, the SMA also seeks to restore ecological functions in degraded shorelines. This cannot be required by the SMP at a project level, but Section 173-26-201(2)(f) of the Guidelines says: “master programs shall include goals and policies that provide for restoration of such impaired ecological functions.” See the Shoreline Restoration Plan for additional discussion of SMP policies and other programs and activities in the City that contribute to the long-term restoration of ecological functions relative to the baseline condition.
1.2 Methodology

Using the textual, numerical and graphical information developed and presented in the Final Shoreline Inventory, Characterization and Analysis Report, this cumulative impacts analysis was prepared consistent with direction provided in the Guidelines as described above. To the extent that existing information was sufficiently detailed and assumptions about possible new or re-development could be made with reasonable certainty, the following analysis is quantitative. However, in many cases information about existing conditions and/or redevelopment potential was not available at a level that could be assessed quantitatively or the analysis would be unnecessarily complex to reach a conclusion that could be derived more simply. Further, ecological function does not have an easy metric. For these reasons, much of the following analysis is more qualitative. Any future analysis will incorporate new information and scientific findings to ensure that SMP implementation is in accord with the latest understanding of ecological functions and impacts.

2 Existing Conditions

A complete summary of existing conditions can be found in the City of Port Angeles’ Final Shoreline Inventory, Characterization and Analysis Report. This report includes an in-depth discussion of specific reach characteristics and information including geologic hazards, cultural resources, sea level rise, and other topics.

The City’s shoreline along the Strait of Juan de Fuca has a wide variety of land uses, including, but not limited to: industrial uses (typically designated High Intensity – Industrial (HI-I) or High Intensity – Commercial Marine (HI-CM)); commercial uses (typically designated High Intensity – Commercial Mixed Use (HI-CMU)), a US Coast Guard base (designated High Intensity – Marine USCG (HI-M)); recreational uses such as parks and trails (typically designated Urban Conservancy – Open Space Recreation (UC-OSR); a landfill site (designated Urban Conservancy – Landfill Low Intensity (UC-LI)); and residential uses (typically designated Shoreline Residential (SR)).

For the purposes of analyzing ecological functions and existing land uses, the City’s marine shoreline is divided into 11 primary reaches based on variations in land use and shoreline features (Figures 2a and b). A discussion of the ecological functions in each reach, along with corresponding ratings, can be found in Tables 7 through 17 of the Final Shoreline Inventory, Characterization and Analysis Report. The ratings of ecological functions in these reaches generally range from “Low/Moderate” to “Moderate.” The lack of higher functioning reaches in Port Angeles is due a number of factors, such as extensive shoreline armoring, lack of vegetation, and the large number of over- and in-water structures.
Figure 2a. Shoreline reaches in the Central City portion of the City of Port Angeles.
Figure 2b. Map of shoreline reaches for the Western City and Eastern City UGA
Port Angeles Harbor provides the only deepwater port on the northern shore of the Olympic Peninsula. As such, it has attracted industrial activity since the early 1900s. Over time, these industrial activities have degraded habitat and water quality through wood waste, effluent discharge from mills, combined stormwater/sewage overflows, seepage from the former landfill, and fuel leaks and other contamination from storage and boatyard facilities. Presently, five cleanup sites and one sediment investigation identified in the Port Angeles shoreline are managed by the Department of Ecology. These sites are presented in detail in the Final Shoreline Inventory, Characterization and Analysis Report.

### 3 DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL

The following table includes excerpts from Table 18 in Chapter 5 of the Final Shoreline Inventory, Characterization and Analysis Report.

**Table 1.** Existing land use and likely changes in land use along Port Angeles’ marine shorelines by reach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaches</th>
<th>Existing Land Use and Likely Changes in Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reach 1 Landfill</td>
<td>This reach contains a former landfill and current solid waste transfer station. It is zoned Public Buildings and Parks (PBP) and may be redeveloped as a park, golf course, alternative energy site, or other public use with potential access to the beach and water’s edge. Steep bluffs and exposed shoreline make this area unlikely for water-dependent uses. Pending further research and available funding, a seawall and contaminated material from the inactive landfill area along the bluff may be removed. Interim alternative measures to protect the shoreline from further adverse impacts of landfill materials sloughing from the uplands are being investigated. The seawall constructed in 2007 may be removed once the issues of contaminated landfill materials is resolved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Reach 2 Western City | This area has two distinct segments: (a) the Ocean View Cemetery and (b) the residences on the bluffs. Again, water-dependent uses are unlikely in this reach due to steep bluffs and exposed shoreline.

- **a)** Ocean View Cemetery is zoned Public Buildings and Parks, and land use change is unlikely. Switchback trails may be developed to provide improved access to the beach.
- **b)** East of the cemetery, land is zoned for single family and mobile home residential uses. Residential development is underway, and as this fits the Comprehensive Plan designation, land use change is unlikely. Current residences are set back from the OHWM approximately 200 feet or more, so the buildings are typically just outside of the shoreline jurisdiction. However, the buildings range are located as little as 35′ to 100′ from the top of the bluff, with most of them less than 70′ from the top of the bluff.

This reach contains the 48” Industrial Water Line that provides water to the Nippon Mill. The water line is armored with large stone rip rap to protect it from the high energy wave action found in this area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaches</th>
<th>Existing Land Use and Likely Changes in Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reach 3</td>
<td>Outer Industrial (NI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 4</td>
<td>Outer Ediz Hook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 5</td>
<td>Inner Ediz Hook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 6</td>
<td>Inner Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 7</td>
<td>Lagoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaches</td>
<td>Existing Land Use and Likely Changes in Land Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reach 8A</strong>&lt;br&gt;Downtown – Tse-whit-zen</td>
<td>This reach contains Terminal 5, used for cargo and the Port’s log yard, <strong>Terminal 4, a small lightly used dock</strong>, and Terminal 7, used as a lay berth facility for vessels up to 750 feet and occasionally for military vessel moorage. The Port-owned shoreline is currently used as a cargo staging area. The land is zoned Industrial Heavy, and the Port’s area will likely continue to have industrial uses in the future. The Port owns the property within the 200’ shoreline jurisdiction, and the Tse-whit-zen site is inland. The Tse-whit-zen village site is a tribal cemetery and designated by the state as a Cultural and Historic site. The Tse-whit-zen site’s zoning is likely to change due to cultural resources on the property. Potential uses of the adjacent lot leased to the tribe by the state of Washington may include an approximately 20,000 sq. ft. artifact curation facility and/or an international research institute and could include public access around the perimeter as appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reach 8B</strong>&lt;br&gt;Downtown – Boat Haven Marina</td>
<td>The Boat Haven marina, Yacht Club, and boat ramp are found in this reach. The area is zoned Industrial Heavy and will likely remain a boat moorage facility and boat launch, with some commercial uses, and additional marine commercial development is likely. The breakwater may be reconfigured and public access may be enhanced to improve safety and usability over time. <strong>These future developments are shown in the Port of Port Angeles’ Strategic Plan: 2009-2013, and the Port Angeles Boat Haven Master Plan Phase 2.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reach 8C</strong>&lt;br&gt;Downtown Transition&lt;br&gt;Terminals 1 &amp; 3 marine industries</td>
<td>This reach contains Terminal 3, used for loading cargo on ships; Terminal 1, used for topside repair of ships, loading cargo, and large-vessel (such as cruise ships) moorage; the Westport Shipyard, which manufactures yachts and operates the 500-ton Travel-lift on the dock adjacent to T-1; Platypus Marine, which provides boat repair services; and the <strong>now vacant</strong> Peninsula Plywood <strong>mill</strong>, a manufacturing plant that includes a log lift over water. <strong>The mill site currently operates only as a log storage/transfer area.</strong> This reach is zoned Industrial Heavy, but may contain more of a mix of uses in the future. Topside repair and vessel berthing uses will most likely remain. Boatyards for mega-yacht construction may expand. If uses change in some areas, public access may be improved. <strong>Demolition of the mill site has begun and the Port is anticipating redevelopment of the site for marine trade uses.</strong> In addition, the Port’s Terminal 3 pier may be extended. The outfall of Tumwater Creek, located in this reach, provides <strong>potential for</strong> habitat and water quality restoration. <strong>potential.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Reaches

<p>| Reach 8D Downtown Mixed Use Valley Creek to Vine Street | This area is mostly zoned Central Business District with some Commercial Arterial and a small zone of Industrial Light between Peabody Street and Vine Street. Land uses include the Valley Creek Estuary Park, the Waterfront Trail, the currently vacant Oak Street property, Terminal 4 (used for offloading seafood, mooring fishing vessels, and handling supplies for fishing vessels), the Black Ball ferry terminal, the Landing Mall (whose dock is used by Expeditions Northwest and Arrow Launch Services for vessel mooring), the Peabody Creek estuary, the City Pier <a href="geographic">Park</a> (which provides summertime transient moorage), the Feiro Marine Life Center, Hollywood Beach, a Red Lion Inn, and Haynes Viewpoint (which is outside of the shoreline jurisdiction). Landward of the shoreline jurisdiction, most properties are commercial north of the bluffs between Valley Creek and Peabody Creek. Above the bluffs in the whole area, more residential uses are found, although these uses are outside of the shoreline jurisdiction. Some properties may intensify their uses, increase recreational activities on the water, and operate water taxis. The Black Ball ferry terminal <a href="timeframe">may was be redesigned rebuilt in 2012</a>. The City Pier may improve their transient moorage, and the Feiro Marine Life Center may be upgraded, refurbished to include expanded uses, or relocated. The Landing Mall may extend its dock and increase its number of tenants, while Expeditions Northwest may move from there to Terminal 4 at the Oak Street property. The Oak Street property will most likely be redeveloped to include a public park and possibly a beach on the City-leased Department of Natural Resources portion per the ongoing Waterfront and Transportation Improvement Plan, with possibly more parkland or other fairly intense uses on the privately owned portion. The Waterfront Trail is likely to remain and possibly be rerouted closer to the water through the Oak Street property. Likewise, the Valley Creek Estuary Park and Hollywood Beach Park are likely to remain parks. Hollywood Beach will be redesigned and expanded, with improvements to the Peabody Creek estuary, also per the Waterfront and Transportation Improvement Plan, and the City may increase or improve its transient moorage on the City Pier. Some public access improvements are expected at the end of Lincoln Street in association with waterfront redevelopment. |
| Reach 9 Olympic Francis | This reach contains the Waterfront Trail and residential uses. The Public Buildings and Park zone stretches along the waterfront, accommodating the Waterfront Trail. The landward residential uses are in a Residential Single Family zone and are unlikely to change. Some small areas are zoned Commercial Office around the Olympic Memorial Hospital (which is zoned for Public Buildings and Parks), and over time, some of the residences in this area may be redeveloped as offices. <a href="population">Nineteen homes exist in the shoreline jurisdiction in this reach</a>. All of the homes are located at the top of the marine bluff. Francis Street Park is partially located on land zoned for single family residential uses, but its use is not likely to change. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaches</th>
<th>Existing Land Use and Likely Changes in Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reach 10</td>
<td>This reach is zoned for Industrial Heavy and Public Buildings and Parks and contains the former Rayonier Mill site. The Rayonier site will most likely be redeveloped with a mix of uses that may include a park and restored Ennis Creek estuary, waterfront public access, cultural, high density residential, and commercial. Because historical records provide evidence of cultural resources on the property, future development may be influenced by concerns for not displacing or disturbing any historic village site. Ennis Creek is an important tributary of the Harbor in this reach. Restoration of Ennis Creek and the former Ennis Creek estuary is anticipated in conjunction with the cleanup of the Rayonier site (See Port Angeles Shoreline Restoration Plan). Conceptual plans have been developed, and they include removal of a jetty (over 600 feet long) and dock (over 200,000 square feet in size), as well as other impervious surfaces and structures. Pulling the shoreline back toward the original shoreline is also a possibility for the site. Future use and development of the site may include some water-oriented uses and public access. This would likely include replacement of the existing over-water structure, albeit with a much smaller pier. No specific plan has been adopted and controversy over the intensity, character and scale of future redevelopment remains in the local community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern City (UGA)</td>
<td>This reach is outside of the City’s boundary but included in the Urban Growth Area. Most of the shoreline jurisdiction is zoned Clallam County’s Open Space Overlay/Open Space Corridors. The Olympic Discovery Trail runs along the beach shoreline on the abandoned railroad right-of-way in that zone. The trail will most likely remain between the shoreline and the bluff. Residential uses are found above the bluffs in Urban Low Density and Urban Very Low Density zones. Although these zones barely extend into the shoreline jurisdiction, some residential parcels do cross into the jurisdiction. The distance between the buildings in these parcels and the top of the bluff varies widely from approximately 35 feet to almost 200 feet. Steep bluffs along the shoreline prevent water-dependent uses or direct access in this reach, so the beach and bluffs will likely remain predominately open space with residences above the bluffs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 PROTECTIVE PROVISIONS

4.1 Environment Designations

The first line of protection of the City’s shorelines is the environment designation assignments (see Figure 3).

