

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan

Received through March 4, 2015

We appreciate the time and effort all contributors provided in developing and submitting their comments on the draft version of the Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan. Comments received were categorized and may have been condensed to make them fit the format of this document. For each comment, the contributor is acknowledged by the number preceding their name on the list below.

Comments were contributed by the following individuals:

1. Shayne Cothorn, Washington State Department of Natural Resources
2. Gregg Gottgetreu
3. Corina Hayes, Washington State Department of Health Office of Drinking Water
4. Dwight Keegan, Lake Chelan State Park
5. Allison O'Brien, US Department of the Interior
6. Karen Taylor-Goodrich, North Cascades National Park Service Complex

For your convenience, the electronic version of this document has been interlinked. Simply click on the name of a person that provided comments from the list above, and you'll be taken to a copy of the email or letter they submitted. Click on a comment within their document, and you'll be taken to the page that contains our response to that particular comment.

General Comments:

Comments: National Park Service equipment should be listed and if they are lacking then recommendations for such equipment for proper GRP implementation should be mentioned and pursued. (1)

We have staff whose duty station are within a 2-hour proximity of the lake. Local staff are certified boat operators with intimate knowledge of much of the lake, familiarity with access points and launches, and readily available equipment (Meander Commander). We appreciate timely notification of spill through the TRAP team notification process and we welcome requests to assist with spill impact review and logistic support. (1)

If Stehekin is identified as a response strategy location, National Park Service (NPS) facilities and resources can also be identified as part of a specific response strategy. Marine resources include a 26-foot-long Munson ranger patrol boat, oil booms and spill response equipment, HAZWOPER-trained NPS employees, a public marina with fuel and pumpout station, and a gravel boat launch at the Stehekin Landing. Potential staging areas include the winter and summer barge landings, which are also located within the Stehekin Landing area and can be used for other Stehekin-based responders including Courtney Tug & Barge (now Mountain Barge Services, LLC), frequently moored in Stehekin. (6)

Response: Information on the location of response equipment in the Pacific Northwest Region can be found on the Western Response Resource List (WRRL) at <http://www.wrri.us>. Park Service equipment can be added to the WRRL for use during spill responses. References to Courtney Tug and Barge have been updated to Mountain Barge Services, LLC.

Comments: In terms of resources at risk, the Department recommends that key areas for spawning fish be identified for protection. The majority of kokanee spawning (downstream of Holden) occurs in Safety Harbor, Twenty-five Mile, and First Creeks. Rainbow and cutthroat spawn in many of the major tributaries of Lake Chelan, including Fish, Prince, Safety Harbor, Falls, Grade, Mitchell, Domke, Bear, Corral, Big, Twenty-five Mile, and First Creeks. Also, there are numerous other species, including birds, that use these areas with particularly high use on the relatively flat alluvial fans. In the Chelan basin, these alluvial fans probably get disproportionately high use since they are among the flattest, most easily "developed" areas. Many boat-in campgrounds, recreation

sites, and private residences occur here as well. We recommend that Ecology and EPA look into whether there are protection measures that could be taken for these alluvial fans. (5)

There is no bull trout critical habitat in Lake Chelan, and it is unknown whether we have bull trout in the basin. Systematic surveys have not occurred to demonstrate absence, so we have the position that they may exist in low numbers. So while we have no specific recommendations for bull trout, we believe protection of the tributaries/alluvial fans (and their prey base) is appropriate. (5)

To summarize, we ask that the Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan be expanded to cover the entire extent of Lake Chelan and not just the area between Lucerne Landing and the City of Chelan. (6)

Response: The Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan does not represent everything that could, should, or would be done to protect sensitive resources during an oil spill. Other plans exist that would help guide response actions and activities during an actual spill. At some point in the future the Lake Chelan GRP will be expanded to cover more areas of the lake as time and funding allows. Information on specific points/locations of interest or importance should be submitted to GRPs@ecy.wa.gov.

