

PUGET SOUND COORDINATED MONITORING PROGRAM  
**TECHNICAL ADVISORY AND GOVERNANCE  
SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING**

**Wednesday, 2 April 2008**

**9:30 AM – Noon**

**University of Washington Tacoma**

**The Tacoma Room (GWP Room 320)**

Pacific Avenue, midway between South 17<sup>th</sup> and South 21<sup>st</sup>  
(the University Bookstore is on the street level of the building)

# Draft Agenda

## THE MEETING'S GOAL:

Reach agreement on the prioritization of potential pilot projects to recommend to the Department of Ecology and other interested and influential stakeholders.

\* \* \* \* \*

*Note: Refreshments will be served beginning at 9:15 a.m. Please come early to enjoy them and be ready to start the meeting promptly at 9:30. Thank you!*

- |      |       |  |                       |
|------|-------|--|-----------------------|
| I.   | 9:30  | Welcome, Introductions, Review Today's Purpose and Agenda, and Where We Go from Here   | Jim Reid, facilitator |
| II.  | 9:45  | Review and Agree on Criteria for Prioritizing Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ <i>Do you agree with the criteria that Jim sent to prioritize the seven pilot projects?</i></li><li>▪ <i>Discuss the criteria, making any adjustments that the group feels are necessary.</i></li><li>▪ <i>Agree on the criteria.</i></li></ul> | Jim/Everyone          |
| III. | 10:10 | Review and Discuss the Proposed Pilot Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ <i>Do members of the Governance Subcommittee have any questions about the proposed projects?</i></li><li>▪ <i>Using the criteria, how strong is each proposal?</i></li></ul>  | Everyone              |

- IV. 11:10 Rank the Seven Proposed Pilot Projects Everyone
- *How do each of us rank the proposed projects? Are there some 92-3) that “rise to the top” and thus appear to be favored by the group?*
  - *Review and discuss the results of the ranking.*
  - *Do we have a set of criteria to recommend?*
- V. 11:45 Agree on which Projects to Recommend Everyone
- *Is there agreement on recommended pilot projects?*
  - *Discuss next steps in presenting the group’s recommendations.*
- Noon Adjourn

**Web link to a map of University of Washington Tacoma:**  
[http://www.tacoma.washington.edu/campus\\_map/](http://www.tacoma.washington.edu/campus_map/)

**Driving directions to UW Tacoma:**

To reach the Tacoma campus of the University of Washington from I-5:

- Take the I-705/Tacoma City Center exit #133.
- From I-705 take the South 21<sup>st</sup> Street exit.
- Turn left at the traffic light onto South 21<sup>st</sup> Street. From here you can either:
- Turn right at the traffic light onto Pacific Avenue, then turn right again into the public pay parking lot next to the Washington State History Museum, which is located across Pacific from the campus, and from the Academic Building in which we will meet.

OR

- Go through the light at South 21<sup>st</sup> Street and Pacific Avenue (the campus will be on the right), and park in one of the pay parking lots up the hill at C Street and Jefferson Avenue.

**Public transportation directions to UW Tacoma:**

- Express buses from Seattle and Olympia stop right across the street from the Academic Building/GWP on Pacific Avenue.
- There is also a light rail link from the Sounder train that stops at the same place as the buses.

## **CRITERIA FOR SELECTING “PILOT” PROJECTS**

### ***Criteria Used to Narrow the Number of Projects to Seven:***

The following criteria were used by the members of the Technical Advisory Committee to reach agreement on seven pilot projects that were presented to the Department of Ecology in late February 2008.

1. Builds the credibility of the program.
2. Tests working relationships.
3. Provides credible and meaningful information that addresses the framework questions.
4. Encourages leveraging of resources.
5. Is voluntary (“a coalition of the willing”) and attracts additional participants over time.
6. Is simple.
7. Can get going in less than one year.

### ***Proposed Criteria for Prioritizing the Seven Proposed Projects:***

Based on suggestions from Technical Advisory Committee members, Governance Committee members, and Department of Ecology staff, facilitator Jim Reid offers the following criteria for prioritizing the seven proposed pilot projects in April 2008:

1. Would help achieve goals and objectives of other programs and initiatives, such as, for example, the Puget Sound Partnership’s Action Agenda monitoring plan.
2. Would help make the process by which local governments must meet NPDES stormwater permit requirements more effective, cost-efficient, and collaborative.
3. Would involve a large number or broad representation of the parties who are involved in the Puget Sound Coordinated Monitoring Consortium.
4. Would strengthen the credibility of the science, making it more useful to policy-makers managers.
5. Would fit within the \$400,000 that Ecology is prepared to use to fund the pilots, and could attract financial support of federal and local jurisdictions.