Figure 3. Environment designations for the City of Port Angeles

Environment designations proposed for the City of Port Angeles include:

- High Intensity – Industrial (HI-I) C, F, H & I
- High Intensity – Marine (HI-M) E & J
- High Intensity – Urban Uplands (HI-UU) K, L, M & N
- High Intensity – Mixed Use (HI-MU) L & O
- Urban Conservancy – LowIntensity (UC-LI) A & G
- Urban Conservancy – Recreation (UC-R) D, N, & P
- Shoreline Residential (SR) B & P
- Aquatic-Harbor (AQ-H)
- Aquatic-Conservancy (AQ-C)
Tables 2 (Table 2 in the SMP) and 3 (Table 1 in the SMP) below identify the prohibited and allowed uses and modifications in each of the shoreline environments.

**Table 2.** Shoreline Use Matrix (Table 1 in Chapter 2 of the Shoreline Master Program)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHORELINE USE</th>
<th>High-Intensity-Industrial</th>
<th>High-Intensity-Marine</th>
<th>High-Intensity-Uplands</th>
<th>High-Intensity-Mixed-Use</th>
<th>Urban Conservancy-Low Intensity</th>
<th>Urban Conservancy-Recreation</th>
<th>Shoreline Residential</th>
<th>Aquatic-Harbor</th>
<th>Aquatic-Conservancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest practices</td>
<td>X&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquaculture</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-dependent</td>
<td>X&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-related, water-enjoyment</td>
<td>X&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-water-oriented</td>
<td>X&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boating facilities (including marinas)&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrial:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-dependent</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>C&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-related, water-enjoyment</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-water-oriented</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood hazard management</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid waste disposal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural and educational facilities</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government facility – Water-Dependent</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parking:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking (accessory)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking (primary, including paid)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Recreation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p³</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water-dependent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-enjoyment</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p³</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p³</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-water-oriented</td>
<td>p⁴</td>
<td>p³</td>
<td>p¹²</td>
<td>p⁴</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>p⁴</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>p¹¹</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Access</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Residential:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-family residential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily residential</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C⁷</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land subdivision</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Signs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P³</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On premises</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off premise</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public, highway</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Transportation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C³</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water-dependent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-water-oriented</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P¹²</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C³</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads, guideways</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P¹²</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
<td>P³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Utilities (primary)    | P³ | P³ | P¹² | C³ | C³ | C³ | C³ | C³ | C³ |

### Shoreline Use Matrix Notes:

1. Only park concessions and uses that enhance the opportunity to enjoy publicly accessible shorelines may be allowed.
2. Accessory parking is allowed in shoreline jurisdiction only if there is no other feasible option, as determined by the City.
3. Only passive activities that require little development with no significant adverse impacts may be allowed.
4. Non-water-oriented uses may be allowed only (a) where the City determines that water-dependent or water-enjoyment use of the shoreline is not feasible due to the configuration of the shoreline and water body or the underlying land use classifications in the zoning ordinance or (b) as part of a mixed-use development with water-dependent uses.
5. Land division may be allowed only where the City determines that it is for a public purpose.
6. Signs may be allowed only for public facilities and accessory uses within them.
7. Roadways and public utilities may be allowed only if there is no other feasible alternative, as determined by the City, and all adverse impacts are mitigated.
8. Small-scale water-oriented fabrication and processing, such as repair of hand-launched boats and custom fish processing, may be allowed only where the City determines there are no significant adverse impacts.
9. May be allowed only as an accessory use to an otherwise allowed water dependent use.
10. May be allowed only if the development and use do not cause significant ecological impacts.

11. Allowed only as an accessory use to water-dependent uses and where the development is also adjacent to a High-Intensity–Mixed-Use upland environment.

12. May be allowed only if separated from the shoreline (OHWM) by a public right-of-way, trail, or public access walk.

13. Special provisions for the Ennis Creek area (former Rayonier Mill site).

14. Uses may be allowed in the aquatic environments if they are indicated as “may be permitted” in both the applicable aquatic environment and the adjacent upland environment. Uses may be allowed as a conditional use if indicated as “the use may be permitted as a conditional use” in both the applicable aquatic environment and the adjacent upland environment.

15. Marinas that include dry-land boat storage require a conditional use permit.

16. Log handling and processing of forest products are allowed in the HI-I and HI-M environments. Aquatic log storage may be allowed in the AQ-H environment. See Chapter 5.C., Regulations 15 through .26.

17. Residential uses may be allowed in the HI-MU environment only when located above an approved ground floor use. See PAMC Title 17.

Table 3. Shoreline Modifications Matrix (Table 2 in Chapter 2 of the Shoreline Master Program)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHORELINE MODIFICATIONS</th>
<th>HI-I Industrial</th>
<th>HI-I Marine</th>
<th>HI-U Urban Uplands</th>
<th>HI-M Mixed-Use</th>
<th>UC-L Intensity</th>
<th>UC-R Recreation</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>AH</th>
<th>AC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioengineering</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revetments</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulkheads</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakwaters/jetties/rock weirs/groins</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dikes, levees</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluff walls</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental restoration</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearing and Grading</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredging</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredged material disposal</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste cleanup</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Shoreline Modification Matrix Notes:

1. *Allowed in the aquatic environment only if allowed in the nearest upland environment.*
2. *Allowed only to the extent necessary for construction.*
3. *Private, non-commercial mooring piles and buoys are prohibited.*
4. *Modification may be allowed waterward of the OHWM if it enhances ecological functions.*
5. *Dredging and dredged material disposal may be allowed as part of construction of an approved use within the Aquatic Environments (e.g., buried outfall). Dredge material disposal according to PSDDA management plan is an approved activity.*
6. *Bluff walls and similar measures may be allowed to protect public roadways and utilities.*
7. *Fill waterward of the OHWM that is for the purpose of restoring ecological functions or as part of a WDOE-approved environmental clean-up action is a permitted use and does not require a conditional use permit.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>C&lt;sup&gt;1, 2, 6, 7, 8&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>C&lt;sup&gt;6, 7, 8&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fill</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Piers, docks</strong></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moorage piles and mooring buoys</strong></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outfalls</strong></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 General Goals, Policies and Regulations

The SMP contains numerous general policies, with supporting regulations (see SMP), intended to protect the ecological functions of the shoreline and prevent adverse cumulative impacts. These policies are summarized below.

- The City should give preference to those uses that are consistent with the prevention and control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment, or are unique to or dependent upon uses of the state’s shoreline areas.

- The City should ensure that all proposed shoreline development will not diminish the public’s health, safety, and welfare, as well as the land or its vegetation and wildlife, and should endeavor to protect property rights while implementing the policies of the Shoreline Management Act.

- The City should reduce use conflicts by prohibiting or applying special permit conditions to those uses which are consistent with the prevention and control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment or are not unique to or dependent upon use of the state’s shoreline. In implementing this provision, preference should be given first to water-dependent uses, then to water-related uses and water-enjoyment uses, as defined in Chapter 6, Definitions.

- The City should encourage the full use of existing urban areas before expansion of intensive new development area is allowed, and should adopt an infill-policy for the entire City.

4.3 Shoreline Restoration Plan

As discussed above, one of the key objectives that the SMP must address is “no net loss of ecological shoreline functions necessary to sustain shoreline natural resources” (Ecology 2004). However, SMP updates seek not only to maintain conditions, but to improve them:

“...[shoreline master programs] include planning elements that when implemented, serve to improve the overall condition of habitat and resources within the shoreline area of each city and county (WAC 173-26-201(c)).”

The guidelines state that “master programs shall include goals, policies and actions for restoration of impaired shoreline ecological functions. These master program provisions should be designed to achieve overall improvements in shoreline ecological functions over time, when compared to the status upon adoption of the master program” (WAC 173-26-201(2)(f)). Pursuant to that direction, the City has prepared a Shoreline Restoration Plan, which is a non-regulatory part of the SMP (Appendix A).
Practically, it is not always feasible for shoreline developments and redevelopments to achieve no net loss at the site scale, particularly for those developments on currently undeveloped properties or those developing a new pier or bulkhead. The Shoreline Restoration Plan, therefore, can be an important component in making up that difference in ecological function that would otherwise result just from implementation of the SMP. The Shoreline Restoration Plan represents a long-term vision for restoration that will be implemented over time, resulting in ongoing improvement over the existing conditions. Development or preservation that maximizes the amount of ecologically restored and protected area, within the context of allowable commercial uses, is the ideal.

The Shoreline Restoration Plan identifies a number of project-specific opportunities for restoration on both public and private properties inside and outside of shoreline jurisdiction, and also identifies ongoing City programs and activities, non-governmental organization programs and activities, and other recommended actions consistent with a variety of watershed-level efforts (see Appendix A in the SMP).

### 4.4 General Cumulative Impacts Assessment

The following table (Table 4) summarizes for each environment designation and reach segment: the existing conditions, anticipated development, relevant Shoreline Master Program (SMP) provisions, other regulatory provisions and development/restoration programs, and the expected net impact on ecological function. Certain special topics are discussed and analyzed in greater detail in Chapter 5 following the table. The discussion of existing conditions is based on the Final Shoreline Inventory, Characterization and Analysis Report.

In addition to the environment designations discussed in the following tables, the Aquatic-Harbor and Aquatic-Conservancy designations will apply to those applicable areas of shoreline jurisdiction waterward of the ordinary high water mark:

- **The purpose of the Aquatic-Harbor Environment** is to manage development and uses, and to protect, and, where applicable, restore ecological functions of the areas waterward of the ordinary high water mark within the Port Angeles Harbor. An Aquatic-Harbor Environment designation will be assigned to shoreline areas waterward of the ordinary high water mark within Port Angeles Harbor.

