

City of Chelan SMP - Summary of public comments received during Ecology's public comment period 4/27/2016 through 5/31/2016

ITEM	Commenter	Comment	Local Government Response and Rationale
1	Washington State Department of Natural Resources	No substantive comments or changes suggested. DNR is pleased to see the recognition of state-owned aquatic lands. Supportive of the City's adoption of an Aquatic shoreline environmental designation which will include state-owned aquatic lands.	
2	Chelan Basin Conservancy	The exception in section 4.3.2.F.5 to all of the new-development public access requirements must be eliminated because it is so overbroad, vague, and meaningless that it effectively negates those public access requirements all together.	
3	Chelan Basin Conservancy	The exception in 4.3.2G from analysis of alternatives must be eliminated.	
4	Chelan Basin Conservancy	The SMP documents all should specifically include and apply the city's own property rights to public access over platted and/or vacated streets and alleys. The city must assure that these public access rights are specifically identified, tracked, preserved, and signed for public information.	
5	Chelan Basin Conservancy	The SMP should contain correct public access designation on its maps and should require signage of public access locations.	
6	Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation	On page 1-18, we recommend a changes to 1.7.1 (A) to read something like the following: Preservation, Restoration, Education. Archaeological, cultural and historic resources should be preserved for scientific study, public education, and for maintaining cultural associations and community character. In areas known to contain significant archaeological, cultural, and historic resources, shoreline permit applications shall allow for site survey work only by authorized and qualified cultural resource professionals.	
7	Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation	In regard to 1.7.1 (C), we recommend the following changes in wording: Using the services of qualified cultural resource professionals is required to identify areas of archaeological, cultural, and/or historic significance. Appropriate agencies, organizations, and governments to consult shall include, but not be limited to, the Confederated Tribes of the...	
8	Department of	In regard to regulation 4.1.2 (B), we recommend a change to read as follows:	

ITEM	Commenter	Comment	Local Government Response and Rationale
	Archaeology and Historic Preservation	Developers and property owners shall...during excavation in order to discuss and agree upon appropriate next steps.	
9	Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation	<p>For regulation 4.1.2 (C), we recommend changes to read something like the following:</p> <p>Archaeological, Cultural, Historic Resources. At locations where a qualified cultural resource professional as defined by the State of Washington, has identified a site, building, structure, district, or object as having archaeological, cultural, and/or historical significance, or where such resources, are listed in national, state, or local registers of historic places, the City shall require the identification and implementation of steps to avoid, minimize, or mitigate negative impacts to the resource(s). Mitigation measures may include but not be limited to preservation and/or retrieval of data, proposal modifications to reduce impacts, or other mitigation measures authorized through the State Environmental Policy Act, or other...</p>	
10	Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation	<p>In section 9 Definitions, we recommend adding similar language already used in the document that defines “cultural resources.” Recommended language should be similar to that already used for archaeological and historic resources and could read something like the following:</p> <p>Cultural Resource: Sites, buildings, structures, districts, objects, and landscapes that are listed in, or eligible for listing in a national, state, or local register of historic places.</p> <p>Cultural Resource Professional: Individuals who meet standards promulgated by the DAHP as well as the National Park Service and published in 36 CFR Part 61. These standards address minimum education and experience required to perform identification, evaluation, registration and treatment activities for historic properties. In some cases, additional areas or levels of expertise may be needed, depending on the complexity of the task and the nature of the properties involved.</p>	
11	Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation	Finally, we recommend including more specific language in section 4 that outlines a process in more detail about the identification of archaeological, cultural, and historic properties prior to development. To that end, we recommend that the City enter into a data-sharing agreement with DAHP so	

ITEM	Commenter	Comment	Local Government Response and Rationale
		that there is a method for identifying archaeological resources prior to permitting. Additionally we have attached DAHP' model shoreline master plan language for the City's use to add process for areas that have identified archaeological resources and historic building and/or structures.	
12	Darren Talley	Wants the SMP to protect personal property rights.	