

Incentive	Phase	Type	Recommend to RAC	Comment
1. Mandates require the user to use reclaimed water for specific uses when it is available or will be available for use within a specific timeframe.	II	Club	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application of mandate is situational – should not be needed if there is an adequate water supply. • Should also consider costs.
Incentive	Phase	Type	Recommend to RAC	Comment
2. Ensure that reclaimed water is considered equitably and routinely across the region.	II	Carrot	Yes to A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A - Ensure plans favor consideration for RW zones or use when available. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Yes to option A. a) - local ii. No to option A. b) - state • Further evaluate B. and remove barriers at local level (keep in files but don't forward to RAC).
3. You can do this if you guarantee the water.			No	Remove from list.
4. Set aside special development zones or create a less stringent regulatory environment for reclaimed water use			No	Table for further review, could be a tool at the local level.
5. Washington could exert control over planning and site locations of reclaimed water facilities			No	Washington already does this.
6. Removing barriers to increased reclaimed water use from building and health codes	III		No	Address this issue in technical guidance.
7. Lower tax rates and subsidized fees and rates to reclaimed water users	I	Carrot	Yes	<p>Using reclaimed water could be along the lines of paying higher rates for green power - at the local level. The RBSF should forward the idea to the funding group for further study. Could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State tax credit • Utility tax credit

8. <i>State/municipal financed reclaimed water project investment indemnification</i>	I	Carrot	Yes	Municipal of local entities could do this as a local incentive.
9. <i>Simplify the rules – reduce requirements and agency interaction</i>	I	Carrot	Yes	Washington is doing this already.
Incentive	Phase	Type	Recommend to RAC	Comment
10. <i>Green/sustainable social marketing, taking into account lifecycle analysis of reclaimed water to address true costs and compare with other water sources</i>			No	Table for later. Kathy suggested developing guidance as a first step towards building a sustainable program. Cradle to Cradle process.
11. <i>Offer potential reclaimed water producers rewards for developing new reclaimed water source</i>	I	Carrot	Yes	No comment
12. <i>Offer pollution tax credits to WWTPs that discharge reclaimed water to improve water quality</i>				Table the concept until further review to understand the intent of incentive.
13. <i>To promote reclaimed water use by offering credits to ease regulatory burdens</i>	I		Soft Yes	O. K. to move forward but all members were not in agreement to do so.
14. <i>Promote reclaimed water use by requiring reclaimed water use in state transportation projects</i>	III			Look to expanding current rule. Does it only apply to RW facilities? Rework the incentive to address potential issue and bring back to RBSF. Should other agencies be required to do this too?