Comment: Since the highest probability of a release is from spills or overfills during transference from one container to another, this would be an excellent time to include a review of the spill, prevention, control, and countermeasure (SPCC) plans for facilities adjacent to or on Lake Chelan and if necessary develop additional GRPs to contain any potential releases as close to the source as possible. If any SPCCs come short in spill prevention, action must be taken on an individual basis to correct the deficiency. We understand this is not a standard part of GRP review but since this is an area that is not routinely reviewed what better time than now to conduct this analysis concurrently. Additionally, any ASTs that are within a certain proximity to the shoreline as well as any mobile tankers and associated points of transference of product should be reviewed. (1)

Response: SPCC plan review falls outside the scope of Geographic Response Plans development. Recommendations for SPCC plan review have been forwarded to the Ecology Spills Prevention section and the EPA for their consideration.

Comment: Lake Turbulence: Currents and waves are a critical variable in effective containment therefore more emphasis should be placed on the need to put out lake traffic control signaling when a spill is being boomed. Responders should be outfitted with the proper signage to notify boaters of a no wake area during recovery efforts. (1)

Response: The need to mark boomed areas as “no wake zones” would be decided by an Incident Commander or Unified Command during an actual oil spill response, greatly dependent on the spill location, environmental conditions, and time of year/season. Often, a safety zone is established to control or restrict vessel/boat traffic in the affected areas, precluding the need for such signage. Information on safety zones can be found in Section 8023.14 of the Northwest Area Contingency Plan.

Comment: Non-point sources: We understand that there may be some subsurface petroleum releases near Chelan or Manson that may or may not be seeping into the lake. In the event that a spill does occur, will we be able to completely differentiate between existing contamination and current contamination? If contaminant plumes have been delineated, it may be good to have a repository of this information in the event that claims arise for cleanup. That way Ecology can assess appropriate level of responsibility for liable party in the event of a spill. (1)

Response: Oil spill sampling and maintaining a repository of test results falls outside the scope of Geographic Response Plan development.

Spill Response Contact Sheet (Draft Plan)

Comment: Pg. 4: In the category of “Other Federal Agencies”, under National Park Service, please remove the number currently listed and include the following contacts:

- Lake Chelan National Recreation Area Comm. Center, (360) 854-7249
- Stehekin District Ranger Office, (509) 699-2080 x 12 (8 am – 5 pm) or x 29 (contact 24-hour/day) (6)

Response: The contact information for the National Park Service has been updated.

Comment: Please change "Department of Interior" to "Department of the Interior" (5)

Response: The contact information for the Department of the Interior has been updated.

Comments: Please move U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service out of "Other Federal Agencies" and put them under "Department of the Interior." (5)

Please change the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service contact number to "(509) 893-8004." (5)

Response: The contact information for U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service has been updated.

Comments: I believe the Chelan Police Department was disbanded in 2002. In a couple of locations including page 4 and page 23 the document mentions the Police Department and provides a number (682-2588.) It might be less confusing if that reference was replaced with information about Chelan County Sherriff's Office and provide the number of RiverCom 509-663-9911 as the best source of Law Enforcement support.

Chelan County Sherriff's Office also provides a marine patrol supported by Washington State Parks. Including information about them and contact data could be helpful to any first responders on the scene of an oil spill. (4)

Response: The contact information for the Chelan County Sherriff has been updated.

Chapter 2 – Site Description (draft plan)

Comments: Pg. 15: Gasoline and diesel are barged to Stehekin in large quantities, also posing a risk of spillage and potential impacts to resources. (6)

Pg. 13: In the description of Stehekin, please note the high visitor use (between 35-45,000 visitors to Lake Chelan NRA annually) and popularity of private boating during the summer months. (6)

Response: Chapter 2 has been updated to reflect this information.

Chapter 4 – Response Strategies & Priorities (Draft Plan)

Comment: I believe the caption for the photographs on pages 87 and 95 are not of the Old Mill Park site in Manson but should be for the Lake Shore Park. Similarly, the photos on pages 89 and 97 are not of the Lake Shore Park but are of the Courtney Tug & Barge site. (2)

Response: The photographs for SA/BL-LKCH-59.3 and SA/BL-LKCH-52.8 have been corrected.

