

DRAFT Grant Selection Criteria

Grant Incentives – Original list was for the large sites. The financial reporting should be required for all sites. Ideally, it would be nice to report on total costs and total recoveries including money from PRPs, Insurance, and state grants for both the grant selection process, application and annually.

Grant Qualifications and selection process (Over \$20 Million) [This may make sense for all (Check out Tiger Grant process)]

Tier 1 Primary Selection Criteria

-Economic Competitiveness (for the industrial area, Port, City, County)

Contributing to the economic competitiveness of the United States over the medium- to long-term. Priority consideration will be given to projects that:

- (i) improve long-term efficiency, reliability or cost-competitiveness in the movement of workers or goods, with a particular focus on projects that have a significant effect on reducing the costs of transporting export cargoes, or
- (ii) make improvements that increase the economic productivity of land, capital or labor at specific locations,

-**Livability** - Fostering livable communities through policies and investments that (Fill in _____ ~~increase transportation choices and access to transportation services~~) for people in communities across the Washington State.

-Environmental Sustainability (Define)

-Safety (Define)

-Phase of work (RI/FS/Remedy/O&M): Estimate the duration to complete each phase of work based on industry standards for upland vs sediment work.

-Demonstrate effectiveness of cost recovery program. This may include reporting on track record for recovering costs from PRPs/insurers. This may include pre-litigation notice, notice of intent to sue (tolling agreement), entering into voluntary allocations processes, measuring the solvency of PRPs through counter party risk and cashout settlement for smaller PRPs. (See yellow book but no incentives are made by the PRPs). It would be interesting to see the organizations report on lifecycle reports for the 10 year grants as part of the application to see how many of the organizations seeking grant money have successfully recovered money from insurance and PRPs.

Financial Reporting

Report annually on total costs to date of the project and amount recovered by grants, insurance and PRPs.

If insurance how much grant match or not.

Recovery Rate- Lifecycle vs annual

Secondary Selection Criteria

(a) **Innovation** for projects that use innovative strategies to pursue long term outcomes outlined above.

(b) **Partnerships**

DOE will give priority to projects that demonstrate strong collaboration among a broad range of participants, integration of cleanupt with other public service efforts, and/or are the product of a robust planning process.

Notes not included yet in list above

For historical projects require the grantee to provide the date range for each phase of work as defined in the 5296.

Request change for indirect rates (i.e. overhead) of 25% fixed to 2 CFR Part 225 rules. (Consistency issue with federal and state grants).

Recommend designing Criteria similar to Tiger grant or similar process.