- **The purpose of the Aquatic-Conservancy Environment designation** is to protect and enhance the natural characteristics and functions of the areas waterward of the ordinary high water mark outside the Port Angeles Harbor. As opposed to aquatic areas within the AQ-H Environment, those in the AQ-C generally lie outside Ediz Hook and feature much less in-water uses and development. Consequently, the provisions for the AQ-C Environment emphasize ecological protection and restoration and are generally more restrictive in terms of allowed shoreline uses and modifications. Aquatic-Conservancy areas include:
- Marine waters outside the Port Angeles Harbor as defined in the Aquatic-Harbor designation.
- The lagoon at the base of Ediz Hook.
### Table 4. General Cumulative Impacts Assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoreline Segment</th>
<th>Existing Conditions</th>
<th>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</th>
<th>Effect of SMP Provisions</th>
<th>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</th>
<th>Net Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Intensity – Industrial (HI-I)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 3 (in full)</td>
<td><strong>Existing Development:</strong> The Nippon Paper plant is located in this segment.</td>
<td><strong>Existing Functions/Processes:</strong> Hydrologic: Virtually the entire shoreline is armored, presumably altering the rate or type of sediment movement. However, there are no barriers to movement of sediment along the shoreline. Listed as Category 5 for Dissolved Oxygen impairment; No TMDL Water Quality Improvement Project.</td>
<td>Vegetative: No substantive shoreline vegetation. Habitat: In bald eagle buffer.</td>
<td>Future Development: Land uses are not expected to change. <strong>Functions/Processes Impacted:</strong> Water Quantity: No change is expected in impervious surface coverage or runoff generated within this reach. Water Quality: No change is expected in water quality in this reach based on ongoing operations alone. The development and implementation of a TMDL Water Quality Improvement Project to address low dissolved oxygen would likely improve water quality. Vegetation and Habitat: Given the cleared and very developed nature of this shoreline, little degradation of shoreline vegetation and habitat is anticipated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Development:</strong> Land uses include the Nippon Paper plant, storage facility, and pier used to transfer paper products onto barges; and a Texaco Petroleum fuel distribution pier and tanks. The Waterfront Trail is also located within this reach.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Functions/Processes:</strong> Hydrologic: Industrial infrastructure likely causes significant interference with natural current patterns. Category 5 for Dissolved Oxygen; Category 2 for 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Category 2 for Fecal Coliform. No TMDL Water Quality Improvement Project.</td>
<td>Vegetative: Most areas have</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Development:</strong> These uses are unlikely to change in the majority of the reach, although Nippon Paper Industries may be redeveloping portions of their property to include a biomass cogeneration energy plant. The Waterfront Trail will likely remain in this reach, although its route and wayfinding may be improved. In addition, opportunity exists for a public access corridor along the east boundary of the Nippon property.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functions/Processes Impacted:</strong> Water Quantity: Slight increase in impervious surface coverage is possible with development of the energy plant. Opportunities to offset this impact include increased shoreline vegetation and adherence to stormwater management requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMP policies for the HI-I environment (Chapter 2.B.1.c) provide the following guidance:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. In regulating uses in the High Intensity-Industrial Environment, first priority should be given to water-dependent uses. Second priority should be given to water-related and water-enjoyment uses. Non-water-oriented uses should not be allowed except for 1) as part of mixed-use developments that combine water-dependent and non-water-oriented uses or 2) existing developed areas supporting water-dependent uses and/or shoreline restoration. Non-water-oriented uses may also be allowed in limited situations on sites where there is no direct access to a shoreline with navigable waters. In these cases, shoreline restoration should be included as part of development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. New development, redevelopment, and uses should include the protection and/or, where feasible, restoration of shoreline ecological functions, with particular emphasis on habitat for priority species and environmental cleanup.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Visual and physical public access should be required as part of a non-water-oriented development where there are both a public benefit and no security or use conflicts, as provided for in SMP Section 3.B.9.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Comfortable and attractive pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular routes should be provided to public access points, such as Ediz Hook, by implementing shoreline management provisions, or other measures such as street and pathway improvements. Provide pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular routes to public access points by establishing shoreline management provisions, as well as undertaking other measures such as street and pathway improvements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Redevelopment or ecological restoration of substandard and degraded urban shoreline areas and obsolete structures should be encouraged through regulatory and capital improvement measures in order to make maximum use of the available shoreline resource and to accommodate future water-oriented uses. The redevelopment or ecological restoration of substandard and degraded urban shoreline areas and obsolete structures should be encouraged.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Restoration Activities / Programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Significant changes in land use are not anticipated in this reach. Any future redevelopment would need to comply with vegetation, setback, and shoreline modification standards. Any impacts to ecological function would need to be mitigated.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implementation of the draft Stormwater Management Plan will help the City identify and address sources of water quality problems.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Restoration activities, including the removal of wood waste from the lagoon will improve water quality and nearshore habitat.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Given the above potential impacts and mitigation measures, no net loss of shoreline functions is expected.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoreline Segment</td>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</td>
<td>Effect of SMP Provisions</td>
<td>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</td>
<td>Net Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 7 Lagoon</td>
<td>no vegetation.</td>
<td>Water Quality: No change is expected in water quality in this area based on ongoing operations alone. The development and implementation of a small Water Quality Improvement Project to address low dissolved oxygen would likely improve water quality.</td>
<td>reach 7, the minimum VCA and setback are 20 feet. Additionally, existing structures, improvements to existing structures and public access pathways may extend into the VCA and setback areas. Any untreated sewage must be directed away from the lagoon. Any development projects in Section C must consider ecological restoration opportunities.</td>
<td>The City’s Sensitive Areas regulations (PAMC 15.220) establish wetland buffers ranging from 25-300 feet depending on wetland rating and intensity of proposed land use. Waters placed on the 303(d) list (Category 5) require the preparation of Water Quality Improvement Project Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs), a planning tool to clean up polluted waters. Small Water Quality Improvement Projects identify the maximum amount of a pollutant to be allowed to be released into a waterbody so as not to impair beneficial uses of the water, and allocate that amount among various sources. In addition, even before a Water Quality Improvement Project TMDL is completed, the inclusion of a water body on the 303(d) list can reduce the amount of pollutants allowed to be released under permits issued by Ecology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Habitat: In bald eagle buffer, listed as priority abalone habitat.</td>
<td>Vegetation and Habitat: Given the cleared and very developed nature of this shoreline, little degradation of shoreline vegetation and habitat is anticipated.</td>
<td>Generally, the SMP does not allow projects that would have a significant impact on ecological functions unless impacts are mitigated according to mitigation sequencing (Chapter 3.B.6.c).</td>
<td>The draft Port Angeles Harbor Resource Management Plan identifies priorities and sets a course for improving shoreline habitat, public access, and economic development in the City’s core.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Quality: Emissions from the proposed energy plant are likely to reduce air quality, however state’s air electrostatic scrubbing equipment is included in the design, conceivably reducing pollutants. This may be of concern to nearby Olympic National Park, which is under pressure to reduce air pollution and associated impacts.</td>
<td>Chapter 5.B.5 identifies policies and regulations specific to industrial uses. These regulations provide the following standards relevant to ongoing industrial activities:</td>
<td>As identified in the Shoreline Restoration Plan (Appendix A of the SMP), several opportunities for improvements to shoreline ecological functions exist:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Functions/Processes Impacted:</td>
<td>4. Long-term storage and/or disposal of industrial wastes is prohibited within shoreline jurisdiction, except that Waste water treatment systems may be allowed in shoreline jurisdiction only if alternate, inland areas have been adequately provisioned for receiving and treating sewage.</td>
<td>● Planting native vegetation; ● Improve conditions along armored shorelines where feasible; ● Mitigate effects of armoring by incorporating LWD or through beach nourishment; ● Remove wood waste from the lagoon; and ● Restore tidal connectivity at all tides to the lagoon;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Land use change unlikely. Restoration activities may take place at the lagoon.</td>
<td>5. Waste disposal, except clean soils and clean dredge spoils, is prohibited within shoreline jurisdiction. Temporary storage of waste is allowed provided all applicable regulations governing storage are a part of the design. The Shoreline Administrator will establish the time period allowed for temporary storage.</td>
<td>Remove abandoned or derelict structures on the shoreline.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Future Development:</td>
<td>8. Acknowledging that nighttime industrial activities area important, new display and other exterior lighting shall, to the extent feasible, be designed, shielded, and operated to avoid illuminating the water surface and to reducing light pollution into the night sky and residential areas.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existing Development: The area around the lagoon includes industrial facilities and parking. The area along the south side of the lagoon is more forested and extends to the base of the marine bluff. The industrial waterfront follows the base of the bluff and is built on piers above the surface of the lagoon. The outlet channel is approximately 14 feet in width and fully armored with sheet piling.</td>
<td>Land use change unlikely. Restoration activities may take place at the lagoon.</td>
<td>12. Industrial activities, including ship and boat building and repair yards, shall employ Best Management Practices (BMPs) concerning the various services and activities they perform and their impacts on the surrounding water quality.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Functions/Processes: Hydrologic: Outlet may interfere with natural current patterns. Little organic material input. Category 5 with respect to Dissolved Oxygen and Fecal Coliform. No small water quality improvement project.</td>
<td>Water Quantity: No change is expected in impervious surface coverage or associated runoff. Water Quality: No change is expected in water quality in this reach based on ongoing operations alone. Vegetation and Habitat: Ongoing uses are unlikely to further degrade vegetation or habitat. Restoration of vegetation surrounding the lagoon is possible, in which case, vegetative functions would be improved.</td>
<td>Additionally, new development, expansion or redevelopment of existing facilities would trigger the following requirements (Chapter 5.B.5):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Quantity: No change is expected in impervious surface coverage or associated runoff.</td>
<td>1. Proposed industrial developments or major expansions shall be consistent with Port Angeles Harbor Resource Management Plan, or, if not be accompanied by a feasibility or use analysis acceptable to the City.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Quality: No change is expected in water quality in this reach based on ongoing operations alone. Vegetation and Habitat: Ongoing uses are unlikely to further degrade vegetation or habitat. Restoration of vegetation surrounding the lagoon is possible, in which case, vegetative functions would be improved.</td>
<td>7. At new or expanded port and/or industrial developments, the best available facilities practices and procedures shall be employed for the safe handling of fuels and toxic or hazardous materials to prevent them from entering the water, and optimum means shall be employed for prompt and effective cleanup of those spills that do occur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydrologic: Outlet may interfere with natural current patterns. Little organic material input. Category 5 with respect to Dissolved Oxygen and Fecal Coliform.</td>
<td>9. All industrial loading and service areas shall be located or screened to minimize adverse impacts to the shoreline environment (including visual impacts) and public access facilities, including the Waterfront Trail and Olympic Discovery Trail. At a minimum, parking and service areas shall be screened from the trails by a 10-foot strip of evergreen trees and shrubs that is able to provide a full visual screen within five years of planting. The plantings shall conform to the list of preferred plant materials and meet the City’s standards for planting and maintenance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetation and Habitat: Given the cleared and very developed nature of this shoreline, little degradation of shoreline vegetation and habitat is anticipated.</td>
<td>11. Low Impact Development (LID) techniques shall be incorporated where discharge.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The City of Port Angeles Cumulative Impacts Analysis

Shoreline Segment | Existing Conditions | Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted | Effect of SMP Provisions | Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs | Net Effect
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Reach 7 Lagoon | no vegetation. | Water Quality: No change is expected in water quality in this area based on ongoing operations alone. The development and implementation of a small Water Quality Improvement Project to address low dissolved oxygen would likely improve water quality. | reach 7, the minimum VCA and setback are 20 feet. Additionally, existing structures, improvements to existing structures and public access pathways may extend into the VCA and setback areas. Any untreated sewage must be directed away from the lagoon. Any development projects in Section C must consider ecological restoration opportunities. | The City’s Sensitive Areas regulations (PAMC 15.220) establish wetland buffers ranging from 25-300 feet depending on wetland rating and intensity of proposed land use. Waters placed on the 303(d) list (Category 5) require the preparation of Water Quality Improvement Project Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs), a planning tool to clean up polluted waters. Small Water Quality Improvement Projects identify the maximum amount of a pollutant to be allowed to be released into a waterbody so as not to impair beneficial uses of the water, and allocate that amount among various sources. In addition, even before a Water Quality Improvement Project TMDL is completed, the inclusion of a water body on the 303(d) list can reduce the amount of pollutants allowed to be released under permits issued by Ecology. | The draft Port Angeles Harbor Resource Management Plan identifies priorities and sets a course for improving shoreline habitat, public access, and economic development in the City’s core. | As identified in the Shoreline Restoration Plan (Appendix A of the SMP), several opportunities for improvements to shoreline ecological functions exist: • Planting native vegetation; • Improve conditions along armored shorelines where feasible; • Mitigate effects of armoring by incorporating LWD or through beach nourishment; • Remove wood waste from the lagoon; and • Restore tidal connectivity at all tides to the lagoon; • Remove abandoned or derelict structures on the shoreline. | Readership 2020 |
### Shoreline Segment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoreline Segment</th>
<th>Existing Conditions</th>
<th>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</th>
<th>Effect of SMP Provisions</th>
<th>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</th>
<th>Net Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follow regulations:</td>
<td>Water quality is negatively impacted by large amounts of wood waste covering the bottom of the lagoon.</td>
<td>Vegetative: Lagoon in this area is buffered by a strip of low-growing vegetation. Habitat: In bald eagle buffer zone.</td>
<td>The following regulations apply specifically to upland log storage (Chapter 5.B.5): 15.14. &quot;Unpaved storage areas underlain by permeable soils shall have at least a 4-foot separation between the ground surface and the highest seasonal water table.&quot; 15. &quot;Berms, dikes, grassy swales, vegetated buffers, retention ponds or other means shall be used to ensure that surface runoff is collected and discharged from the storage area at one point, if possible. It shall be demonstrated that State water quality standards and/or criteria will not be violated by such runoff under any conditions of flow leaving the site and entering into nearby water courses. If such demonstration is not possible, treatment facilities for runoff shall be provided, meeting city, state, and federal standards.&quot; A discussion of overwater structures and shoreline stabilization regulations is included in Section 5, below.</td>
<td>Chapter 4.B.6.b, identifies the City’s objective to pursue recommendations identified in the Shoreline Restoration Plan (TWC and Makers 2011).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 8A</td>
<td>Existing Development: The shoreline in this segment is highly modified. The uplands in this reach are intensely used for cargo staging and log storage. Two Three major port terminals are located in this segment, along with several other smaller structures. The entire shoreline is armored. Future Development: The area within shoreline jurisdiction is expected to continue to serve industrial uses. Zoning at the Tse-whit-zen site, which is adjacent to, but outside of shoreline jurisdiction, is likely to change due to cultural resources on the property. Potential uses of the adjacent lot leased to the tribe by the state of Washington, and also outside of the City’s shoreline jurisdiction, may include an approximately 20,000 sq. ft. artifact curation facility and/or an international research institute and could include public access around the perimeter as appropriate. Functions/Processes Impacted: Hydrologic: Given the highly altered state of the shoreline, the continued use of this area for industrial purposes would not be expected to markedly alter current hydrologic functions/processes. Increased impervious surfaces adjacent to the shoreline associated with the artifact facility would likely increase runoff, however, such development would need to adhere to stormwater regulations. Vegetative/Habitat: Given the highly altered state of the shoreline, the continued use of this area for industrial purposes would not be expected to markedly alter current vegetative or habitat functions/processes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port terminals 4, 5, and 6.</td>
<td><strong>Existing Development:</strong> The shoreline in this segment is highly modified. The uplands in this reach are intensely used for cargo staging and log storage. <strong>Future Development:</strong> The area within shoreline jurisdiction is expected to continue to serve industrial uses. <strong>Zoning at the Tse-whit-zen site,</strong> which is adjacent to, but outside of shoreline jurisdiction, <em>is</em> likely to change due to cultural resources on the property. Potential uses of the adjacent lot leased to the tribe by the state of Washington, and also outside of the City’s shoreline jurisdiction, may include an approximately 20,000 sq. ft. artifact curation facility and/or an international research institute and could include public access around the perimeter as appropriate. <strong>Functions/Processes Impacted:</strong> Hydrologic: Given the highly altered state of the shoreline, the continued use of this area for industrial purposes would not be expected to markedly alter current hydrologic functions/processes. Increased impervious surfaces adjacent to the shoreline associated with the artifact facility would likely increase runoff, however, such development would need to adhere to stormwater regulations. Vegetative/Habitat: Given the highly altered state of the shoreline, the continued use of this area for industrial purposes would not be expected to markedly alter current vegetative or habitat functions/processes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High Intensity – Marine (HI-M)

Reach 4

Existing Development:
Existing development in this segment consists primarily of a runway associated with the Coast Guard base.