Comments: The GRP does a good job of covering resources between Lucerne and Chelan, however, resource protection measures between Lucerne and Stehekin are lacking. The focus given to the barge traffic (potential large volumes of fuel) that typically ends at Lucerne is warranted, but since folks in Stehekin have need for fuel (cars, trucks, boats, etc.) and since the Lady of the Lake and float-planes make regular trips to the north end of the lake GRPs for this area are also warranted. (1)

We are concerned that the plan does not adequately address resource concerns and response actions near Stehekin. This part of the lake can experience significant uplake winds with sizeable swells, and prevailing winds have the potential to transfer spill impacts to sensitive resources within Lake Chelan National Recreation Area. Although the plan states that it will be expanded to include other areas of Lake Chelan at a future date, we recommend that a spill response strategy be developed for Stehekin as a part of this current version given the potential risks to sensitive and valuable resources – resources that include the Stehekin River and associated fisheries; expansive wetlands at the head of the lake; commercial ferry traffic and a public marina at the Stehekin Landing; public campgrounds along the lakeshore; and many other public recreation opportunities. (6)

Stehekin Landing should be identified as a Response Strategy Location. (6)

We would request that any response be coordinated through the Stehekin District Ranger Office at 509-699-2080 ext. 12 prior to staging or implementation. (6)

We also request that the park be notified during the initial phase of any oil spill response regardless of the spill location and trajectory. (6)

Response: At some point in the future the Lake Chelan GRP will be expanded to cover more areas of the lake, as time and funding allows. Additional information on specific points/locations of interest or importance can be submitted to GRPs@ecy.wa.gov.

A notification strategy to alert the NPS Stehekin Ranger station has been added to the plan, so NPS staff can deploy their response equipment to areas of highest concern after a spill. Ecology staff will work with NPS to create more response strategies for the Stehekin area and publish those strategies in a future plan update.

Comment: Have you considered the water systems that use the lake as their water source during the creation of the Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan?. We did not find any mention of the water systems or a response strategy for spills that occur near a water system intake. We would be happy to help you draft language that includes information on how a spill would affect public water systems drawing from Lake Chelan. (3)

Response: Using information from the Washington Department of Health (WA DOH), two municipal intakes in Lake Chelan were identified and their notification strategies have been added to the plan. The Washington Drinking Water Hotline run by WA DOH is included in the contact sheet. WA DOH can notify smaller intake owners/operators after a spill occurs.

Comment: 4. On page 51, 98, and 99 the document refers to the Washington State Parks information center (360-902-8544.) This information center is provided for camper information. It is highly likely that the operator would not be able to handle an emergency call or provide first responders with valuable information. I suggest that 509-687-3710 (Lake Chelan State Park) or RiverCom at 509-663-9911 could provide much more valuable information. (4)

Response: Site contact information has been updated for those strategies. To clarify, these site contacts are to confirm site conditions and to inform the owner that the site is being used in a response and may need maintenance afterwards. Emergency notifications (RiverCom etc.) are completed beforehand as part of a separate process.

Comment: First responders and government vehicles are exempt from the requirement of the Discover pass. On page 98 the document mentions a "Discovery" pass. To eliminate confusion that may arise in an oil spill event, I suggest that the reference be removed. (4)

Response: Fee requirements do not impact the decision whether to use a site as a boat launch or staging area. Listing such requirements informs responders before they arrive at a location that a fee may or may not be required. This is primarily a time consideration rather than cost, since any delay on accessing public or private spaces because of confusion about fees (paid or unpaid) could slow our ability to get boats on the water and boom deployed.

Comment: Washington State Parks has a boat launch facility at Lake Chelan State Park. Because this launch is located half way between the town of Chelan and 25 Mile Creek. It may indeed become a strategic location to stage equipment and boats in the time of an emergency, especially if the accident is located near Wapato Point, one of the nearby resorts, or the "narrows." Lake Chelan State Park has the added bonus of being nearer to Wenatchee than any other facility on the lake thereby reducing response times from the south. It is one of the only launches that is deep enough to provide year round access to the lake. I hope you consider including our facility in your response plan, I think we could prove to be highly valuable in the event of an emergency anywhere on the lower lake. (4)

Response: Lake Chelan State Park has been added as a boat launch (BL-LKCH-71.4) and staging area (SA- LKCH-71.4) and assigned to strategy LKCH-48.0. Wording has been added to strategies north of this area that the State Park launch is accessible in low water. In high water, 25-Mile Creek will still be preferable for those strategies as the closest launch.



March 4, 2015

Washington Department of Ecology
Spill Prevention, Preparedness, and Response (GRPs)
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

SUBJECT: Lake Chelan GRP

Dear Washington Department of Ecology:

Please accept these comments from the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) regarding the Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan.

