

**From:** noreply@ecy.wa.gov  
**Posted At:** Wednesday, June 22, 2011 12:33 PM  
**Conversation:** \*\*\* WQ ASSESSMENT - COMMENT ON LISTING 63321 \*\*\*  
**Posted To:** 303d  
**Subject:** \*\*\* WQ ASSESSMENT - COMMENT ON LISTING 63321 \*\*\*0006

\*\*\*\*\*

**WATS 2010 REVIEW TOOL: Comment on Listing 63321**

\*\*\*\*\*

SENDER'S NAME: Lincoln Loehr  
SENDER'S EMAIL: [lincolnandjanet@yahoo.com](mailto:lincolnandjanet@yahoo.com)  
SENT: 6/22/2011 12:33:09 PM  
WEB LINK: [Listing 63321](#)  
MAP LINK: [Listing 63321](#)

\*\*\*\*\*

**COMMENT:**

Samples were taken from mussels growing on creosote treated pilings. Not an appropriate site for evaluating water quality for PAHs. Snohomish County Marine Resource Committee has relocated the station to a nearby concrete structure for sampling in 2010 and 2011 (and I think also in the winter of 2009). We have not yet received the data for these three sampling events from the NOAA contract lab. I think we also had a sample of creosote from the piling analyzed and it was high in crysene. I'd say put this in category 2 and re-evaluate when the newer data become available. Lincoln (member Snohomish County Marine Resource Committee, and personally involved in the sampling effort in recent years)