

A Peek at the 2004-2005 Coordinated Prevention Grant Program Northwest Hazardous Waste Conference 2003

Why are we changing the Coordinated Prevention Grant (CPG) Guidelines again?

- Joint Legislative Audit Review Committee (JLARC) report
- House Bill 1785

Key changes to CPG

- Increase strategic practices
- Changing role of grant officer
- Using data for adaptive management
- Framework remains essentially the same

Evaluation criteria for CPG applications (comparison, not competition)

- Ecology's regional grant officers will review applications for:
 1. Identified environmental or health benefits
 2. Identified measurement method (what will be measured and how)
 3. Appropriateness of method/technology (applications identify the methodology and rationale for use)
 4. Cost effectiveness compared to similar projects in similar jurisdictions (using performance benchmarks)
 5. Readiness to proceed
 6. Minimum threshold score
 7. Consistency with local comprehensive solid waste and hazardous waste management plans, ensuring that all projects address identified strategic priorities.
- In addition to the above selection investment practices, supplemental applications must:
 1. Strategically address key issues or create a tool with statewide benefit.

Supplemental Grants – strategic projects

- Efficiency Improvement – better solution to old problem(s), also called “innovation”
- Emerging Issues – solution to new problem(s)
- Infrastructure Development – especially for a capital projects in small jurisdictions that do not fit within regular base plus funds.

Communication and Reporting

- Simplified reporting
- More personal contact with Ecology grant officer
- Solid Waste Annual Report
- Biennial Grant Report

Adaptive Management

- Reports
- Learning from our efforts
- “Summits” to set priorities

Key issues for 2004-2005 CPG

- Reduce generation and use of toxic materials and wastes
- Minimize the amount of waste that gets landfilled or incinerated
- Reduce the impact of toxins on the environment
- Reduce health impacts from solid and hazardous wastes
- Encourage more environmentally sound waste management practices by citizens
- Electronic waste recycling
- Food waste collection/composting

Feedback opportunities

- CPG Guidelines/strategic priorities
- MRW Action Plan for Beyond Waste State Plan effort

Ecology's Regional Grant Officers

Name	Address	Phone/E-Mail	Counties
Mike Drumright	Southwest Regional Office PO Box 47775 Olympia, WA 98504-7775	Ph: 360-407-6397 mdru461@ecy.wa.gov	Cark, Cowlitz, Lewis, Pierce, Skamania, Wahkiakum
Tami Morgan Ramsey	Southwest Regional Office PO Box 47775 Olympia, WA 98504-7775	Ph: 360-407-6612 tmor461@ecy.wa.gov	Clallam, Grays Harbor, Jefferson, Mason, Pacific, Thurston
Vacant	Central Regional Office 15 W Yakima Ave, Suite 200 Yakima, WA 98902- 3387	Ph: 509-329-3400	Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Kittitas, Klickitat, Okanogan, Yakima
Vacant	Northwest Regional Office 3190 - 160th Ave SE Bellevue, WA 98008-5452	Ph: 425-649-7000	Island, Kitsap, San Juan, Skagit, Snohomish, Whatcom (Public Works Only)
Nancy Lucas	Eastern Regional Office N 4601 Monroe Spokane 99205-1295	Ph: 509-329-3432 nluc461@ecy.wa.gov	Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grant, Lincoln, Pend Oreille, Spokane, Stevens Walla Walla, Whitman

2004-2005 CPG Cycle Calendar

July 1, 2003	Guidelines and application forms sent to local governments – hard copy, electronically, and posted on Ecology’s website: www.ecy.wa.gov
July 1 through September 30, 2003	Application period. Both regular and supplemental applications are due to Ecology no later than September 30.
Mid-November 2003	Supplemental awards announced.
October 1 through December 31, 2003	Grants negotiated and written
January 1, 2004	Grant starting date
April 1, 2004	Grants must be executed by this date
Progress Reports Due	Due dates vary based on negotiated reporting terms in each agreement. Reports are due 30 days after a respective billing period ends.
January 30, 2005 January 30, 2006	Annual Reports due
December 31, 2005	Grant expiration date
February 15, 2006	Final Performance Analysis due