Future Development:
The Coast Guard base is likely to remain. No specific future development activities at the Coast Guard base are known. However, any development in this segment would be minimal as the runway occupies the majority of the segment.

Functions/Processes Impacted:
As no specific future development activities at the Coast Guard base are known, no specific impacts to functions/processes can be determined for the Coast Guard base.

Reach 5

Inner Ediz Hook (in part)

Existing Development:
Existing development in this segment consists of facilities associated with a Coast Guard base. These facilities include several buildings, paved roads and parking areas, and boating infrastructure. Development in this segment also includes a boat for mooring pilot boats used by the Puget Sound Pilots Association and an associated office building, a public boat launch. The area also includes a Port log raft storage area and an aquaculture operation with floating net pens for marine animal growth. The city-owned building (once used as a restaurant) also occurs in this segment.

Future Development:
The Coast Guard base is likely to remain. No specific future development activities at the Coast Guard base are known. However, it is likely that at least some facility renovations will occur. An expansion of mooring area has been suggested. New development in the western portion of the reach is unlikely as several restoration efforts have been undertaken and strong public sentiment opposes such development.

Functions/Processes Impacted:
As no specific future development activities at the Coast Guard base are known, no specific impacts to functions/processes can be determined.

The SMP provides the following management policies for the HI-M environment (Chapter 2.B.2.c):

1. First priority should be given to water-dependent uses. Second priority should be given to water-related and water-enjoyment uses.
2. Where applicable, new development shall include environmental cleanup and restoration of the shoreline in accordance with state and federal requirements and the restoration plan accompanying this SMP.
3. Except at the U.S. Coast Guard base, visual and physical public access should be required as provided for in SMP Section 2.B.3.
4. Provide pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular routes to public access points.
5. Establish shoreline management provisions to improve the visual qualities in this environment and the views from public properties and substantial numbers of residences.
6. Development in the High-Intensity Marine Environment should be managed so that it enhances and maintains the shorelines for a variety of water-based uses, with an emphasis on industrial, maritime, and boating activities.
7. The redevelopment and renewal of substandard and degraded urban shoreline areas and obsolete structures should be encouraged.
8. In regulating uses at the U.S. Coast Guard base, the City recognizes that the U.S. Coast Guard is a use intrinsically essential to achieving the objectives of the Shoreline Management Act. Specifically, the U.S. Coast Guard supports maritime commerce, marine safety, environmental cleanup efforts (e.g., spill response), and water recreation. The Coast Guard also has unique security and operational requirements, so that public access provisions do not apply to the U.S. Coast Guard base property. Additionally, uses accessory to the Coast Guard mission and operations should be allowed on the base.

Specific to Reach 4 (SMP Segment E.a.), “On Ediz Hook facing the Strait” (Chapter 2.C), but not applicable to the Coast Guard base, the vegetation conservation area (VCA) and building setback extend from the OHWM to the edge of the furthest extent of the structural road pavement foundation. The road may be widened only for pedestrian and bicycle trail improvements and parking ramps. All other improvements are mitigated as the closest stop, view point or picnic area up to 200 square feet in area may be constructed within the setback and VCA for every 1200 linear feet of shoreline. Repair of shoreline stabilization measures is permitted. Environmental mitigation such as beach enhancement or large woody debris placement may be required if shoreline stabilization is enlarged.

For Reach 5 (SMP Segment E.b.), “On Ediz Hook facing the harbor,” the VCA standards also extend from the OHWM to the furthest extent of the structural road foundation, but the minimum structure setback is 15 feet from OHWM for non-water dependent uses (Chapter 2.C). Furthermore, on the harbor-side, a continuous public access trail must be constructed along the length of the shoreline. In this reach, shoreline stabilization shall be allowed only if it is necessary to protect existing structures or roadways.

For Reaches BB, BC, and BD (SMP Segment I), the minimum VCA and structural setback is 50 feet for non-water dependent uses (Chapter 2.C). Structures that are part of a marina or similar water-dependent use may intrude on the VCA and setback. New or enhanced shoreline stabilization in or over-water proposals would require review not only by the City of Port Angeles, but also by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), and/or the Washington Departments of Ecology and Natural Resources. Each of these agencies is charged with regulating and/or protecting shorelines and the waters of Puget Sound, and would impose certain design or mitigation requirements on applicants. A project that includes in-water fill would require Corps review and permitting. For similar projects along the Puget Sound, a Biological Evaluation would be prepared to assess project impacts on listed species, and that documentation would be routed to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service for Endangered Species Act review. These agencies would also impose certain design and mitigation requirements on a proposed project to minimize adverse impacts.

The City’s draft Stormwater Management Plan (2010) addresses runoff from new development, redevelopment, and construction activities at sites one acre or greater in size. The City may reduce the size threshold in the future. Actions include employing Ecological’s manual for design criteria and best management practices, conducting stormwater plan review and oversight, pre- and post-construction site inspection, and compliance and maintenance standards for stormwater discharge.

The City’s sensitive areas regulations require wetland buffers varying between 25 and 300 feet based on wetland classification and intensity of proposed land use.

Removal of the Elwha dam is was planned to commence between September 2011. Originally anticipated to be a two to three year process, removal proceeded quickly and by late spring 2012, the Elwha Dam was completely gone. Work on lowering the Glines Canyon dam is expected to be complete by summer 2013.

The primary changes anticipated in the HI-M environment include additional marine commercial development and water-dependent industrial development. Reconfiguration of the existing breakwater in Reach 6B could also allow for expanded marine facilities. VCAs and setback standards will generally not apply to these water dependent uses.

Shoreline conditions along Ediz Hook are expected to improve substantially through the Elwha dam removal process and continued shoreline restoration along Ediz Hook should also help improve shoreline conditions. By allowing “one near shore, drama area up to 200 square feet in area may be constructed within the WVWM for every 1200 linear feet of shoreline, ” such features could be located on the north and south shorelines of Ediz Hook. This may be more than the standards or activity than can be functionally supported.

A new or reconfigured breakwater at the marina would likely be either open for floating or fishing and existing impacts on sediment movement would be reduced. Further development of existing marina facilities and expansion of the marina would require review and the City’s Shoreline Administrator may require ecological restoration to mitigate for environmental impacts to ensure no net loss of ecological improvement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoreline Segment</th>
<th>Existing Conditions</th>
<th>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</th>
<th>Effect of SMP Provisions</th>
<th>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</th>
<th>Net Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reach 8B</td>
<td>Existing Development: This area consists of a marina, boat launch, and associated upland facilities, including the Yacht Club. Log rafting continues on the outside of the Boat Haven’s eastern jetty.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boat Haven</strong></td>
<td>Hydrologic: Altered by fully armored shoreline and a variety of in-water structures. Category 2 for Fecal Coliform. Vegetative: Very limited vegetation exists in this reach to provide functions/processes. Much of the marina uplands is surfaced with impermeable material.</td>
<td>Future Development: Existing uses are expected to continue. Additional marine commercial development is likely. The Port of Port Angeles master plan indicates that the breakwater may be reconfigured to increase the size of the marina and public access improved over time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>segment (in full)</strong></td>
<td>Habitat: This reach generally provides poor</td>
<td>Functions/Processes Impacted:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydrologic: Given the highly altered state of the shoreline, the continued use of this area for existing purposes would not be expected to markedly alter current hydrologic functions/processes. Additional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial development could increase the amount of impervious surfaces slightly; however, such development would need to comply with stormwater regulations. Vegetative/Habitat: Given the highly altered state of the shoreline, the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>may be allowed if necessary to prevent erosion or to support water-dependent uses. Generally, the SMP does not allow projects that would have a significant impact on ecological functions unless impacts are mitigated according to mitigation sequencing (Chapter 3.B.6.c). The following Shoreline Stabilization Modification Regulations (Ch.4.B.2.c) would apply to the reconfiguration of the breakwater:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28. The effect of proposed breakwaters, rock weirs, and groins on sand movement shall be evaluated to determine the extent of potential impacts during permit review. Standard mitigation sequencing shall be required of all projects proposing breakwaters, rock weirs, or groins. The beneficiaries and/or owners of large-scale works that substantially alter, reduce, or block littoral drift and cause new erosion of downdrift shores shall be required to establish and maintain an adequate long-term beach replenishment program. 30. Open-pile or floating breakwaters shall be preferred over solid fixed breakwaters. Fixed breakwaters that obstruct movement in the full water column are not allowed unless it can be demonstrated that solid breakwaters will have no significant adverse impacts to natural shoreline processes or that such adverse impacts can be adequately mitigated. 35. Materials used for the construction of breakwaters, jetties, rock weirs, and groins shall be durable, low-maintenance, and compatible with existing shoreline features, processes, and aesthetics. Expansion of the marina, and any overwater structures associated with the boatyards would need to comply with the following regulations (Chapter 4.B.3.c): 4. Only piers and ramps are permitted in the first 30 feet waterward of the OHWM. All floats, ells and fingers must be at least 30 feet waterward of the OHWM. 5. The proposed length must be the minimum necessary to support the intended use. 6. No skirting is permitted on any over-water structure except to contain or protect flotation material. 9. Lighting associated with overwater structures shall minimize light spillage on adjacent properties or waterbodies. 10. Piles, floats and other over-water structures that are in direct contact with water or over water shall not be treated or coated with herbicides, fungicides, paint, or pentachlorophenol. Use of wood members treated with toxic materials is not allowed in any new or reconditioned overwater structures. Teak are prohibited as part of overwater structures. All foam material must be completely encapsulated. Use of wood members treated with arsenate compounds or creosote is prohibited. Furthermore, the following regulations apply to boating facilities specifically (Chapter 5.B.3.c):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This action is expected to improve sediment delivery to and beach accretion on the outer side of Ediz Hook. The removal of the dam and sediment delivery will occur under a permit in a manner to ensure that the restoration of sediment processes happens at a rate that will not overwhelm existing conditions. This should greatly enhance the nearshore function in Reach 4 in the next decade and beyond. As identified in the Shoreline Restoration Plan (Appendix A of the SMP), several opportunities for improvements to shoreline ecological functions exists within the HI-M environment. Nearshore restoration of a 1,200-foot section of Ediz Hook, sponsored by the Port of Port Angeles and Transportation, is also scheduled to begin in early summer 2011. Restoration of the mouth of Tumwater Creek and riparian revegetation are identified as possible enhancement approaches in the more developed reaches of the HI-M environment. Enhancement of existing shoreline armoring by incorporating bioengineering approaches is also proposed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regulatons on overwater structures should minimize the extent of nearshore shading and inference with sediment transport processes. Furthermore, any marina redevelopment would need to comply with vegetation, setback, and shoreline modification standards. Implementation of the draft Stormwater Management Plan will help the City identify and address sources of water quality concerns. Ultimately, the combination of SMP regulations, planned and ongoing restoration projects, and state and federal regulations are expected to result in no net loss of shoreline functions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Segment**
High Intensity

**Shoreline Reach**
8C (in part)

**Existing Conditions**

**Uplands in this segment**

**Parcels designated as Urban**

**Existing Development**

**Port’s Terminal 3 pier**

**Shoreline**

**Reach 8C (in full), and Reach 8D (in part)**

**Vegetative**

**Functions/Processes Impacted**

**Hydrologic**

**Shoreline Restoration Plan (TWC and Makers 2011)**

**Habitat**

**Restoration**

**Functions/Processes**

**Construct**: Given the highly altered state of the shoreline, the continued use of this area for industrial purposes would not be expected to markedly alter current hydrologic functions/processes.

**Vegetative/Habitat**: Given the highly altered state of the shoreline, the continued use of this area for industrial purposes would not be expected to markedly alter current vegetative or habitat functions/processes.

**Restoration of the Tumwater Creek delta or estuary** may be required for any new or redevelopment.

**New or expanded boatyards**

**Future Development**: Topside repair and vessel berthing uses will most likely remain.

**Existing Development**: The reach contains two port terminals (Terminal 1 and Terminal 3), a shipyard with associated Travelift, a boat repair business, and a closed plywood manufacturing plant that includes a log lift over water.

**Functions/Processes**: Hydrologic: Altered by fully armored shoreline and a variety of in-water structures.

**Future Development**

**Types**: Industrial activities, including ship and boat building and repair yards, shall employ Best Management Practices (BMPs) concerning the various services and activities they perform and their impacts on the surrounding water quality.

**Navigating and other exterior lighting** shall, to the extent feasible, be designed, shielded, and operated to avoid illuminating the water surface and reducing light pollution into the night sky and residential areas.

**Boating facilities** shall not be located where their development would reduce the quantity or quality of critical aquatic habitat or where significant ecological impacts would occur. On degraded shorelines, the City’s Shoreline Administrator may require ecological restoration measures to account for environmental impacts and risks to the ecology and to ensure no net loss of ecological function.

**Boating facility design** shall:

- Provide thorough flushing of all enclosed water areas and shall not restrict the movement of aquatic life requiring shallow water habitat.
- Minimize interference with hydrodynamic processes and disruption of existing shoreline ecological functions.
- Minimize the adverse impacts of shading of the water surface by over-water structures through means such as but not limited to:
  - Minimization of over-water coverage,
  - Elevation of the pier above the water to the maximum extent reasonable and limiting floats in the nearshore area,
  - Incorporating grating that allows light penetration.

