

From: Milo & Terri [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, July 04, 2012 8:32 PM
To: Wessel, Ann (ECY)
Subject: First, Do No Harm.....

Ms. Wessel,

Please know that my husband and I *strongly disagree* with what DOE is proposing on limitations of water usage. We *strongly* propose they stop the rule-making until the independent economic study is completed. We don't feel the rules/constraints are warranted, and DOE's own former economist, Mr. Hoff, indicated that the probable costs of implementing the rule far out-weighed the potential benefits that would be achieved upon implementation. By the way, why was he transferred to another area after voicing his concerns? Very interesting, or telling, don't you think?

The citizens that will be impacted by these limitations are emphatically against them so why shove them through before an honest evaluation of the entire situation is complete? Or maybe seek a more rational approach to the perceived problem? Or maybe there isn't even a problem? Or maybe there's a power grab? Hummm?

Please reconsider and delay the implementation of these rules until such time as you can convince the affected population, logically, that there is a valid reason for implementing them!

Respectfully,
Terri and Milo Walker