

FY 2006, Phase II Municipal Stormwater Grants Program Outline of Progress - November 17, 2005

- The 2005 Legislature provided Ecology \$2.7 million from the local toxics account to pass through to cities and counties for FY 2006, (July 1, 2005, to June 30, 2006) for the Phase II Municipal Stormwater Grants Program. Communities need not use the money during this timeframe, but grant agreements are to be negotiated and signed in FY 2006, if possible.
- There is presently *NO* provision for the program to be extended beyond FY 2006.
- The purpose of the program is to provide “seed” funds in the form of grants to local governments to help them develop capacities (plans, rate structures, etc.) to meet Phase II, Municipal Stormwater Management National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit requirements.
- For those local governments that have proceeded on their own initiative, the grant program is to provide funds to assist them in revising and updating their planning efforts to meet the new permit requirements.
- Financial need based priority criteria and other major framework for the *Program Guidelines* (available at the WEB site) were developed with the assistance of the Water Quality Program Financial Assistance (Advisory) Council and other client and stakeholder groups.
- Once municipalities were rank ordered, grant funding offer funding were sent to Phase II municipalities identified for funding on August 1, 2005.
- A total of 32 of the 115 cities and counties that will all required by permit to develop stormwater management programs under the Phase II permit process were *each* offered a \$75,000 grant in priority order.
- Intergovernmental partnerships (including “regular partnerships” and “Special Partnerships,” were encouraged in the Offer Letters and the *Program Guidelines*, which were distributed on August 15, 2005.
- A “Letter of Intent” to apply for financial assistance was requested from local governments offered funding.
- Letters from 30 of the 32 offered grant funding were received at Ecology’s Headquarters Office by the September 15, 2005, deadline. Two offers were declined.
- Two special partnerships were proposed. The total funds proposed for special partnerships are \$225,000 of the \$300,000 set aside for these special partnerships.
- All applicants that submitted letters of intent are completed a 2-page, simplified application by the November 15, 2005, deadline date.
- Offer letters of \$75,000 each to the next two highest priority municipalities were sent on October 14, 2005. These local governments were asked to submit letters of intent by December 15, 2005. Applications (from these two “second round applicants”) will be due on or before February 15, 2006.
- A boilerplate grant agreement has been made available to regional staff on Ecology’s Intranet.

Fiscal Year 2006 Municipal Phase II Stormwater Grants Program - Final Priority List

Top 32 (Offered Funding), the two that declined grant offers (crossed out), Three cities receiving new offers, & special partners