Further discussion of the likely effects of overwater structures and shoreline stabilization regulations is included in Section 5, below.

**Effect of SMP Provisions**

3. Boating facilities shall not be located where their development would reduce the quantity or quality of critical aquatic habitat or where significant ecological impacts would occur. On degraded shorelines, the City’s Shoreline Administrator may require ecological restoration measures to account for environmental impacts and risks to the ecology and to ensure no net loss of ecological function.

5. Boating facility design shall:

- Provide thorough flushing of all enclosed water areas and shall not restrict the movement of aquatic life requiring shallow water habitat.
- Minimize interference with hydrodynamic processes and disruption of existing shoreline ecological functions.
- Minimize the adverse impacts of shading of the water surface by over-water structures through means such as but not limited to:
  - Minimization of over-water coverage,
  - Elevation of the pier above the water to the maximum extent reasonable and limiting floats in the nearshore area,
  - Incorporating grating that allows light penetration.

**Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted**

**Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs**

**Net Effect**

---

**High Intensity – Urban Uplands (HI-UU)**

**Reach BD (in part)**

**General management policies for the HI-UU environment** (Chapter 2.B.3.c) include:

The primary action identified in the Shoreline Restoration Plan (Appendix A of the SMP) applicable to the HI-UU

Likely future development in the HI-UU environment is generally separated from the...
### Shoreline Segment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Conditions</th>
<th>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</th>
<th>Effect of SMP Provisions</th>
<th>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</th>
<th>Net Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Includes retail, commercial, industrial, manufacturing, and hotel/motel uses. There also are nine undeveloped/vacant parcels, one of which includes a parking lot. The other eight parcels include a small pond that is a remnant of shoreline that has been separated by the railroad right-of-way fill. Little hydrologic connections currently exits in this location. All of these parcels are generally separated from the shoreline by street or trail ROW. However, the eight parcels east of the Red Lion Motel containing the pond could be reconnect to the Harbor. In one case, a parcel is separated from the shoreline by a public parcel.</td>
<td>renovations or potentially structure replacement. Commercial development in the nine undeveloped parcels should be expected at a level similar to the surrounding development.</td>
<td>1. Uses should be limited to those that do not conflict with water-oriented activities and public access on the shoreline. 2. New development should not substantially diminish visual and physical public access. 3. Provide comfortable and attractive pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular routes to public access points by establishing shoreline management provisions, as well as undertaking other measures such as street and pathway improvements.</td>
<td>Environment is to remediate stormwater management in the watershed to collect, treat, and discharge stormwater in a manner that avoids adverse impacts to surface waters. The City’s draft Stormwater Management Plan (2015) addresses runoff from new development, redevelopment, and construction activities at sites one acre or greater in size. The City may adopt a reduced-use size threshold in the future, as required by updates to the Stormwater Manual for Western Washington. Actions include employingEcology’s manual for design criteria and best management practices, conducting stormwater plan review and oversight, pre- and post-construction site inspection, and compliance and maintenance standards for stormwater discharge.</td>
<td>shoreline by a street or trail. This limits the direct effects of the development on shoreline habitat; however the potential exists for degradation of water quality or for generating increased surface water by increasing impervious surfaces. Overall, the level of new development potential in the Hi-UI environment is in segment 8D that is quite low, and stormwater management and LID practices should minimize the risk of increased water quality and hydrologic impacts to the extent such that no net loss of shoreline function is anticipated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Shoreline Segment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reach 8D Downtown Valley Street to Vine Street (in part)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Existing Development:
- Piers (Black Ball ferry terminal) and (the Landing Mall).

#### Existing Functions/Processes:
- Hydrologic: Heavily altered by fully armored shoreline and piers. Category 2 for Fecal Coliform in segments A, B, and D.
- Vegetation: Virtually no vegetation to provide functions/ processes. Vegetation consists of street trees, Puget Sound ammeweed, JapaneseUNCHED and a small amount of dune grass. The Hollywood beach area does contain significant amounts of dune grass.
- Habitat: This area is of scant habitat value.

#### Future Development:
- Some properties may intensify their uses, increase recreational activities on the water, and establish water taxis. The Black Ball ferry terminal may be redesigned with a small marina and limited water taxi facilities.
- The Landing Mall may extend its dock and increase its number of tenants, while Expeditions Northwest may move from the Landing to Terminal 4 at the Oak Street property. Development along this reach may also include items being included in the WTIP, which includes the possibility of some overwater viewing areas, redeveloped beach areas at the Oak St. property, parking, and public footpath landscaping, and enhanced pedestrian opportunities.

#### Functions/Processes Impacted:
- Further development is unlikely to significantly alter the existing degraded state of hydrologic and vegetative functions in this reach. Some improvement in vegetation or shoreline habitat may occur through mitigation for any redevelopment. Planned redevelopment in this area (WTIP) will enhance vegetation and construct rain gardens. West of Oak Street is planned for the construction of two pocket beaches and creation of pedestrian opportunities.

### Effect of SMP Provisions

- General management policies for the HI-MU environment (Chapter 2.B.4.c) include:
  1. New development should protect and, where feasible, restore shoreline ecological functions, with particular emphasis on habitat for priority species and environmental cleanup.
  2. Development of new or expanded transportation facilities that cause significant ecological impacts shall not be allowed unless the development includes shoreline mitigation/restoration that increases the ecological functions being impacted to the point where:
     a. Significant short- and long-term risks to the shoreline ecology from the development are eliminated.
     b. Long-term opportunities to increase the natural ecological functions and processes are not diminished.
  3. New transportation facilities shall be located and designed to prevent or to minimize the need for shoreline protective measures such as riprap or other bank stabilization, fill, bulkheads, groins, jetties, or substantial site grading.
  4. All shoreline areas disturbed by construction and maintenance of transportation facilities shall be replanted and stabilized with native, drought-tolerant, self-sustaining vegetation by seeding, mulching, or other effective means immediately upon completion of the construction or maintenance activity.

- Recreational development regulations (Chapter 5.B.7.c) require review of any new recreational development proposal by the City’s Shoreline Administrator for ecological restoration and public access opportunities. When recreation or public access plans indicate opportunities exist for these improvements, the City’s Shoreline Administrator may require that those opportunities are either implemented as part of the development project or that the project design be altered so that those opportunities are not diminished.

### Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs

- Any in- or over-water proposals would require review not only by the City of Port Angeles, but also by the restoration of Puget Sound, a Biological Evaluation would be prepared to assess project impacts on listed fish and wildlife, and that document would be routed to U.S. Fish and Wildlife
- Mitigation is also likely to reduce the overall impact on shoreline functions.

### Net Effect

- A substantial amount of redevelopment is anticipated in the HI-MU environment. Several restoration activities are planned in association with future redevelopment. At the Rayonier site in particular, contaminant and derelict structure removal should improve water quality conditions, as well as aquatic habitat. Even with future redevelopment, substantial reductions in shoreline armoring and overwater structures are expected.

### High Intensity – Mixed Use (HI-MU)

#### Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs

- Further new standards to minimize the impacts of new or expanded overwater structures should reduce the overall impact on shoreline functions. Mitigation is also likely to improve shoreline vegetative functions.
- The SMP provisions, combined with planned and ongoing restoration projects, and state and federal regulations are expected to result in no net loss of...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoreline Segment</th>
<th>Existing Conditions</th>
<th>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</th>
<th>Effect of SMP Provisions</th>
<th>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</th>
<th>Net Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reach 10 Rayonier Site: west side of Ennis Creek (in part)</td>
<td><strong>Existing Development:</strong> This reach contains the former Rayonier mill site. Most upland structures have been removed; however, the site remains highly altered, with areas of shoreline armoring, a 600-foot-long breakwater/jetty, over 5 acres of overwater cover, impervious surfaces, and very sparse shoreline vegetation.</td>
<td><strong>Future Development:</strong> The Rayonier site will most likely be redeveloped with a mix of uses that may include a park and restored estuary, waterfront public access, cultural, high density residential, and/or commercial. A conceptual plan for restoration of the site has been offered. Some includes the removal of the jetty and pier, while others propose restoration of the shoreline to permit location and conditions. Significant restoration is also planned for the Ennis Creek corridor. Future use and development of the site may include some water-oriented uses and public access. This would likely include replacement of the existing 200,000 square foot over-water structure, albeit with an extremely smaller pier. Note: the existing City Pier is approximately 20,000 square feet (not including fingers).</td>
<td>Waterfront (SMP Segment L, reach 8D). New shoreline stabilization is also allowed in this area to protect existing water-oriented use or public structure. Only water-oriented uses are allowed on the ground flood of buildings facing the water. Standards applicable to SMP Segment O (reach 10), the Rayonier site have not been established due to the uncertainty surrounding the future of the site. Instead, the SMP establishes the following guiding principles for land use at the site. 1. Development and significant vegetation removal is not allowed within the Vegetation Conservation Area running parallel to Ennis Creek. The VCA shall be sufficiently wide to effectively protect and restore applicable shoreline ecological processes and functions. (At minimum a 75-foot buffer is required for a Type III stream). 2. Development must include opportunities for public access. 3. New non-water-dependent development must be set back sufficiently and separated from the marine shoreline OHWM and a VCA established to provide for the protection and the restoration of ecological processes and functions. As a default, the setback/vegetation conservation area shall be 100 feet from OHWM unless scientific studies indicate that a lesser setback is sufficient to maintain the same level of ecological functions. 4. Water-dependent development may intrude into the setback/VCA along the marine shoreline provided that development does not cause unmitigated adverse impacts to ecological functions. Development within the shoreline shall be permitted in a manner that minimizes intrusions into the setback/VCA. The likely effects of overwater structures and shoreline stabilization based on SMP provisions are discussed in detail in section 5, below. The following regulations apply to over-water structures (Ch.4.B.3.c): 5. The proposed length must be the minimum necessary to support the intended use. 9. Lighting associated with overwater structures shall minimize light spillage on adjacent properties or waterbodies. 10. Piles, floats and other over water structures that are in direct contact with water or over water shall not be treated or coated with herbicides, fungicides, paint, or pentachlorophenol. Use of wood members treated with arsenate compounds or creosote is prohibited. 25. Bulk storage for gasoline, oil, and other petroleum products for any purpose is prohibited on piers, wharves, and docks. Bulk storage means non-portable storage in fixed tanks. Chapter 4.B.6.b identifies the City’s objective to pursue recommendations identified in the Shoreline Restoration Plan (TWC and Makers 2011).</td>
<td>The City maintains a GIS database of all known discharges, outfalls, and receiving waters owned, operated, or maintained by the City. Planned actions include a field assessment of impacted receiving waters, a plan to trace and remove sources of illicit discharges, and program evaluation and assessment. The City’s draft Stormwater Management Plan (2010) addresses runoff from new development, redevelopment, and construction activities at sites over one acre or greater in size. The City may reduce the size threshold in the future. Actions include employing Ecology’s manual for design criteria and best management practices, conducting stormwater plan review and oversight, pre- and post-construction site inspection, and compliance and maintenance standards for stormwater discharge. The City’s Sensitive Areas regulations require wetland buffers varying between 25 and 300 feet based on wetland classification and intensity of proposed land use (PAMC 15.2024). The former Rayonier site is a focus of upcoming shoreline restoration in the City. Contaminant cleanup at the site is in the planning stage. Most significant remaining issues include the removal of derelict structures at the site is also planned. Some seawall structures have been removed. The Ennis Creek Conceptual Restoration Plan, co-authored by the LEKT and Rayonier, includes recommendations and conceptual designs to remove the pier, jetty, all concrete structures, an asphalt parking lot, and return lower Ennis Creek to its natural meander and estuary habitat. The future use of the Rayonier site remains uncertain. Some restoration and some future shoreline development and restoration are likely. A conservative estimate of changes that will result from restoration and development in the near term includes...</td>
<td>ecosystem functions in the HI-MU environment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## City of Port Angeles Cumulative Impacts Analysis

