

PUGET SOUND MONITORING CONSORTIUM

GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

Friday, 9 May 2008 9:30 AM – Noon
University of Washington Tacoma
The Tacoma Room (GWP Room 320)

Draft Agenda

THE MEETING'S GOALS:

1. Define the role and responsibilities of the Puget Sound Monitoring Consortium in assisting the Puget Sound Partnership in developing the Science Plan, and more specifically, the Monitoring Plan for the Action Agenda.
2. Agree on a process for defining the mission, functions, and operations of a “prototype” work group on stormwater, and who will serve on the subcommittee that develops that process.

* * * * *

- | | | | |
|-----|------|--|------------------------------------|
| I. | 9:30 | Welcome, Introductions and Review the Meeting's Goals and Agenda | Jim Reid/Everyone |
| II. | 9:40 | The Context for Today's Discussions: the Science Panel's Reactions to and Advice on the Governance Models <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ <i>Project Manager Karen Dinicola presented our draft governance models to the Science Panel on April 15th.</i>▪ <i>What was the Panel's initial reaction to the governance models, and what does it recommend we do with them for now?</i>▪ <i>Do Committee members have any questions or reactions?</i> | Joel Baker,
Science Panel Chair |

- | | | | |
|------|-------|---|-------------------------|
| III. | 10:10 | Define and Agree on the Role of the Consortium in Helping to Produce the Science and Monitoring Plans | Scott Redman/Everyone |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>What are the proposed or expected purposes and elements of the Strategic Science Plan for Puget Sound and one of its components, the Monitoring Plan?</i> ▪ <i>What is the relationship of these plans to existing monitoring efforts across Puget Sound?</i> ▪ <i>What is the process and timeline for developing the Science and Monitoring Plans?</i> ▪ <i>What role does the Partnership envision the Consortium playing in helping develop the two plans?</i> ▪ <i>Discuss the Consortium's potential role.</i> ▪ <i>Is there agreement?</i> | |
| | 10:50 | break | |
| IV. | 11:00 | A Process to Develop a "Prototype" Work Group | Karen Dinicola/Everyone |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>What process should we use to define the roles and responsibilities of the work groups, and more specifically, of a "prototype" work group on stormwater?</i> ▪ <i>Who should be involved? When and how should their recommendations be brought back to this Committee? What does creating these groups mean for the work of the Committee in the near-term?</i> ▪ <i>Is there agreement on a process for moving forward?</i> | |
| V. | 11:55 | Review Today's Agreements and Next Steps | Jim/Everyone |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>What did we agree to today, and who is responsible for what and by when?</i> | |
| | Noon | adjourn | |