

**From:** [Mike Mahaffa](#)  
**To:** [Jennings, Jonathan \(ECY\)](#)  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 16, 2010 6:27:09 PM

---

Perhaps the residents of Washington might consider a more local control of mosquito`s such as DDT or candles that burn natural chemicals around their immediate environment than a free-for-all way of killing all insects in their natural habitat with potential harmful chemicals.

Since Lyme disease is spread by ticks that use deer as vectors, and then on to humans potentially, by the Department of Ecology logic we should kill deer. Or perhaps outdoor pet dogs and cats that harbor ticks are vectors for ticks. After all I might get Lyme disease from ticks that feed on a pet or a deer and I do not wish that, so remove the vector, such as deer or pets, with toxic chemicals to the environment.

It is obvious to any lay observer the population of amphibians is in decline along with many bird species that feed (and face life or death decisions each day in raising their young or in migration to bring insects to their nests) or to migrate outside the USA. I am only mentioning two of the many non human species that can be effected by a broad based use of harmful chemicals.

It is stated that these harmful chemicals do not affect the environment--who says, studies paid for by the chemical industry?

Mike Mahaffa

[mahaffa@e-z.net](mailto:mahaffa@e-z.net)