### Shoreline Segment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoreline Segment</th>
<th>Existing Conditions</th>
<th>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</th>
<th>Effect of SMP Provisions</th>
<th>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</th>
<th>Net Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reach 4 SMP segment D Ediz Hook Strait of Juan de Fuca shoreline (in part)</td>
<td><strong>Existing Development:</strong> Currently public open space. The Waterfront Trail runs through the center of Ediz Hook along the south side of Ediz Hook Road.</td>
<td><strong>Future Development:</strong> No development known, planned, or anticipated. <strong>Functions/Processes Impacted:</strong> Existing functions are not likely to change since no development is anticipated in this reach. Additional sediments from the Elwha River will be available to the spit as both dams have been removed from the river.</td>
<td><strong>General management policies for the UC-R environment (Chapter 2.B.6.c) include:</strong> 1. Any in- or over-water proposals would require review not only by the City of Port Angeles, but also by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), and/or the Washington Departments of Ecology and Natural Resources. Each of these agencies is charged with regulating and/or protecting shorelines and the waters of Puget Sound, and would impose certain design or mitigation requirements on applicants. A project that includes in-water fill would require Corps review and permitting. For similar projects along the Puget Sound, a Biological Evaluation would be prepared to assess project impacts on listed fish and wildlife, and that document would be reviewed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for Endangered Species Act review. These agencies would also impose certain design and mitigation requirements on a proposed project to minimize adverse effects of SMP provisions. 2. Commercial activities enhancing the public's use or enjoyment of publicly accessible shorelines, such as food or boating concessions, may be appropriate if set back from the shoreline to allow for public access and ecological restoration. 3. Water-dependent and water-enjoyment recreation facilities compatible with the protection of ecological functions, such as boating facilities, angling, wildlife viewing trails, and swimming beaches, are preferred uses, provided significant ecological impacts to the shoreline are avoided or mitigated. 4. During development and redevelopment, all reasonable efforts, as determined by the City, should be taken to restore ecological functions. Specific to Reach 4 and 5 (SMP Segment D &quot;Ediz Hook Shoreline&quot;), the VCA and setback extend from the OHWM to the furthest extent of the structural road foundation (Chapter 2.C). An exception is made in Reach 4 for rest stops, view points, and picnic areas, which may occupy a maximum of 200 square feet within the setback for every 1,200 feet of shoreline. For Reach 5, a continuous public access trail must be constructed along the length of shoreline. VCA and setback distances cover all of the shoreline jurisdictional area (200 feet)</td>
<td><strong>Urban Conservancy – Recreation (UC-R)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Net Effect</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Restoration Activities / Programs

- Full restoration of the lower Ennis Creek system including the "delta" and any channel migration that might happen in shoreline jurisdiction.
- Removal of the existing, approximately 200,000 square foot pier is likely. Development of a smaller pier for water dependent uses (likely a public access pier, similar to Union Wharf or the Port Angeles City Pier, which are approximately 12,000 and 20,000 square feet respectively).
- The existing jetty will be removed, but there may need to be some soft stabilization for the resulting beach. Removal of the existing jetty will have a substantial impact on restoring natural currents and hydrologic processes to the City's nearshore area.
- It is also reasonable to assume that substantial nearshore riparian restoration will occur over significant sections of the Rayonier shoreline west and east of Ennis Creek.

### Vegetative: Planned park redevelopment and estuarine restoration should significantly improve vegetative functions at this site. Restoration of nearshore vegetation is planned for the Ennis Creek delta, as well as the shoreline to the east. It is also expected that nearshore vegetation would be provided along the majority of the shoreline west of Ennis Creek upon redevelopment of the site.

### Habitat: Nearshore and terrestrial habitat should be substantially improved from their existing states through the planned estuarine and Ennis Creek restoration.

### Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted

- **Vegetative:** Most of the area has less than a 20'-wide band of vegetation that consists primarily of grass. Riparian vegetation.
- **Habitat:** Though identified as priority habitat by WDFW, unlikely to provide much.
### Shoreline Segment | Existing Conditions | Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted | Effect of SMP Provisions | Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs | Net Effect
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Reach 5 | Ediz Hook Harbor Shoreline (in part) | valuable functions/processes. | foot from OHW in the UC-R sections of Reaches 7 (south shore), 9 (Francis Street Reach), and 11 (SMP Segments F & N). VCA and setbacks for Reach BD (SMP Segments K & M) are 70 feet and existing structures may remain and be improved within the parkland setback. In reach 11 (SMP Segment F), the VCA and setback of 60 feet beyond the top of bluff generally covers all of shoreline jurisdiction. Vegetation alteration (pruning or removal) is allowed within the VCA in Segment P if a certified licensed professional arborist, biologist, or landscape architect certifies that vegetation removal-alteration will not cause significant ecological impacts. Chapter 5.B.2.c of the SMP states that fish net-pens are allowed as a conditional use only. Additionally, aquaculture shall avoid use of chemicals, fertilizers and genetically modified organisms except when allowed by state and federal law. | The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife also specifies permit conditions to develop within a bald eagle buffer area. The draft Port Angeles Harbor Resource Management Plan identifies priorities and sets a course for improving shoreline habitat, public access, and economic development in the City’s core. The City has developed and implemented a program with the goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations. It includes annual inspections, spot checks, road runoff control and maintenance, public land runoff control, and maintenance, and a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP). The City’s Sensitive Areas regulations require wetland buffers varying between 25 and 300 feet based on wetland classification and intensity of proposed land use. Removal of the Elwha dam was planned to commence and be completed in September 2011. This action is expected to improve sediment delivery to and beach accretion on the outer side of Ediz Hook. As the removal of the dam is completed and sediment delivery will occur over at least 3 years, to assure that the Restoration of sediment processes should happen at a rate that will not overwhelm existing conditions. This should greatly enhance the nearshore function in Reach 4 in the next decade and beyond. In February, 2011, the City council adopted the Waterfront Transportation Improvement Plan. The Plan includes several planned actions for the UC-R environment in Reach 8D (downtown). These actions include redevelopment of a vacant shoreline property into a series of public parks and enhance Hollywood Beach as an existing onto public beach parks. | reduce impervious surface coverage, and increase vegetative and shoreline habitat functions. Revegetation of the area east of Ennis Creek on the Rayonier site is also expected. These revegetation efforts are likely to significantly improve shore functions in the Creek and on the marine shoreline. Overall, SMP regulations, and state and federal requirements will limit the impacts of development along the UC-R environment. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoreline Segment</th>
<th>Existing Conditions</th>
<th>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</th>
<th>Effect of SMP Provisions</th>
<th>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</th>
<th>Net Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Reach 7 Nippon Lagoon south shore (in part) | shorebird concentrations. | Future Development: The Waterfront Trail is likely to remain and possibly be rerouted closer to the water. There is potential for restoration of the lagoon and a new public access corridor connecting the eastern shore of Ediz Hook to the western beach around the south edge of the lagoon. Functions/Processes Impacted: Significant changes to shoreline functions are not anticipated in this reach. Revegetation as mitigation for rerouting the trail or adding public access routes may result in improved vegetative functions. | the Shoreline Restoration Plan (TWC and Makers 2011). | As identified in the Shoreline Restoration Plan (Appendix A of the SMP), several opportunities for improvements to shoreline ecological functions exist:  
- Restoration of a 1,200 foot section of shoreline, including shoreline armoring removal, on inner Ediz Hook;  
- Incorporating LWD into shoreline armoring to retain sediment along all armored portions of the Port Angeles shoreline;  
- Removing wood waste from the lagoon and the Harbor;  
- Improving tidal connectivity to the lagoon; and  
- Riparian planting along the shoreline.  
- Clean-up of contaminated harbor sediments. | Based on planned restoration at the Rayonier site, it is reasonable to assume that substantial upland riparian restoration will occur over all of the Rayonier site east of Ennis Creek. |
| Reach 8D (in part) | Valley Creek Estuary Park, east side the vacant Oak Street Property, port terminal 4, Hollywood Beach Park, city pier. | Hydrologic: Heavily altered by fully armored shoreline and piers. Category 2 for Fecal Coliform in segments A, B, and D. Vegetative: Shoreline vegetation is limited to sparse shrubs and small street trees. Habitat: This area provides minimal habitat value. | | | |

Based on planned restoration at the Rayonier site, it is reasonable to assume that substantial upland riparian restoration will occur over all of the Rayonier site east of Ennis Creek.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoreline Segment</th>
<th>Existing Conditions</th>
<th>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</th>
<th>Effect of SMP Provisions</th>
<th>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</th>
<th>Net Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reach 9 Francis Street Reach (in part)</td>
<td><strong>Existing Development:</strong> This reach contains the Waterfront Trail and Francis Street Park. <strong>Existing Functions/Processes:</strong> The reach is entirely armored, with the trail running along parallel to the reach just landward of the armoring. Except for the Francis Street Park, the reach is generally characterized by a forested, sheer bluff landward of the trail. Many homes located at the top of the marine bluff do not include an adequate buffer for separation from the bluff top.</td>
<td>shoreline gradient in portions of this environment. Vegetative: Vegetation in this reach will likely improve only slightly, though park redevelopment and possible required mitigation for redevelopment activities. Public access and views are central drivers of the redevelopment plan. Vegetation enhancements at Valley Creek Estuary park, Peabody Creek estuary and Hollywood Beach as well as new street tree plantings along Railroad Avenue will significantly improve the riparian conditions through this reach of shoreline. Francis Street Park is partially located on land zoned for single family residential uses, but its use is not likely to change.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 10 (Rayonier site east of Ennis Creek) and Reach 11 (in part)OHWM to top of bluff)</td>
<td><strong>Existing Development:</strong> The Olympic Discovery Trail runs along the beach in that zone and will most likely remain. <strong>Existing Functions/Processes:</strong> Most, but not all of the shoreline is armored. Upland of the trail, the bluffs are forested. Lees Creek provides habitat for priority</td>
<td>Land use changes are not expected in this reach.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoreline Segment</td>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</td>
<td>Effect of SMP Provisions</td>
<td>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</td>
<td>Net Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Vegetation:</td>
<td>Much of this reach is characterized by sparse vegetation. While some of the Ocean View Cemetery portion the reach has a relatively dense well vegetated throughout the entire 200’ shoreline buffer area. However, much of the former landfill is limited in shoreline vegetation.</td>
<td>Habitat: Would likely be increased and improved due to an increased vegetated buffer at the top of the bluffs and/or revegetation at the toe of the bluffs. Removal of the seawall would likely improve beach habitat.</td>
<td>Any public access project should be designed and planned to minimize habitat impacts. General management policies for the UC-LI environment (Chapter 2.B.5.c) include:</td>
<td>Enhancing tidal connectivity to the lagoon; and</td>
<td>Similar to the UC-R environment, much of the anticipated development in the UC-LI environment is associated with the development or expansion of recreational uses. Since the SMP requires that the development of recreational uses consider restoration opportunities, priority restoration projects identified in the Shoreline Restoration Report are likely to be implemented in this environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoreline Segment</td>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</td>
<td>Effect of SMP Provisions</td>
<td>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</td>
<td>Net Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Reach 2 (in part) | **Existing Development:** Ocean View cemetery occupies this reach.  
**Existing Functions/Processes:** Hydrologic: Hydrologic functions/process impaired by fully armored shoreline. No known water quality impairments.  
Vegetative: The low bluffs here are highly vegetated. However, the armoring separates the vegetation from the shoreline and limits functions/processes.  
Habitat: Bluffs considered priority habitat. Shoreline area red sea urchin priority habitat. | **Future Development:** Cemetery expected to remain. Switchback trails to provide improved access to the beach may be provided.  
**Functions/Processes Impacted:** Any changes to functions from the development of switchback trails would be very minor, and any impacts would be mitigated. | | | Mitigating the effects of armoring by incorporating LWD or through beach nourishment. |
| Reach 7 Wetland feeding into the Nippon Lagoon (in part) | **Existing Development:** This area consists of a potentially associated wetland with the marine shoreline of the Strait of Juan de Fuca.  
**Surroundings:** The wetland is highly vegetated, however, the required buffer area has been eliminated.  
**Existing Functions/Processes:** Hydrologic, vegetative, and habitat functions are high in this wetland area. The surrounding buffer area should be reestablished. | | | | |
| Shoreline Residential (SR) | | | | | |
Reaches 2 From Ocean View to the Nippon Mill (property in part)

Existing Conditions

Priority Bluffs are also considered a red sea urchin, and species, the bluff and armoring. Interaction of vegetation in places. has led to the development above the bluff buffer. making up the vegetated is dominated by areas with vegetated width, the segment have several hundred places along the shoreline bluffs now protected by the sediment supply from the transport is significantly H Existing the top of the bluff, with most the shoreline jurisdiction. However, the buildings range between 35' and 100' from the top of the bluff, with most of them less than 70' from the top of the bluff.

Existing Development: In the western designation, an armored 48" water line runs along the Base of the bluff. The area A top the bluff, this area consists of single-family and mobile home uses. Most occur homes. In this reach are set back from the OHWM less approximately than 300 feet, so the buildings are typically feet outside of the shoreline jurisdiction. However, the buildings range between 35' and 100' from the top of the bluff, with most of them less than 70' from the top of the bluff.

Vegetative: While a few places along the shoreline have several hundred feet of vegetated width, the segment is dominated by areas with one or two individual trees making up the vegetated buffer. Residential development above the bluff has led to the removal of vegetation in places. Interaction of vegetation with the shoreline is limited due to the bluff and armoring. Habitat: Documented use by several WDFW priority species, including abalone, red sea urchin, and bald eagle. Bluffs are also considered a priority habitat.

Future Development: New residential development is expected. There is also potential for structure expansion or renovation. Given proposed SMP regulations, the VCA would generally extend from the bluff top to the outer limits of the shoreline jurisdiction. It is assumed that the 50' bluff setback requirement would extend beyond to the limits of the VCA, and that residential development would generally be limited to outside of shoreline jurisdiction, exceptions may occur on five parcels on the eastern end of the reach where the bluff and bluff top are less distinct. These five parcels are presently developed with existing structures outside of shoreline jurisdiction. Therefore, the likelihood of re-development in shoreline jurisdiction is low. Fourteen parcels remain undeveloped in this reach. Due to the configuration of those lots, it is likely that further development will be able to meet all development setbacks and therefore may be developed with variances to those setbacks.