DNR is the manager of over 3 million acres of state trust lands comprised of forest, range, commercial, and agricultural lands, and 2.6 million acres of state-owned aquatic lands. Lake Chelan is a navigable waterbody within the state of Washington therefore those lands declared navigable at the time of statehood are managed by the Department of Natural Resources for the citizens of the state.

DNR would like to commend the Department of Ecology for developing a GRP for this valued waterbody and appreciate the opportunity to comment. We agree that prevention is the best method to avoid impacts from oil spills but response preparedness is always warranted.

DNR offers the following general comments:

The GRP does a good job of covering resources between Lucerne and Chelan, however, resource protection measures between Lucerne and Stehekin are lacking. The focus given to the barge traffic (potential large volumes of fuel) that typically ends at Lucerne is warranted, but since folks in Stehekin have need for fuel (cars, trucks, boats, etc.) and since the Lady of the Lake and float-planes make regular trips to the north end of the lake GRPs for this area are also warranted. National Park Service equipment should be listed and if they are lacking then recommendations for such equipment for proper GRP implementation should be mentioned and pursued.

We have staff whose duty station are within a 2-hour proximity of the lake. Local staff are certified boat operators with intimate knowledge of much of the lake, familiarity with access points and launches, and readily available equipment (Meander Commander). We appreciate timely notification of spill through the TRAP team notification process and we welcome requests to assist with spill impact review and logistic support.

Since the highest probability of a release is from spills or overfills during transference from one container to another, this would be an excellent time to include a review of the spill, prevention, control, and countermeasure (SPCC) plans for facilities adjacent to or on Lake Chelan and if necessary develop additional GRPs to contain any potential releases as close to the source as possible. If any SPCCs come short in spill prevention, action must be taken on an individual basis to correct the deficiency. We understand this is not a standard part of GRP review but since this is an area that is not routinely reviewed what better time than now to conduct this analysis concurrently. Additionally, any ASTs that are within a certain proximity to the shoreline as well as any mobile tankers and associated points of transference of product should be reviewed.

Lake Turbulence: Currents and waves are a critical variable in effective containment therefore more emphasis should be placed on the need to put out lake traffic control signaling when a spill is being boomed. Responders should be outfitted with the proper signage to notify boaters of a no wake area during recovery efforts.

Non-point sources: We understand that there may be some subsurface petroleum releases near Chelan or Manson that may or may not be seeping into the lake. In the event that a spill does occur, will we be able to completely differentiate between existing contamination and current contamination? If contaminant plumes have been delineated, it may be good to have a repository of this information in the event that claims arise for cleanup. That way Ecology can assess appropriate level of responsibility for liable party in the event of a spill.

Should you have any questions regarding these comments, please do not hesitate to contact me, at (360) 902-1064.

Sincerely,



(for Shayne Cothorn)

Shayne Cothorn
Spill Response Coordinator
Department of Natural Resources

CC: Matt Niles, Assistant Division Manager, Rivers District
Kristin Swenddal, Aquatics Division Manager

From: [Gregg Gottgetreu](#)
To: [ECY RE Geographic Response Plans](#)
Subject: Lake Chelan GRP Draft, January 2015
Date: Monday, February 09, 2015 9:21:47 AM

I believe the caption for the photographs on pages 87 and 95 are not of the Old Mill Park site in Manson but should be for the Lake Shore Park. Similarly, the photos on pages 89 and 97 are not of the Lake Shore Park but are of the Courtney Tug & Barge site.

Gregg Gottgetreu
425-881-3129

From: [Hayes, Corina M \(DOH\)](#)
To: [ECY RE Geographic Response Plans](#)
Cc: [Burnham, Brad H \(DOH\)](#); [Dennis, Derrick \(DOH\)](#)
Subject: Comments on the Lake Chelan GRP
Date: Wednesday, March 04, 2015 4:58:07 PM

I submitted these comments through the RRT/NWAC website, but I received an error after I hit the submit button. I apologize if you receive these twice.

I am submitting these questions and comments are on behalf of the Department of Health Office of Drinking Water.

1. Have you considered the water systems that use the lake as their water source during the creation of the Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan?
2. We did not find any mention of the water systems or a response strategy for spills that occur near a water system intake.
3. We would be happy to help you draft language that includes information on how a spill would affect public water systems drawing from Lake Chelan.