#	Municipality	County	Score*	Funding	#	Municipality	County	Score	Funding	#	Municipality	County	Score	Funding
1	Asotin	Asotin	51	\$75,000	41	Chelan County	Chelan	31	\$0	81	Camas	Clark	20	\$0
2	Asotin County	Asotin	51	\$75,000	42	Moses Lake	Grant	31	\$0	82	Kenmore	King	20	\$0
3	Clarkston	Asotin	48	\$75,000	43	Bremerton	Kitsap	31	\$0	83	Lake Forest Park	King	20	\$0
4	East Wenatchee	Douglas	45	\$75,000	44	Spokane	Spokane	31	\$0	84	Mukilteo	Snohomish	20	\$0
5	Douglas County	Douglas	45	\$75,000	45	Whatcom County	Whatcom	31	\$0	85	Thurston County	Thurston	18	\$0
6	Kelso	Cowlitz	43	\$75,000	46	Granite Falls	Snohomish	31	\$0	86	Arlington	Snohomish	18	\$0
7	Centralia	Lewis	43	\$75,000	47	Des Moines	King	30	\$0	87	Marysville	Snohomish	18	\$0
8	Sunnyside	Yakima	43	\$75,000	48	Edgewood	Pierce	29	\$0	88	Hunts Point	King	18	\$0
9	Selah	Yakima	41	\$75,000	49	Pacific	King	29	\$0	89	SeaTac	King	17	\$0
10	Ellensburg	Kittitas	40	\$75,000	50	Sumner	Pierce	28	\$0	90	Tukwila	King	17	\$0
11	Franklin County	Franklin	40	\$75,000	51	Kitsap County	Kitsap	28	\$0	91	Lynnwood	Snohomish	17	\$0
12	Sedro-Woolley	Skagit	39	\$75,000	52	Enumclaw	King	28	\$0	92	Tumwater	Thurston	15	\$0
13	Ferndale	Whatcom	38	\$75,000	53	University Place	Pierce	28	\$0	93	Olympia	Thurston	15	\$0
14	Port Orchard	Kitsap	38	\$75,000	54	Skagit County	Skagit	27	\$0	94	Shoreline	King	15	\$0
15	Pullman	Whitman	38	\$75,000	55	Anacortes	Skagit	27	\$0	95	Liberty Lake	Spokane	15	\$0
16	Lakewood	Pierce	38	\$75,000	56	Benton County	Benton	27	\$0	96	Newcastle	King	15	\$0
17	Cowlitz County	Cowlitz	37	\$75,000	57	Fircrest	Pierce	27	\$0	97	Auburn	King	14	\$0
18	Aberdeen	Grays Harbor	37	\$75,000	58	Steilacoom	Pierce	27	\$0	98	Snohomish	Snohomish	14	\$0
19	Pasco	Franklin	37	\$75,000	59	Black Diamond	King	27	\$0	99	Sammamish	King	14	\$0
20	Walla Walla	Walla Walla	37	\$75,000	60	Poulsbo	Kitsap	26	\$0	100	Monroe	Snohomish	13	\$0
21	Walla Walla Cnty	Walla Walla	37	\$75,000	61	DuPont	Pierce	26	\$0	101	Edmonds	Snohomish	13	\$0
22	Spokane Valley	Spokane	37	\$75,000	62	Brier	Snohomish	26	\$0	102	Richland	Benton	13	\$0
23	Battle Ground	Clark	37	\$75,000	63	Lake Stevens	Snohomish	26	\$0	103	Medina	King	12	\$0
24	Millwood	Spokane	36	\$75,000	64	Gig Harbor	Pierce	25	\$0	104	Bainbridge Island	Kitsap	11	\$0
25	Washougal	Clark	35	\$75,000	65	Burien	King	25	\$0	105	Mercer Island	King	11	\$0
26	Port Angeles	Clallam	35	\$75,000	66	Kennewick	Benton	25	\$0	106	Mill Creek	Snohomish	11	\$0
27	Oak Harbor	Island	34	\$75,000	67	Mountlake Terrace	Snohomish	24	\$0	107	Woodinville	King	9	\$0
28	Yakima	Yakima	34	\$75,000	68	Clyde Hill	King	24	\$0	108	Puyallup	Pierce	8	\$0
29	West Richland	Benton	34	\$75,000	69	Yarrow Point	King	24	\$0	109	Issaquah	King	7	\$0
30	Union Gap	Yakima	33	\$75,000	70	Normandy Park	King	24	\$0	110	Bellevue	King	5	\$0
31	Mount Vernon	Skagit	33	\$75,000	71	Bellingham	Whatcom	23	\$0	111	Bothell	Snohomish	5	\$0
32	Longview	Cowlitz	32#	\$75,000	72	Algona	King	23	\$0	112	Kirkland	King	5	\$0
33	Vancouver	Clark	32	\$75,000	73	Bonney Lake	Pierce	23	\$0	113	Kent	King	4	\$0
34	Buckley	Pierce	32	\$75,000	74	Milton	Pierce	22	\$0	114	Renton	King	4	\$0
35	Orting	Pierce	32	\$75,000	75	Covington	King	22	\$0	115	Redmond	King	2	\$0
36	Duvall	King	32	\$0	76	Maple Valley	King	22	\$0	Subtotal				\$2,400,000
37	Yakima County	Yakima	31	\$0	77	Lacey	Thurston	21	\$0	Special Partnership Set Aside				\$300,000
38	Fife	Pierce	31	\$0	78	Federal Way	King	21	\$0	Total				\$2,700,000
39	Burlington	Skagit	31	\$0	79	Everett	Snohomish	20	\$0					
40	Wenatchee	Chelan	31	\$0	80	Spokane County	Spokane	20	\$0	*, # - See Footnotes				

* Scores were based on "Financial Need" derived from Appendix E of the *Program Guidelines*. Criteria used in Appendix E were supported by values as current as possible and, except for population, were based as a percentage of state of Washington average values. As of August 1, 2005, the funding cutoff line is at the point below municipality number 32, city of Longview, Washington.

Ties near the apparent funding cutoff line were broken by points within criteria (in descending order, e.g., MHL, sales tax, property tax, etc.) See Appendix E. When scores are still tied, the municipality with the lower median household income is given higher priority.