Functions/Processes Impacted: Hydrologic: Future development could conflict with functions and processes provided by the Bluffs in this segment. Continued development would result in additional impervious surface coverage and possibly reduced vegetation.

Vegetative: Potential exists for the continued removal of vegetation at residential locations. However clearing could only occur beyond 250 feet from the top of the bluff.

Habitat: Development would not be expected to markedly affect existing habitat, as priority habitat is generally below the bluff, and regulations prohibit development that would require stabilization or affect erosion rates.

General management policies for the SR environment (Chapter 2.8.B.7.c) include:

1. Minimum frontage width standards in the Shoreline Environmental zone shall be set to protect the shoreline ecological functions, taking into account the environmental limitations and sensitivity of the shoreline area, the level of infrastructure and services available, and other comprehensive planning considerations.

2. Development standards for setbacks or buffers, shoreline stabilization, vegetation conservation, critical area protection, and water quality should be established to protect and, where significant ecological degradation has occurred, restore ecological functions over time.

3. Standards for new residential development should protect human safety and ensure that new development will not require structural shoreline stabilization or flood protection during the projected lifetime of the development.

In reach 7 (SMP Segment F), the setback and VCA cover all of shoreline jurisdiction. The minimum VCA is 25' feet from the top of bluff for Reach 2 (SMP Segment B) and 50' feet from the top of bluff for Reach 11 (SMP Segment P) (Chapter 2.C). Furthermore, setback standards apply based on geologically hazardous area regulations (Chapter 3.8.5.c).

1. Applicants proposing development adjacent to a marine bluff with a slope greater than 45 degrees (1 vertical to 1 horizontal dimension) and a height greater than 10 feet from the toe of the slope shall submit a geotechnical engineering report, prepared in accordance with the requirements of this SMP and the shoreline-specific Environmentally Sensitive Areas Protection regulations when development is proposed within 200 feet from the OHWM.

The geotechnical engineering report shall be prepared by a Washington State licensed professional civil engineer with a specialty in geotechnical engineering or an engineering geologist with a Washington specialty license in engineering geology as specified in WAC 19.220. The report shall be based upon the best available science, existing and proposed uses, risks of slope failure, and coastal erosion rates over at least 75 years. The report shall be professionally stamped and include the certification that the structure will not be in danger from erosion for at least 75 years. The report shall recommend a marine bluff setback at least equal to the annual erosion rate times 75 years plus 50 feet. All proposed development on a marine bluff or in the required setback shall be prohibited, except minor development to provide public access (e.g., public trails, stairs, or view points), provided that impacts are mitigated and the development can be shown to be safe.

2. All habitat structures shall be set back from the top of the bluff so that the structure is not threatened by erosion for at least 75 years or the life of the building, whichever is longer. Additionally, habitat structures shall be located at least the minimum distance noted in Section 2.C.

3. Surface drainage shall be directed away from marine bluffs. When no other solution is feasible, surface drainage piping may be located on the shore.
### Reach 7
(Single Family sites at top of bluff, south of Nippon lagoon (in part))

**Existing Development:** Consists of four-seven single-family residential parcels above the bluff.

**Future Development:**
- All parcels currently have structures. Future development would consist of structure renovation, expansion, or replacement. Development below the bluff is highly unlikely.

**Functions/Processes Impacted:**
- **Hydrologic:** Little change in impervious surface coverage or hydrologic conditions is expected.
- **Vegetative:** Clearing should be limited, although some clearing of vegetation for views could occur.
- **Habitat:** Little change in habitat quality or availability is expected in this reach.

**Net Effect:**
- Face of a steep slope when contained in a tight line (closed, non-leaking pipe) and in such a way that erosion will not be exacerbated at the base of the bluff and that physical access along the shoreline is not degraded. Furthermore, conditions may be applied to mitigate for aesthetic or habitat impacts of drainage systems as viewed from public areas.

**Residential Development Use Regulations (Ch.5.B.8.c):**
1. Residential development shall not be approved where shoreline stabilization measures, bluff walls, or bulkheading will be required to protect residential structures, lots, or site area. Residential development shall be located and designed to avoid the need for structural shore defense and flood protection works for the life of the development.
2. Prior to issuance of a building permit, plat or short plat or other shoreline development approval, the developer shall submit adequate plans for preservation of shore vegetation and for control of erosion during and after construction. Such plans shall be a part of the shoreline permit, if one is required.
3. No accessory structure except swimming pools shall cover more than 150 square feet within shoreline jurisdiction or the required setback. Overwater structures are not allowed in the shoreline residential environment (Ch. 4.B.3.c). Further discussions of residential use, shoreline armoring, and overwater structure policies and regulations are provided in Section 5, below.

### Reach 11
(East of Rayonier site to eastern limit of UGA (in part))

**Existing Development:**
- The eastern portion of this area consists of single-family residential parcels; however, very few structures are within shoreline jurisdiction. The distance between the buildings in these parcels and the top of the bluff varies widely from approximately 35 feet to almost 200 feet. All but two parcels are separated from the shoreline by the Urban Conservancy-Recreation environment.

**Future Development:**
- New residential development is expected, either on existing vacant parcels or parcels to be subdivided in the future. There is also potential for structure expansion or renovation.
- Given proposed SMP regulations, the VCA would generally extend from the bluff top to the outer limits of shoreline jurisdiction. It is assumed that the setback requirement would extend to or beyond the VCA, and that residential development would generally be limited to outside shoreline jurisdiction.

**Functions/Processes Impacted:**
- **Hydrologic:** Little change in impervious surface coverage or hydrologic conditions is expected.
- **Vegetative:** Clearing should be limited, although some clearing of vegetation for views could occur.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoreline Segment</th>
<th>Existing Conditions</th>
<th>Likely Development / Functions or Processes Potentially Impacted</th>
<th>Effect of SMP Provisions</th>
<th>Effect of Other Development and Restoration Activities / Programs</th>
<th>Net Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Habitat</td>
<td>Little change in habitat quality or availability is expected in this reach.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 DEVELOPMENT IMPLICATIONS

In addition to the general cumulative impacts analysis presented in the table above, this section below will expand on three specific key areas related to direct affect on functions that can be assessed through more quantitative means. These include issues related to Shoreline Residential development (i.e. setbacks and development potential), overwater structures (quantity, size, and new potential), and shoreline armoring (extent of new, repaired, or modified structures).

5.1 Shoreline Residential

With the possible exception of limited additional residential-zoned lands being acquired for public open space, planned land use in the Shoreline Residential environment is not expected to change over the next 20 years, although new residential development and substantial remodels are anticipated. Typically, development of vacant lots into residential uses would result in replacement of pervious, vegetated areas with impervious surfaces and a landscape management regime that often includes chemical treatments of lawn and landscaping. These actions can have multiple effects on shoreline ecological functions, including:

- Reduction in ability of site to improve quality of waters passing through the untreated vegetation and healthy soils.
- Potential contamination of surface water from chemical and nutrient applications.
- Increase in surface water runoff due to reduced infiltration area and increased impervious surfaces, which can lead to excessive soil erosion and subsequent in-water sediment deposition.
- Elimination of upland habitat occupied by wildlife that use riparian areas.

Residential Development Use Policies (Ch.5.B.8.b) establish that the overall density of development, lot coverage, and height of structures should be appropriate to the physical capabilities of the site and consistent with the comprehensive plan. The comprehensive plan designates residential shoreline land in Reaches 2 & 7 as low density residential, which allows up to 7 units per acre, and zoning varies between 7,000 to 11,000 square foot minimum lot sizes. Residential land use for the Urban Growth Area (Reach 11) is zoned Urban Low Density (4,840 sf lot) and Urban Very Low Density (12,500 sf lot) by Clallam County. These designations could allow for substantial subdivision of existing shoreline parcels; however, vegetation would be retained within shoreline jurisdiction based on Vegetation Conservation Area (VCA) requirements, and presumably, structural setbacks would be greater than the VCA, and would be built outside of shoreline jurisdiction.
Other policies pertinent to shoreline residential development include, 1) providing for adequate setbacks or open space from the water to provide space for public access, views, and to protect or restore ecological functions and processes; 2) recognizing the inevitability and ecological importance of bluff erosion, and provide for setbacks that avoid shoreline stabilization structures (such as bulkheads or bluff walls), significant erosion or slope instability, and the removal of native vegetation that helps to prevent bluff erosion; and 3) encouraging clustering of dwelling units in order to preserve natural features, minimize physical impacts, and reduce utility and road costs.

According to the City’s GIS data, the number of residential parcels within shoreline jurisdiction for each residential reach is listed in Table 5. This table identifies structures within and outside of shoreline jurisdiction, as well as vacant parcels. The analysis indicates that structures are located outside of shoreline jurisdiction for half of all residential parcels within shoreline jurisdiction, and only 15% of the shoreline residential parcels have structures within shoreline jurisdiction.

### Table 5. Development in Shoreline Residential by reach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reach</th>
<th>Total number of residential parcels intersecting shoreline jurisdiction (regardless of shoreline designation)</th>
<th>Number of residential parcels with structure in shoreline jurisdiction (regardless of shoreline designation)</th>
<th>Number of residential parcels with structure outside of shoreline jurisdiction (regardless of shoreline designation)</th>
<th>Number of undeveloped residential parcels (regardless of shoreline designation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reach 2</td>
<td>5350</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reach 11</td>
<td>2888</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4744</td>
<td>3033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 In Reach 2, for those lots with structures within shoreline jurisdiction, structure setbacks from OHWM range from 157 to over 200 feet. One lot has an accessory structure located 64 feet from OHWM, but nearly all structures are setback at least 150 feet.

2 In Reach 11, only one four parcel contains a structure within shoreline jurisdiction and it which is 485 156 feet landward of OHWM.

3 In reach 11, The Shoreline Residential designation only applies to portions of parcels above the top of the marine bluff. The total number of parcels listed are zoned for residential use, however much of the parcel extends over the marine bluff to the Olympic discovery/Waterfront Trail.

The amount of space between the shoreline and a structure is an excellent quick evaluation of shoreline condition; furthermore, many most residential properties
in Port Angeles are located above a bluff, and the proximity to the bluff, the extent of native vegetation, and the amount of impervious surfaces are often even more precise indicators of the effects on shoreline function than the overall structure setback since these factors contribute to the rate of bluff erosion and sediment delivery to the nearshore. The City’s SMP accounts for the significance of setbacks from the bluff and vegetation conservation through setbacks based on geotechnical analyses and Vegetation Conservation Areas (VCAs) of 750 feet beyond the top of bluff in all reaches 2, 60 feet from the top of bluff in Reach 11, and 200 feet from the OHWM in Reach 7. These VCAs are generally greater than average existing setbacks, and for all but a few parcels on the eastern portion of Reach 2, these VCAs extend to or beyond the landward edge of shoreline jurisdiction. These regulations promote the conservation and continued development of vegetative functions within shoreline jurisdiction. Typically, shoreline setbacks in conjunction with revegetation standards are an excellent means to improve overall shoreline ecological functions in developed areas.

The amount of impervious surface coverage is less significant along a marine shoreline environment where water quantity is less of a factor than in more confined water bodies like streams and rivers. Furthermore, single-family or multi-family homes generally have clean roof and sidewalk runoff. Driveways are typically pollution-generating surfaces only to the extent that vehicle-related pollutants are deposited on them. The City has not established impervious surface coverage standards for residential development, but encourages development to reduce impervious surfaces through water quality regulations (Chapter 3.B.14).

As noted above, VCAs extend over the entire structural setback distance for virtually all of the residential reaches. Vegetation conservation standards for clearing and grading within shoreline jurisdiction include limiting clearing within the VCA, mitigating for any clearing following mitigation sequencing, and revegetating cleared areas with native plants (Chapter 3.B.13). Where shoreline restoration is required, property owners must prepare and adhere to a vegetation management and maintenance plan.

It is important that the impervious surfaces be separated from the waterbody to the extent that those surfaces replace vegetation, which can have a variety of ecological benefits. The setback provisions described above continue to maintain separation between the homes and the water, leaving the nearshore area available for vegetation. Relative to the existing conditions in the Shoreline Residential environment, the implementation of 60, 75, and 200 foot setbacks (depending on reach location), vegetation conservation, and revegetation standards will likely result in improvements to ecological functions over time (benefiting terrestrial and aquatic species).

In summary, new residences and substantial remodels/additions are expected in the Shoreline Residential environment over the next 20 years. The protective
setbacks, VCAs, and other measures in the SMP, will maintain or improve ecological functions of the shoreline over the long term, thereby resulting in no net loss of shoreline ecological function within the environment.

5.2 Overwater Structures

The term overwater structures, as used here, includes both overwater and in-water structures. Common overwater structures in Port Angeles include piers and floating docks. Less common overwater structures in Port Angeles include boathouses and floating net pens. All overwater structures are located within Port Angeles Harbor and no overwater structures are directly associated with single-family residential uses.

