

**Washington Department of Ecology**

**Errata for 2013-2018 Western Washington Municipal Stormwater Permit**

**Issued on August 1, 2012**

**Permit Errata:**

1. Page 10, S1.D.3.b, second sentence: Delete the second word “joint” that refers to the NOI.
2. Page 25, S5.C.4.a: In each of the first three paragraphs, the reference “S5.C.5.a(i) through (iii)” is incorrect. In all three, the reference is as follows: *“The local program adopted to meet the requirements of S5.C.4.a.(i) through (iii), below shall apply to all applications submitted.....”*
3. Page 30, S5.C.4.g: The correct reference is to S5.C.5.c of the Phase I permit.
4. Page 32, footnote #28 should read: “New permittees shall begin annual inspections of municipally owned ~~and~~ or operated....”
5. Page 43, S.8.A Monitoring and Assessment, second paragraph, line 2: The statement says Regional Stormwater Management Program for “RSMP” instead of Regional Stormwater Monitoring Program.
6. Page 45, S8.B.2.a: Replace “July 31, 2014” with “October 31, 2014”.
7. Page 60: Definition of Co-Permittee, missing word in third sentence: “A Co-Permittee is only responsible for permit conditions relating to discharges from the MS4 the Co-Permittee owns or operates.”
8. Page 66: The acronym SWMMWW refers to the 2012 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (rather than the 2005 manual).
9. Appendix 3: In order to provide an electronic method for annual reporting, Ecology will have to break-up several annual report questions into separate parts. Here is a listing of the annual report questions affected by this formatting change:
  - Annual report question (Q)7 now has a separate Q7b: “Attach description of how this requirement was met.”
  - Q9 now has a separate Q9b: “List the website address in Comments field”
  - Q12 now has a separate Q12b: “Cite the Prohibited Discharge code reference in Comments field”

Updated July 31, 2013

- Q17 now has a separate Q17b: “Describe information sharing actions in Comments field.”
- Q23 now has a separate Q23b: “Cite code reference for revised ordinance or other enforceable mechanism to address runoff from new development, redevelopment and construction sites in Comments field.”
- Q44 now has a separate Q44b: “Please note in the Comments field what kinds of facilities are covered by this alternative maintenance standard. (S5.C.5.a)”
- Q67 now has a separate Q67b: “List permit conditions described in non-compliance notification(s) in Comments field.”

10. Appendix 3: Add question 13b to question 13: “*Cite methodology in Comments field.*”

11. Appendix 3: Revise the permit reference in question 56 to “S8.A”.

12. Appendix 3: Revise the permit reference in question 57 to “S8.B.1”.

13. Appendix 3: Revise the permit reference in question 57b to “S8.B.2”.

14. Appendix 3: Delete question 58b and renumber 58c to be 58b.

15. Appendix 3: Revise the permit reference in question 58 to “S8.C.1”.

16. Appendix 3: Revise the permit reference in question 58b to “S8.C.2”.

17. Appendix 3: Revise the permit reference in question 59 to “S8.D.1”.

18. Appendix 3: In question 57b, replace “July 31, 2014” with “October 31, 2014”.

19. Appendix 4: In order to provide an electronic method for annual reporting, Ecology will have to break-up several annual report questions into separate parts. Here is a listing of the annual report questions affected by this formatting change:

- Annual report question (Q) 10 now has a separate Q10b: “[If applicable,] made the map available on request to Ecology or others.”
- Q13 now has a separate Q13b: “Attach a summary of each illicit discharge discovered and actions taken to eliminate each of the discharges. (S6.D.3.d)”

20. Appendix 8: In order to provide an electronic method for annual reporting, Ecology will have to break-up several annual report questions into separate parts. Here is a listing of the annual report questions affected by this formatting change:

- Annual report question (Q) 7 now has a separate Q7b: “Attach description of how this requirement was met.”
- Q9 now has a separate Q9b: “List the website address in Comments field”
- Q12 now has a separate Q12b: “Cite reference for ordinance or other regulatory mechanism to meet this requirement in Comments field.”
- Q14 now has a separate Q14b: “Cite methodology used in the Comments section.”
- Q16 now has a separate Q16c: “Provide hotline telephone number in the Comments field.”
- Q18 now has a separate Q18b: “Describe activities in Comments field.”
- Q24 now has a separate Q24b: “Cite the jurisdiction code reference used to meet this requirement in Comments field.”
- Q40 now has a separate Q40b: “Attach documentation of any maintenance delays. (S5.C.4.c.vi).”
- Q46 now has a separate Q46b: “Please note in the Comments field what kinds of facilities are covered by this alternative maintenance standard. (S5.C.5.a)”
- Q66 now has a separate Q66b: “List permit conditions described in non-compliance notification(s) in Comments field.”

21. Appendix 8: Revise the permit reference in question 58 to “S8.A”.
22. Appendix 9, Page 4, first sub-bullet under Organics: Correct the spelling of “dibenzo(a,h)” to “dibenzo(a,h)anthracene”.
23. Appendix 9, Page 4, second sub-bullet under Organics: Correct the spelling of “dichlobenyl” to “dichlobenil”.
24. Appendix 9, Page 4, second bullet under “Grab Samples”: delete “The lube oil fraction, not the diesel fraction, is targeted for NWTPH-Dx.”
25. Appendix 9, Page 5, first sub-bullet under Organics: Correct the spelling of “2,6-dimethylnapthalene” to “2,6-dimethylnaphthalene” and “2-ethylnapthalene” to “2-methylnaphthalene”.
26. Appendix 9, Page 9, Table A9-1, first row of second column under “Petroleum Hydrocarbons”: delete “or EPA SW-846 method 8015B; lube oil fraction”.
27. Appendix 9, Page 9, Table A9-1, the last sentence of the footnote a should read: “For non-detect values below the reporting limit, report results at the method detection limit from the lab and the qualifier of “U” for undetected at that concentration.”

28. Appendix 9 – At the back of Appendix 9, add the laboratory method for Wet Sieving and Mass Measurement for Laser Diffraction Analysis (from Appendix 9, of the Phase I Municipal Stormwater Permit effective September 1, 2012 pages 4-7). Available online here: [www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/municipal/MUNldocs/Appendix9errata.pdf](http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/municipal/MUNldocs/Appendix9errata.pdf)

**Response to Comments Errata:**

1. Part III – Response to Comments on Western Washington Phase II Permit, page 9, add the words “more than” (see below) to the Response, which describes the rationale for allowing more than two years for projects with costs greater than \$25,000.

**Comment:** S5.C.4.c.iii - Clarify the purpose of language regarding construction of less than \$25,000 as well as the category for circumstances beyond the Permittee’s control.

**Response:** Ecology provided a timeline of more than two years for capital construction costs of greater than \$25,000 because it is anticipated that those projects need additional planning or implementation time compared to other maintenance projects. For larger, more expensive projects, Ecology recognizes the permittee may need to go through capital planning, with timeframes that could extend beyond the term of this permit. Circumstances beyond the control of the permittee may also result in failure to meet the designated timelines. Permittees must document the circumstances and how they were beyond the Permittee’s control. The permit provides a list of circumstances that would justify a delay beyond the timelines in the permit.