



**State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)  
Determination of Nonsignificance**

- Proposal Description:** Fire Mountain Farms, Inc. proposes application of Class A or B biosolids material as a soil amendment to agricultural land.
- Proponent:** Fire Mountain Farms, 856 Burnt Ridge Road, Onalaska, Washington 98570
- Proposal Locations:** Section 12, 13, 23, 24 & 34, Township 26N, Range 37W, WM  
Section 17, 18, 19 & 20, Township 26N, Range 38W, WM  
Lat 47° 44' 30.66" N, Long 118° 05' 14.95" W  
Lat 47° 42' 30.48" N, Long 118° 07' 47.94" W  
32529 Level Road N. and  
Unaddressed Olson Hills Road E.  
Davenport, WA 99122
- Lead agency:** Washington State Department of Ecology

Ecology has determined that this proposal does not have a probable significant impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file. This information is available to the public on request.

This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2). There is no comment period.

**SEPA Contact:** Terri Costello, (509) 329-3550; [terri.costello@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:terri.costello@ecy.wa.gov)

**Responsible official:** Wayne Krafft, Waste 2 Resources Program Section Manager

**Address:** Washington Department of Ecology, 4601 N. Monroe Street, Spokane, WA 99205

**Phone:** (509) 329-3438

**Date:** 4-15-15 **Signature:** Wayne Krafft



# **State Environmental Policy Act SEPA Checklist**

**Prepared for  
Amendment to Coverage Under Statewide Permit  
for  
Biosolids Management**

**Rosman Unit**

**Fire Mountain Farms, Inc.  
856 Burnt Ridge Road  
Onalaska, Washington**

**September, 7, 2014**

**WAC 197-11-960 Environmental checklist.**

**ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

*Purpose of checklist:*

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

*Instructions for applicants:*

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

**A. BACKGROUND**

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

*Amendment to Application for Coverage Under the Statewide  
General Permit for Biosolids Management*

2. Name of Applicant:

*Fire Mountain Farms, Inc.  
Contact: Robert Thode*

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

*856 Burnt Ridge Road  
Onalaska, WA 98570  
(360) 266-0695 – Operations Office  
(360) 508-0904 – Cell*

4. Date checklist prepared:

*September, 7, 2014*

Agency Use
Rosman Unit

5. Agency requesting checklist:

*Washington State Department of Ecology*

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

*This SEPA checklist is for addition of a site under the Statewide General Permit for Biosolids Management. This site is anticipated to be used starting in the summer of 2014.*

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

*Fire Mountain Farms, Inc. continues to expand and adjust to changing markets and demand. SEPA will be followed, if required, for any new additions or expansions proposed.*

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

*Biosolids applied to fields will be analyzed as required under state and federal law. Site Specific Land application Plan (SSLAP) has been prepared and is part of our application for coverage under Statewide General Permit for Biosolids Management. A copy of SSLAP can be viewed at on our web site [www.firemtn.us](http://www.firemtn.us)*

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes explain.

*Other than the Site Specific Land Application Plan no other proposals are known to be pending at this time.*

10. List any governmental approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

*The Site Specific Land Application Plan being approved by Department of Ecology is the only action known to be required.*

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

*Biosolids will be applied to agricultural lands at agronomic rates for beneficial value of nutrients and as a soil conditioner and soil builder. Application will be during the drier season, from March until the soil becomes saturated or frozen.*

Agency Use

This is an addition of multiple fields located at two separate sites.

Anticipated start time is Summer 2015.

A General Permit for Biosolids Management issued by Department of Ecology is required.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

*To better address checklist questions we will refer to Site A, (fields R-1 through R-16, with access point of 32529 Level Road N) and Site B, (field R2-1 located on the north side of Olson Hills Road E). All fields are under the same ownership and management but separated by several miles.*

**Rosman Units**

Sec 12, 13, 23, 24 & 34, Twp 26N, Rge 37W, WM  
 Sec 17, 18, 19 & 20, Twp 26N, Rge 38W, WM  
 Lat 47° 44' 30.66" N, Long 118° 05' 14.95" W  
 Lat 47° 42' 30.48" N, Long 118° 07' 47.94" W  
 32529 Level Road N. and  
 Unaddressed Olson Hills Road E.  
 Davenport, WA 99122

Site A fields are located near the north end of Level Road N., where Level Road N. intersects with Green Canyon Road E. and Angel Springs Drive N. See attached map (Note: The directions to site A are incorrect; turn left off Sunset Hwy on Level Road N.) A, field R-1 may have biosolids applied in the future, but it's unlikely.