Thank you,

Corina

Corina Hayes, Source Water Protection Manager

Washington State Department of Health

Office of Drinking Water

Phone: (360)-236-3114 Email : corina.hayes@doh.wa.gov

243 Israel Road S.E., Tumwater 98501 or PO Box 47822 (MS: 47822)

Olympia, WA 98504

Web site: www.doh.wa.gov/ehp/dw

-- Public Health: Always Working for a Safer and Healthier Washington --

From: [Keegan, Dwight \(PARKS\)](#)
To: [ECY RE Geographic Response Plans](#)
Cc: [Ernsberger, Tom \(PARKS\)](#)
Subject: Comments regarding Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan
Date: Friday, February 06, 2015 5:06:01 PM

Hello,

I have reviewed your Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan. I feel your work will be a great help to first responders like myself. It provides me with clear, logical direction about how to implement an oil spill response prior to the arrival of other agencies and an incident command structure. In an emergency your work will greatly be valued.

I would like to point out a couple of things that you may like to consider to make your document more useful:

1. I believe the Chelan Police Department was disbanded in 2002. In a couple of locations including page 4 and page 23 the document mentions the Police Department and provides a number (682-2588.) It might be less confusing if that reference was replaced with information about Chelan County Sheriff's Office and provide the number of RiverCom 509-663-9911 as the best source of Law Enforcement support.
2. Chelan County Sheriff's Office also provides a marine patrol supported by Washington State Parks. Including information about them and contact data could be helpful to any first responders on the scene of an oil spill.
3. Washington State Parks has a boat launch facility at Lake Chelan State Park. Because this launch is located half way between the town of Chelan and 25 Mile Creek. It may indeed become a strategic location to stage equipment and boats in the time of an emergency, especially if the accident is located near Wapato Point, one of the nearby resorts, or the "narrows." Lake Chelan State Park has the added bonus of being nearer to Wenatchee than any other facility on the lake thereby reducing response times from the south. It is one of the only launches that is deep enough to provide year round access to the lake. I hope you consider including our facility in your response plan, I think we could prove to be highly valuable in the event of an emergency anywhere on the lower lake.
4. On page 51, 98, and 99 the document refers to the Washington State Parks information center (360-902-8544.) This information center is provided for camper information. It is highly likely that the operator would not be able to handle an emergency call or provide first responders with valuable information. I suggest that 509-687-3710 (Lake Chelan State Park) or RiverCom at 509-663-9911 could provide much more valuable information.
5. First responders and government vehicles are exempt from the requirement of the Discover pass. On page 98 the document mentions a "Discovery" pass. To eliminate confusion that may arise in an oil spill event, I suggest that the reference be removed.

Thank you for your efforts preparing this response plan, even though I do not look forward to using it, I am comforted by the fact that it will be available for my use if indeed an oil spill should ever occur. I would be very happy to speak with anyone regarding this plan if you need clarification about any of the points I have raised.

Sincerely,

Dwight Keegan, Ranger
Lake Chelan State Park

509-687-3710

From: [O'Brien, Allison](#)
To: [ECY RE Geographic Response Plans](#)
Cc: [Buffett, Wendy \(ECY\)](#); [Brian Milchak](#); [Tammy Ash](#); [Julie Campbell Hansen](#); [Julie Concannon](#)
Subject: Re: Draft Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan (GRP) Available
Date: Wednesday, March 04, 2015 4:47:19 PM

Hello,

Thank you for the opportunity to review the Lake Chelan GRP. In terms of resources at risk, the Department recommends that key areas for spawning fish be identified for protection. The majority of kokanee spawning (downstream of Holden) occurs in Safety Harbor, Twenty-five Mile, and First Creeks. Rainbow and cutthroat spawn in many of the major tributaries of Lake Chelan, including Fish, Prince, Safety Harbor, Falls, Grade, Mitchell, Domke, Bear, Corral, Big, Twenty-five Mile, and First Creeks. Also, there are numerous other species, including birds, that use these areas with particularly high use on the relatively flat alluvial fans. In the Chelan basin, these alluvial fans probably get disproportionately high use since they are among the flattest, most easily "developed" areas. Many boat-in campgrounds, recreation sites, and private residences occur here as well. We recommend that Ecology and EPA look into whether there are protection measures that could be taken for these alluvial fans.