Piers, docks, and other overwater structures can adversely affect ecological functions and habitat in the following ways:

- Alter patterns of light transmission to the water column, affecting macrophyte growth and altering habitat for and behavior of aquatic organisms, including juvenile salmon.
- Interfere with long-shore movement of sediments, altering substrate composition and development.
- Contribute to contamination of surface water from chemical treatments of structural materials.
- Floating net pens and associated aquaculture practices pose concerns for water quality and benthic habitat conditions. Any new or expanded net pens would require a conditional use permit.

Currently, overwater structure coverage in the harbor is 29.5 acres. Expansion of overwater structures (associated with marina expansion, dock extension at the Landing Mall, and the creation of overwater viewing areas) is expected in the HI-M and HI-MU environments. In other cases, the redevelopment of overwater structures is anticipated (e.g., ferry pier redevelopment). New overwater structures are not allowed in the Shoreline Residential environment. The SMP limits overwater coverage in the first 30 feet from OHWM to piers and ramps (Chapter 4.B.3.c). Although the SMP does not provide specific dimensional criteria for new or redeveloped overwater structures, it does require that pier and dock “length must be the minimum necessary to support the intended use.” Skirting is prohibited except to contain or protect flotation material in order to minimize interference with light transmission and fish migration. The SMP also limits lighting and materials to minimize impacts to ecological functions.

Mitigation measures for overwater structures encouraged by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) includes the installation of grated decking, removal of unused piles (especially those formerly treated with creosote), reduction of pile size and quantity on modified structures, and general
reduction in overall square footage of cover. Any new or replacement structure would require a Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) from WDFW and a Section 10 Rivers and Harbors Act permit from the Corps of Engineers. Because of the presence of listed salmonids, a Corps permit would also entail consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service to comply with the Endangered Species Act. These agencies would likely require similar mitigation measures noted above for WDFW.

Although expansion, reconfiguration, and repair of several overwater structures is expected, the removal of some existing overwater structures is also anticipated. Rayonier and the LEKT have developed conceptual plans for the removal of derelict structures, including the 200,000 square-foot pier, at the Rayonier site, which will substantially reduce or eliminate the 5.2 acres of overwater coverage in Reach 10. The existing structure is supported by an estimated 10,000 creosote piles. Overall, the overwater structure coverage that will be removed as a part of the Rayonier site restoration is expected to be far greater than the combined coverage of any new proposed overwater coverage, including any replacement structure located at the former Rayonier mill site. Furthermore, new structures will need to minimize overwater coverage dimensions, eliminate skirting, and comply with HPA requirements.

The combined effects of the City’s proposed SMP, planned restoration, and permit review by WDFW and the Army Corps of Engineers is expected to result in a reduction of shoreline impacts from overwater structures over time.

5.3 Shoreline Stabilization

Presently, over 94% of the City’s shoreline jurisdiction is armored by some type of shoreline stabilization, including bulkheads, seawalls, breakwaters, jetties, and groins. New shoreline armoring typically has the following effects on ecological functions:

- Reduction in nearshore habitat quality for both aquatic and terrestrial species. Specifically, shoreline complexity and emergent vegetation that provide forage and cover may be reduced or eliminated. Elimination of shallow-water habitat, including eelgrass and other vegetation, may also increase vulnerability of juvenile salmonids to aquatic predators.

- Reduction of natural sediment recruitment from the shoreline. This recruitment is necessary to replenish substrate and preserve shallow water conditions.

- Increase in wave energy at the shoreline if shallow water is eliminated, resulting in increased nearshore turbulence that can be disruptive to aquatic resources.

The SMP sets standards for new and repaired shoreline armoring, as well as conditions and uses where new shoreline armoring is allowed or prohibited.
(Chapter 4.B.2). The proposed SMP establishes a preference for non-structural stabilization measures over structural measures. Structural shoreline stabilization measures with less adverse impact on natural functions, such as bioengineering, are strongly preferred over hard structural shoreline stabilization measures, such as seawalls and bulkheads.

Under the proposed SMP, new shoreline stabilization is not allowed unless it is proven to be necessary to protect an existing structure or new water dependent development. New or expanded armoring is not permitted for new non-water dependent structures unless the structure cannot be sited or designed in such a way to eliminate the need for new armoring, and it is demonstrated that the armoring will not result in a net loss of shoreline function. New armoring may also be permitted for existing structures, only if geotechnical analysis completed by a licensed geotechnical engineer or related licensed professional indicates that the structure is in danger because of erosion caused by currents, waves, or boat wakes, and furthermore, that the armoring will not impair fluvial hydrological or geomorphologic processes. Where stabilization is deemed necessary, the size of the structure must be the minimum necessary to achieve necessary stabilization. Replacement bulkheads may also be permitted if there is a demonstrated need to protect structures provided that these structures minimize harm to ecological functions and are not constructed waterward of existing bulkheads, although a geotechnical analysis is not needed in these cases. Replacement structures may be built waterward of the existing bulkhead (if within their existing footprint), but only far enough to accommodate new footings.

The SMP specifies that shoreline stabilization that incorporates shoreline restoration is permitted, but it does not require or state a preference for such approaches. On the other hand, mitigation of adverse impacts is required of new or expanded armoring.

The Army Corps of Engineers and WDFW have jurisdiction over new shoreline stabilization projects, and repairs or modifications to existing shoreline stabilization. As part of their efforts to minimize and compensate for shoreline stabilization-related impacts, both agencies encourage implementation of native shoreline enhancement for new shoreline stabilization projects. Further, they also strongly promote shoreline restoration and additional impact compensation measures for many shoreline armoring modification projects, including placement of gravel at the toe of the armoring to create shallow-water habitat, angling the armored face landward to reduce wave turbulence, and shifting the armoring as far landward as feasible.

Based on an evaluation of the City’s GIS data, the majority of the City’s shoreline is already armored (over 94%). Therefore, the need for new shoreline stabilization is expected to be limited to none. On the other hand, given the abundance of armoring structures in the City, the need for repair and
replacement armoring is **more likely** **more substantial**. As mentioned above, bulkhead repair and replacement is only permitted where there is a need to protect existing development from damage due to erosion caused by natural processes, such as currents, waves, or boat wakes. Furthermore, given the stated preference for non-structural and bioengineered stabilization, the ecological impacts of stabilization may decline as bulkheads are replaced.

Several projects anticipated through the recently adopted Waterfront Transportation Improvement Plan and the planned restoration of the Rayonier site include the restoration of armored shorelines. The Waterfront Transportation Improvement Plan includes the redevelopment of City Pier Park and Hollywood Beach. Redevelopment of this one park would include the removal of existing shoreline armoring to reestablish a more natural beach gradient and provide improved recreational access to the shoreline. The Oak Street property at the west end of the project area will be developed into a new park with a beach construction component. The conceptual plan for the Rayonier site restoration, prepared through a partnership between Rayonier and the LEKT, includes the removal of the existing large pier and jetty structures. Removal of the over 600-foot-long jetty could require some new stabilization measures for the resulting beach; regardless, removal of the existing jetty will offer significant progress toward restoring the natural currents and hydrologic processes to the City’s nearshore area. Together, these projects will help reduce the cumulative ecological effects of shoreline armoring on ecological functions within the City.

Finally, the removal of the Elwha Dam is expected to provide re-nourishment of outer Ediz Hook, potentially covering over 3 miles of exposed armoring. Future restoration of this stretch of shoreline may include the installation of large woody debris, rocks, and vegetation aimed at collecting some of the sediment drift expected to move along the shoreline.

Over time, the combined effects of the City’s proposed SMP, implementation of the Shoreline Restoration Plan, permit reviews from the WDFW and the Corps, and planned restoration actions are expected to result in a reduction over time of the net amount of hardened shoreline at the ordinary high water mark, a reduction in the effects of armoring on hydrologic and geomorphic processes, and an increase in shallow-water habitat within the Shoreline Residential environment.
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NET EFFECT ON ECOLOGICAL FUNCTION

On its own, the proposed SMP, which includes the Shoreline Restoration Plan, is expected to protect shorelines within the City of Port Angeles while accommodating reasonable foreseeable future shoreline development that results in, at a minimum, no net loss of shoreline ecological function. State and federal regulations, acting in concert with this SMP, will provide further assurances of maintaining shoreline ecological functions over time.

As discussed above, major elements of the SMP that ensure no net loss of ecological functions fall into generally five categories: 1) environment designations (Chapter 2), 2) general provisions (Chapter 3), 3) shoreline modification provisions (Chapter 4), 4) shoreline use provisions (Chapter 5), and 5) Shoreline Restoration Plan (Appendix A).

Environment designations: The Final Shoreline Inventory, Characterization and Analysis Report provided the information necessary to assign environment designations along the Puget Sound shorelines (see Chapter 2 of SMP). Shoreline uses and modifications were then individually determined to be either permitted (as substantial developments or conditional uses) or prohibited in each of those environment designations. The most uses and modifications are allowed in descending order of potential impact in the High Intensity Industrial, High Intensity Marine, High Intensity Urban Uplands, High Intensity Mixed-Use, Urban Conservancy Low Intensity, Urban Conservancy Recreation, Urban Conservancy Low Intensity, and Shoreline Residential environments.

General provisions: Chapter 3 of the SMP contains a number of regulations on a variety of topics that contribute to protection and restoration of ecological functions, including Chapter 3.B.3 and 3.B.4 (Critical areas and Critical saltwater habitats), Chapter 3.B.5 (Geologically Hazardous Areas), Chapter 3.B.6 (Avoiding Environmental Impacts), Chapter 3.B.13 (Vegetation Conservation), and Chapter 3.B.14 (Water Quality and Quantity).

Shoreline modification provisions: Chapter 4 contains a number of regulations on a variety of topics that contribute to protection and restoration of ecological functions, including Chapter 4.B.2 (Shoreline stabilization), Chapter 4.B.3 (Overwater structures), Chapter 4.B.6 (Shoreline restoration), and Chapter 4.B.7 (Dikes and levees). All of these shoreline modification regulations emphasize minimization of size of structures, use of designs that minimize impacts to shoreline functions, and mitigation sequencing to avoid degradation of shoreline functions.

Shoreline use provisions: Regulations in Chapter 5 focus on exclusion of uses that are incompatible with the existing land use and ecological conditions, and emphasize appropriate location and design of the various uses. These
regulations also emphasize avoidance and minimization of ecological impacts via appropriate setbacks, protection and enhancement of vegetation, and use of innovative designs (such as LID techniques) that do not degrade and may even enhance shoreline functions. These factors are balanced with water-dependent uses that are essential to the City’s waterfront use and development, primarily in the High Intensity environments, where these uses are recognized for their economic benefit and social value. While allowing water-dependent uses and developments to continue along the shoreline, the proposed SMP emphasizes protection and enhancement of shoreline resources such that no net loss of ecological functions will be achieved over time.

**Shoreline Restoration Plan:** The Shoreline Restoration Plan identifies a number of planned and ongoing restoration projects, as well as more conceptual project-specific opportunities for restoration on both public and private properties inside and outside of shoreline jurisdiction. The Plan also identifies ongoing City programs and activities, non-governmental organization programs and activities, and other recommended actions consistent with a variety of watershed-level efforts. *The City is an active proponent for restoration along the City’s shorelines.*

**Summary:** The following are some of the key features identified in the proposed SMP and this evaluation which protect and enhance shoreline ecological functions.

- Much of the shoreline is highly developed, and expected new development is limited. Regulations associated with redevelopment of existing degraded shorelines will likely help improve overall shoreline functions.

- Vegetation conservation areas and structural setbacks throughout the City are based on environment designation and existing conditions. Larger setbacks are required in areas with a higher need for protection of shoreline resources.

- Any projects with potential for significant adverse ecological effects will need to follow mitigation sequencing to avoid, minimize and mitigate any impacts.

- Contaminant cleanup at the Rayonier site will improve water and sediment quality. The planned removal of approximately 5 acres of overwater structure and a large jetty, as well as restoration of floodplain function at the mouth of Ennis Creek will substantially improve shoreline habitat and restore natural shoreline processes. *Pulling back of the shoreline armoring and recreating a shoreline resembling the original shoreline is also likely.*

- Planned redevelopment associated with the City’s recently adopted Waterfront Transportation Improvement Plan will replace armoring with
an unarmored beach at City Pier Park and Hollywood Beach but also create a beach component at the currently armored but undeveloped Oak Street property. This will improve sediment transport processes and restore shallow water shoreline habitat in the City’s core.

- Removal of the Elwha dam will restore a natural sediment source and improve sediment processes and shoreline habitat on Ediz Hook. Restoration on the inner portion of Ediz Hook will reduce shoreline armoring and use bioengineering approaches, including LWD and native vegetation, to ensure shoreline stability. Together, these restoration efforts will greatly enhance the overall shoreline ecosystem functions on Ediz Hook.

- Emphasis will be on achieving no net loss of shoreline ecological functions throughout the shoreline jurisdiction, including development of water-dependent uses.

Given the above provisions of the SMP, including implementation of the Shoreline Restoration Plan and the key features listed above, implementation of the proposed SMP is anticipated to achieve no net loss of ecological functions in the City of Port Angeles’ shorelines.