**B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS**

**1. EARTH**

a. *General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other...*

*Site A fields are rolling hills with steep timbered areas.  
 Site B is one contiguous field with rolling hills.*

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

*The steepest slope(s) in the proposed application areas is less than 15% for both site A and B.*

c. What general types of soil are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

*Site A: Soils for proposed biosolids application are Broadax silt loam, Hanning silty loam, and Badge-Bakeoven-Rock.*

*Site B: Soils for proposed site is Dragoon silt loam.*

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

*No indication of unstable soils has been found on either site A or B during our investigations, nor have any unstable soils been known to be present by those now managing the farm, in proposed application areas.*

- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

*There are no plans to do any major filling or grading on this either site in relation to this project. Any fill material will be from onsite or rock will be hauled in from local rock pits to maintain and construct roads or pads as needed.*

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

*Biosolids are soil conditioners. A short time after biosolids being applied, the soil will resemble a rich, organic top soil. The organic matter increases water retention and retains nutrients in the soil, similar to the effects of peat moss, and helps plants withstand drought. It also permits easier root penetration. In addition, the organic matter improves soil tilth, making the soil easier to work. Adding biosolids to the soil can improve water retention and accelerate plant establishment, thereby potentially reducing stormwater runoff and erosion.*

*It is noted that erosion could occur from road maintenance or construction and other normal agricultural activities. Site A has several areas that have been put into the Conservation Reserve Program, (CRP), and are not being farmed due to potential of soil erosion.*

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction?

*Less than 1% of the site A will be covered with impervious surfaces such as farm roads and buildings.  
There are no plans for any impervious surfaces on site B.*

- h. Proposed measure to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any.

*As an added protective measure against erosion, vegetated buffers are being maintained in CRP areas of site A.  
Both site A and B are farmed using Best Management Practices, (BMPs), to prevent soil loss due to erosion.*

Agency Use

No fill is proposed at either site.

Pads will not be constructed.

Normal maintenance of existing roads using same materials may occur.

New road construction is not proposed.

*Also the addition of organic matter to the soil will increase soil water holding capacity and aid in reducing risk of runoff.*

**2. AIR**

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, and odors, industrial and wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

*There is an odor associated with the spreading of biosolids. Most of this odor dissipates quickly and what lingers is a musty smell. Odors will vary depending on source and method of treatment used. There will also be emissions from equipment used to spread biosolids (tractors) and emissions from trucks hauling equipment and personnel. Due to the distance to potentially affected residences at both site A and B there is little chance of odor impact.*

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

*Off-site odors should not be a problem as the current use of both site A and B is agriculture.*

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any.

*None*

**3. WATER**

- a. Surface

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

*Site A has a seasonal drainage ditch, which runs between fields R-2,3,4 and fields r-5,6,7. This seasonal stream flows down Harker Canyon to Lake Roosevelt. Swales into field are in Conservation Reserve Program and have permanent vegetation of 50 to 200 feet. No application will occur on steep areas, , <15%, of Harker Canyon. Fields R-4 and R-5 come the closest to the seasonal stream and will have minimum 10 meter buffer.*

*Site B has no surface water within 200 feet of field.*

Site A: A number of unnamed drainages/streams, tributaries to Lake Roosevelt, are nearby.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, Please describe and attach available plans.

*Application will not occur within 200 feet of surface water on either site. Application may occur within 200 feet of stream or drainage swales on site A when no water is present as application to these areas will be during the dryer parts of the year when no surface water is present. To prevent potential contamination of surface water, we will maintain a minimum buffer of 10 meters to stream bed drainage swales.*

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the sources and fill material.

*None*

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

*No*

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year flood plain? If so, note location on the site plan.

*No*

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

*No*

b. Ground

1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

*No*

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemical....; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if

applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system (s) are expected to serve.

