

<p>Washington Location Finder Business Team</p> <p>Water Quality Business Rules</p> <p>Meeting Summary</p>		<p>Wednesday March 21, 2007 Ecology Headquarters</p> <p>Room R1S-17 2:00-4:30 PM</p>
Attendees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Susan Braley (ECY-Water Quality Program) • Ken Koch (ECY-Water Quality Program) • Chad Brown (ECY-Environmental Assessment Program) • Laurie Morgan (ECY-WQ) • Scott Boettcher (ORA) • Joyce Smith, (ECY-WQ) • Patricia Betts (ECY-SEA) • Mark Finch (WDOT) • Elizabeth Lanzer (WDOT) • Mike Stephens (WDOT) • Deborah Naslund (WDNR) • Ronald McFarlane (NWIFC) • John Tooley (ECY) • Sharon O'Connor (ECY) • Darby Veeck (ECY) • Ewan Whitaker (ECY) 	

What is waterbody name used for:

- To match to aquatics database (Patty)
- To confirm the WQ Assessment listing status (Joyce)
- Reference for application (multiple)

How to handle small or unnamed waterbodies:

- Should have a choice for “unknown don’t know

Would a pick list of waterbody names be helpful:

- Just let application fill in the name they know, if their name is not in list it would be confusing (Joyce)

Filling in WRIA number/name

- Make it transparent to user and have field auto populated based on other spatial reference information

What WRIA number/name used for:

- Identify TMDLs
- Identify who should handle the application at the responsible agency
- More useful than WRIA number/name would be LLID

What is “Tributary of ___” used for

- Patty will get back to us
- Determine if TMDL in place (Joyce)

Use identifying 303d listed water for JARPA form:

- Responsible agency should make that determination not the applicant (Ken)
- It’s really important for the application to filter the parameters and mediums that apply to various permit types.

? Different year for some applications ?

For existing permits:

- Need to know what permits exist and be able to search for permits within a watershed/stream/jurisdictional area, etc. (Nancy Winters) out of scope, good to know

Make sure maps includes enough locational information such as local streets, orthos, etc so application can easily identify where the project and discharge is.

Some applications need both the project footprint and the discharge location(s). Must accommodate for multiple discharge locations (example DOT projects)

Verify is user in right location and correct waterbody with confirmation screen

If use search distance to find nearest stream/waterbody, could use flash or selection from list with selection on screen of same feature. Then user confirms, “This is my discharge location”

DOT wants to know “Just tell us what to report for the location, Lat/Long; LLID, measure, etc.”

There is a business requirement to identify both Facility location and outfall location(s). Issue: JARPA form only has the facility location.

For Stormwater the outfall is identified as the place where the discharge hits waters of the state? This can be near or distant from the facility itself.

Technical Item: There is a need for a WebMethod to determine LLID and RouteMeasure for the applicant-specified location.

Applicant needs ability to confirm listed waterbody

Washington Location Finder

When the applicant is indicating and verifying the location and receiving waters from a geo-processed location (such 303[d]listing); There is a need for a 'None of the above' or 'receiving water feature not shown' choice.

Applications need intelligence to select 303(d) information which is returned. For example a Construction Stormwater General Permit will need to filter for turbidity, fine sediment, phosphorus & pH from the returned list of parameters. This also implies that we should NOT show Listed Segments since this will be Permit-specific.

Fundamental question for follow up: Are we asking the user to complete this information (such as 303(d) list), or are we just asking for confirmation of the location?

Is the JARPA form subject to revision?

Tribal jurisdiction is a major issue. The group consensus was that permit applications within tribal areas need to be handled on a case by case basis since each tribal area has different rules and authorities. Perhaps this is just handled as local jurisdiction.

Suggestion to edit JARPA Form linked metadata to 'tell the applicant what the information is being used for'

Permitting activities need to identify both **Category 5** (Waters for which at least one characteristic or designated use is impaired, as evidenced by failure to attain the applicable water quality standard for one or more pollutants) and **Category 4A** (Waters where the data show that a characteristic use is impaired by a pollutant, but a TMDL addressing that impairment has already been developed and been approved by the US EPA)