

**From:** NOREPLY@ECY.WA.GOV  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2011 5:24 PM  
**To:** 303d  
**Cc:** Herold, Mike (ECY); Brown, Chad (ECY); Braley, Susan (ECY); Koch, Ken (ECY)  
**Subject:** \*\*\* WQ ASSESSMENT - COMMENT ON LISTING 9839 \*\*\*0034

\*\*\*\*\*

**WATS 2010 REVIEW TOOL: Comment on Listing 9839**

\*\*\*\*\*

SENDER'S NAME: Lincoln Loehr  
SENDER'S EMAIL: [lcloehr@stoel.copm](mailto:lcloehr@stoel.copm)  
SENT: 7/22/2011 5:25:02 PM  
WEB LINK: [Listing 9839](#)  
MAP LINK: [Listing 9839](#)

\*\*\*\*\*

**COMMENT:**

This listing is based on data from 18 years ago. The data are old, and no effort was made to see if birds might be accounting for some of this. When did the Tulalip landfill get covered? That would have also attracted lots of seagulls which are bacterial sources. The data from 18 years ago are not representative for today. Wildlife could still be a source, but we simply don't know. When listings are based on old data, more than 15 years old as in this case, I think the listing should be moved to category 2.