There is no bull trout critical habitat in Lake Chelan, and it is unknown whether we have bull trout in the basin. Systematic surveys have not occurred to demonstrate absence, so we have the position that they may exist in low numbers. So while we have no specific recommendations for bull trout, we believe protection of the tributaries/alluvial fans (and their prey base) is appropriate.

Editorial Comments

Spill Response Contact Sheet, p. ii (4)

- 1) Please change "Department of Interior" to "Department of the Interior"
- 2) Please move U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service out of "Other Federal Agencies" and put them under "Department of the Interior."
- 3) Please change the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service contact number to "(509) 893-8004."

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Have a great day,
Allison

--
Allison O'Brien
Regional Environmental Officer
U.S. Department of the Interior
620 SW Main St., Ste. 201



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
North Cascades National Park
Lake Chelan National Recreation Area
Ross Lake National Recreation Area
810 State Route 20
Sedro-Woolley, Washington 98284-9394

IN REPLY REFER TO:

March 2, 2015

Washington State Department of Ecology
Spill Prevention, Preparedness, and Response (GRPs)
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Re: Draft Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan

To Whom It May Concern:

North Cascades National Park Service Complex (NOCA) would like to thank you for the opportunity to review and comment upon the draft Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan. We are concerned that the plan does not adequately address resource concerns and response actions near Stehekin. This part of the lake can experience significant uplake winds with sizeable swells, and prevailing winds have the potential to transfer spill impacts to sensitive resources within Lake Chelan National Recreation Area. Although the plan states that it will be expanded to include other areas of Lake Chelan at a future date, we recommend that a spill response strategy be developed for Stehekin as a part of this current version given the potential risks to sensitive and valuable resources – resources that include the Stehekin River and associated fisheries; expansive wetlands at the head of the lake; commercial ferry traffic and a public marina at the Stehekin Landing; public campgrounds along the lakeshore; and many other public recreation opportunities.

If Stehekin is identified as a response strategy location, National Park Service (NPS) facilities and resources can also be identified as part of a specific response strategy. Marine resources include a 26-foot-long Munson ranger patrol boat, oil booms and spill response equipment, HAZWOPER-trained NPS employees, a public marina with fuel and pumpout station, and a gravel boat launch at the Stehekin Landing. Potential staging areas include the winter and summer barge landings, which are also located within the Stehekin Landing area and can be used for other Stehekin-based responders including Courtney Tug & Barge (now Mountain Barge Services, LLC), frequently moored in Stehekin. We would request that any response be coordinated through the Stehekin District Ranger Office at 509-699-2080 ext. 12 prior to staging or implementation.

Specific comments on the draft plan include the following:

- Pg. 4: In the category of “Other Federal Agencies”, under National Park Service, please remove the number currently listed and include the following contacts:
 - Lake Chelan National Recreation Area Comm. Center, (360) 854-7249

- Stehekin District Ranger Office, (509) 699-2080 x 12 (8 am – 5 pm) or x 29 (contact 24-hour/day)
- Pg. 13: In the description of Stehekin, please note the high visitor use (between 35-45,000 visitors to Lake Chelan NRA annually) and popularity of private boating during the summer months.
- Pg. 15: Gasoline and diesel are barged to Stehekin in large quantities, also posing a risk of spillage and potential impacts to resources.
- Pg. 29: Stehekin Landing should be identified as a Response Strategy Location.

To summarize, we ask that the Lake Chelan Geographic Response Plan be expanded to cover the entire extent of Lake Chelan and not just the area between Lucerne Landing and the City of Chelan. We also request that the park be notified during the initial phase of any oil spill response regardless of the spill location and trajectory.

Thank you for your consideration and engagement as you continue to refine this plan, we appreciate the opportunity to collaborate in planning how best to protect Lake Chelan's sensitive resources in the event of an oil spill incident.

Respectfully,

Karen F. Taylor-Goodrich
Superintendent

cc: Eric Gabriel, Chief Ranger, Visitor and Resource Protection (NOCA)
Michael Thompson, Acting Stehekin District Ranger (NOCA)
Vicki Gempko, Stehekin Natural Resource Program Manager (NOCA)
Kerri Cook, Facility Operations Specialist (NOCA)