

Availability of Grant Funds under Proposed Rule



This table summarizes the availability of grant funds under the proposed rule and highlights changes from the current rule in red. The changes are explained in the footnotes.

		Base Funding	Additional Funding			Funding Limit
			Economic Disadvantage (+25%)	Innovative Technology (+15%)	Director's Discretion (Up to 90%)	
Site Assessment Grants		Up to 100%	--	--	--	None
Integrated Planning Grants		Up to 100%	--	--	--	\$200,000 for a single site; \$300,000 for multiple sites ¹
Oversight Remedial Action Grants	Normal	Up to 50%	YES	YES	YES ²	None
	Extended Grants	Up to 50% ³	NO	NO	NO	None
Independent Remedial Action Grants		Up to 50%	YES	NO	YES ⁴	\$600,000 ⁵
Area-wide Groundwater Investigation Grants		Up to 100%	--	--	--	\$500,000 ⁶
Safe Drinking Water Action Grants		Up to 90% ⁷	NO ⁸	NO	NO	None
Meth Lab Site Assessment and Cleanup Grants		Eliminated as Separate Type of Grant				
Derelict Vessel Remedial Action Grants		Eliminated as Separate Type of Grant				

¹ Increase the limit on eligible costs for projects involving studies of multiple sites from \$200,000 to \$300,000. WAC 173-322A-310(7)(b).

² Allow the Director under certain conditions to increase the department share of eligible costs up to 90%, but only if the eligible project costs are less than \$5 million. WAC 173-322A-320(7)(a)(iii).

³ Allow the department to enter into extended grant agreements, but limit its share of eligible costs to 50%. WAC 173-322A-320(10).

⁴ Allow the Director under certain conditions to increase the department share of eligible costs up to 90%. WAC 173-322A-330(9)(a)(ii).

⁵ Increase the limit on eligible project costs from \$400,000 to \$600,000. WAC 173-322A-330(8).

⁶ Limit eligible project costs to \$500,000. WAC 173-322A-340(7).

⁷ Increase department share from 50% to 90%. WAC 173-322A-350(7)(a).

⁸ Eliminated ability to increase department share of eligible costs based on economic disadvantage because increased base funding to 90%. WAC 173-322A-350(7).