

Oregon DEQ and Washington Ecology Draft AMT Schedule

Step	Oregon Timeline	Washington Timeline
<p>1. Review literature regarding biological effects of higher TDG, includes resident fish, anadromous fish, and other aquatic species.</p> <p>Mark Schneider's Resident Fish literature review.</p>	<p>Comments due March 4, 2008. Finalized in April 2008.</p> <p>Draft review of Mark's document TBD.</p>	<p>Comments due March 4, 2008. Finalized in April 2008.</p> <p>Draft review of Mark's document TBD.</p>
<p>2. Review information regarding benefits to salmon due allowed by removing the 115% requirement. This includes looking at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Increase in spill volumes * Juvenile survival at the concrete (dam) * Juvenile reach survival * Smolt to Adult Returns (SARs) * Delayed mortality * Water and fish travel times * Adult survival at the concrete <p>We will not reject any information on the above factors. So far, the AMT has suggested the following sources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * FPC and USACE spill volume reports (comments due March 4) * FPC's "importance of spill" presentation (Feb AMT) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * CSS (March AMT) * COMPASS (future AMT) 	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
<p>3. Summarize (and compare) #1 and #2, share with AMT.</p>	<p>A month or two after #1 and 2 is completed.</p>	<p>A month or two after #1 and 2 is completed.</p>
<p>4. Gather input from AMT and their proposals/ideas.</p>		<p>A month or two after #3 is done</p>
<p>5. ODEQ 30 day public comment period</p>	<p>A month or two after #3 is done, however will include #4 during the 30 day public comment period</p>	
<p>6. ODEQ and Ecology make decision</p>	<p>30 days after #5 is completed.</p>	<p>A few weeks after #5</p>
<p>7. If Ecology wants to change the water quality standards, it begins rule-making process (see RCW 34.05). Files the CR 101.</p>		<p>Same time as #6</p>

Step	Oregon Timeline	Washington Timeline
8. File CR 102. This includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Public comments period (min 30 days, usually 60) * Cost-Benefit analysis * Small business economic impact analysis * SEPA (DEIS or DNS) 		At least 30 days after #7
9. File CR103, adopting the new standards. This includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Responding to comments * Finalizing all documents filed with the CR 102. 		2-6 months after #8
10. USFWS and NOAA Fisheries consult on the rule under ESA and EPA approves the standards.		1 month or more after #9