

From: Lois Perry [REDACTED]  
Sent: Wednesday, July 04, 2012 9:11 AM  
To: Wessel, Ann (ECY)  
Subject: DOE Rules comments

Attn: Ann Wessel Department of Ecology

July 4, 2012

Happy Independence Day!

To whom it may concern:

We object to the Dungeness Water Management Plan (DOE) , and the "Rules" projected by DOE.

The process for the project is not credible nor based on true science.

It is laced with much pseudo science. The models are not valid. Your determination of hydraulic continuity, is not true.

Your economic analysis is completely corrupted and our tax dollars are being wasted .

The money spent between the Dungeness/Quilcene Project and the Chelan Agreement, (from late 80's) , linking our Peninsula, via the Dungeness River Management Team (DRMT) has been astronomical and we do not need to continue supporting your DOE staff, which has cost us thousands of dollars and millions are still projected.

We do not want and do not need your very expensive, "Rules" experimental project.

We can and always have successfully done our own resource management.

Please consider our comments. We agree with June 28th testimony, in Sequim, with Dick Pilling, Steve Marble, Marguerite Glover, and Kaj Ahlburg and many of those who testified against this process, which was recorded by DOE.

Dan and Lois Perry  
[REDACTED]