*Biosolids will be applied to the soil surface or worked into it. The law, (RCW 70.95J. The rule is - 173-308 WAC) now defines biosolids as a valuable resource and regulates its use in a manner to protect human health and the environment. Application rates will be based on plant nutrient needs in order to minimize the risk of nutrient leaching.*

c. Water Runoff (including storm water)

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

*The only source of runoff will be normal rainfall or spring snow melt. Normal rainfall and snow melt runoff disposal will be usage of seasonal drainages. Best Management Practices will be followed to minimize impacts of runoff at both site A and B. The sites will remain in agricultural crops, thus runoff should not present a problem. Proposed activity will increase organic matter in the soil through the application of biosolids, increasing infiltration rates and water retention and decreasing runoff potential. Land application will only take place in the drier months.*

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

*When properly managed under the guidelines of the regulatory agencies, biosolids can be safely applied to the land with less risk than other options of fertilization. During heavy rain events or snow melt, rainfall may exceed infiltration capabilities of the soil. Buffers and normal agricultural BMPs will be followed to prevent materials from entering ground or surface waters at both sites A and B.*

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any.

*Best management practices will be followed at both sites A and B to prevent surface, ground, and runoff water impacts. These will include application methods, applying at agronomic rates and adequate vegetated buffers of a minimum of 10 meters, from surface water (dependent on slope, soil type and ground cover these could be wider). Application will only take place during the drier months.*

**4. PLANTS**

- a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:
- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
  - evergreen tree: fir, pine, other
  - shrubs
  - grass
  - pasture
  - crop or grain
  - Wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
  - Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
  - other types of vegetation

*Most types of vegetation native to the local area can be found on site. No application will occur in water bodies or wetland areas.*

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

*Other than normal agricultural activities, no vegetation needs to be removed at either site A or B. Vegetation may be altered by increasing nutrient availability and therefore increasing vegetative growth.*

- c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

*After reviewing the Washington State Threatened and Endangered listing of species, no justification was found to suspect any threatened or endangered species to be present on this site. A list of Washington State Threatened and Endangered species for Lincoln County was reviewed by proponent and land owner. This list applies to both site A and B. We do not expect that land application of biosolids would adversely impact threatened or endangered species if they were to come on to either site.*

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

*None*

5. ANIMALS

- a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: [hawk, eagle, songbirds,] other:
mammals: [deer,] bear, [Turkey,] beaver, other: (coyote)

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

Most birds and animals common to the local area could be found on site.

- b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

A list of threatened or endangered species that could be in the area was reviewed and there are no threatened or endangered species are known to be on this site by land owner or noted by us.

- c. Is the site of a migration route? If so, explain.

Several species of migrating birds may pass through this area, yet the minimal amount of increased activity proposed on this site would not restrict their use of this site for stop over.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any.

The application of biosolids to farm land will increase feed availability for wildlife. Biosolids application enhances the growth of vegetation by providing nutrients needed for plant growth.

6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating manufacturing, etc.

The only energy required for the project sites will be diesel fuel for operation of application equipment.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No

Agency Use

Both sites are within the Pacific Flyway.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any.

*None*

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

*Potential pollutants in biosolids include nitrogen, metals, pathogens (disease causing organisms), and synthetic organic compounds. Potential pollutants are regulated. Biosolids are not classified as hazardous or dangerous wastes by the Washington State Department of Ecology. The producer of the biosolids is required to have certified laboratories periodically analyses the biosolids to check quality.*

- 1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

*The types of hazards that exist do not require any special emergency types of services beyond those that might be needed for normal agricultural activities.*

- 2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any.

*Humans are at little risk from biosolids-borne pathogens when biosolids are properly treated and handled. The soil environment, hostile to human pathogens, serves as the final phase in the pathogen removal process. Based on the type of biosolids used, the land will be managed to control human contact with pathogens. We will limit public access during the required period of time under the 173-308-210 WAC. Regulations require buffer zones around some biosolids application.*

*Biosolids recycling sites control metal uptake into the food chain by limiting biosolids application to those meeting quality standards. Only biosolids meeting current 173-308-160 WAC Table 3 for metals will be recycled on this site. Nitrogen (N) is an essential plant nutrient, but excess levels of N from biosolids or from other fertilizers can pollute ground water or surface water and can reduce crop quality. For this reason, the project will apply biosolids to land based on the amount of biosolids-supplied N needed by the crop.*

TABLE 3 - POLLUTANT CONCENTRATION LIMITS

POLLUTANT	LIMIT in milligrams per kilogram (dry weight basis)
Arsenic	41
Cadmium	39
Copper	1500
Lead	300
Mercury	17
Nickel	420
Selenium	100
Zinc	2800
Molybdenum	75

Agency Use

*Biosolids application site will meet Chapter 173-308 WAC, Biosolids Management guidelines, and a Site Specific Land Application Plan has been prepared for review and approval by the Department of Ecology.*

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

*None*

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

*Operation of typical agricultural equipment will create noise during normal operating hours. As outlined in our General Permit for Biosolids Management, we are proposing no limitations on daily timing of applications or restrictions for holidays. From a practical standpoint, applications will normally occur during daylight hours, and we do not normally work on holidays. There may be occasions where we need to deviate from the normal schedule, such as trying to get a crop planted prior to the rains coming in. All noise will be consistent with typical agricultural practices and the noises associated with it.*

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any.

*None proposed other than normal exhaust mufflers on equipment.*

## 8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

*The current use of site A is agriculture and forestry. Adjacent parcels of site include agricultural, forestry and rural residential. The current use of site B is agriculture, all adjacent parcels are agriculture also.*

- b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

*These sites have been used for agricultural or timber purposes. Plans are to retain site in these uses. Site A will remain in agriculture and timber and Site B will remain in agriculture.*

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

*Site A, the only structures are agricultural or residence on site. Site B has no structures.*

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

*No*

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

*The site is classified as Agricultural.*

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

*There is no current comprehensive plan designation for these sites.*

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

*There are no current shoreline master program designations for these sites.*

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

*Site A, there are no environmentally sensitive areas such as wetlands and riparian zones on proposed biosolids application fields. A seasonal stream does originate on site and flow down Harker Canyon to Roosevelt Lake. Biosolids will not be applied to*

*“environmentally sensitive” areas. Site B has no environmentally sensitive areas adjacent to or within field.*

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

*One single family residence is found on the site A. No residences are located on site B. No additional housing is proposed. The number of workers would increase by one or two during application times.*

- j. Approximately how many people would the project displace?

*None*

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any.

*None*

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal are compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any.

*Proposal will improve the economic viability of the current agricultural uses, providing added incentive to keep this land in natural resource production.*

## 9. HOUSING

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low income housing.

*None*

- b. Approximately how many units would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low income housing.

*None*

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any.

*None*

**10. AESTHETICS**

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

*There will be no height issue on this project. No structures are proposed at this time.*

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

*None*

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any.

*None*

**11. LIGHT AND GLARE**

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

*Other than normal lighting from vehicles, no light or glare would be produced from this project.*

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

*None*

- c. What existing off-site sources or light or glare may affect your proposal?

*There will be no off-site sources of light or glare that may affect our proposal.*

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any.

*None*

Agency Use

**12. RECREATION**

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

*Both site A and B are private property and have controlled accesses, thus providing no formal recreational opportunities. Informal opportunities such as hunting may exist. Sites are private property and posted "no trespassing"; hunting is by permission of land owner only. Fields will be posted with signs indicating that this is a biosolids application site and access is restricted.*

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

*No*

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any.

*None*

**13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION**

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

*No*

- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

*None*

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any?

*None*

**14. TRANSPORTATION**

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plan, if any.

*Traffic could increase up to 12 vehicle trips per day as biosolids are delivered and the crew enters and exits these sites.*

Agency Use

Both sites will be posted with signs for 30 days from the date on the signs.

None per WISAARD

- b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

*There is not any anticipated need for public transit systems.*

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

*None*

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

*The proposal will not require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, some on site farm road may be built or improved.*

No new roads will be constructed due to this project.

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

*No*

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

*Expected vehicular trips per day will normally be from one to 12 per day to a site during application times. Peak volumes of trips would occur between the hours of 6 am and 7pm.*

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any.

*None*

**15. PUBLIC SERVICE**


- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

*No*

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impact on public services, if any.

*None*





General Site Locations  
Rosman Farms

- Site A: Turn north on hwy 25 then right on Sunset Hwy, to Level Road turn right. 4 1/2 miles
- Site B: Turn north on hwy 25, 3.1/4 miles to right on Olsen Hills Rd. 1/2 mile to field

