

Washington State's
**Blue Ribbon Panel on Ocean
Acidification**

Meeting #6: August 8, 2012
Seattle, WA



MORNING AGENDA

9:00-9:30	Welcome and Expectations for the Day Bill Ruckelshaus and Jay Manning, Panel Co-Chairs Lara Whitely Binder, CIG
9:30-10:00	Update on Addressing CO₂ Emissions Reductions in the Report Jay Manning, Co-Chair
10:00-10:30	<i><u>Presentation and Panel Discussion</u></i> Plans for the Final Report and Communications about the Report <i>Hedia Adelsman, Washington Dept. of Ecology</i>
10:30-10:45	<i>Break</i>
10:45-12:30	<i><u>Presentation and Panel Discussion</u></i> Top Action Recommendations <i>Presented by workgroup leads</i>
12:30-12:45	Break to Get Lunch – <i>working lunch starting at 12:45</i>

AFTERNOON AGENDA

12:45-3:45
*(break from
2:00-2:15)*

Presentation and Discussion – cont'd (time subject to change)
Top Action Recommendations Discussion Continued

3:45-4:00

Next Steps and Meeting Close
Lara Whitely Binder, UW CIG and Panel Co-chairs

Public Comments can be submitted to lwb123@uw.edu

Process Status

Product	Current Status	Major Next Steps
Science summary	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Draft completed• Comments compiled• Comments will be evaluated starting 8/13	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>ongoing</i>: Science members review comments and identify needed changes• 8/8: Scientists brief Panel about any important changes• 8/13-9/20: Science summary edits made• 9/20-9/28: Layout• 10/1: science summary released with Panel report

Process Status

Product	Current Status	Major Next Steps
Science summary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft completed • Comments compiled • Comments will be evaluated starting 8/13 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>ongoing</i>: Science members review comments and identify needed changes • 8/8: Scientists brief Panel about any important changes • 8/13-9/20: Science summary edits made • 9/20-9/28: Layout • 10/1: science summary released with Panel report
Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Working towards consensus on the final recs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8/8: Presentation and discussion; final agreement on the recommendations by the Panel? • 8/10: written comments on all recs due • 8/13-8/17: resolution of any remaining issues; editing • 8/6-8/23: science writer starts integrating recs into draft report

Process Status

Product	Current Status	Major Next Steps
Science summary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft completed • Comments compiled • Comments will be evaluated starting 8/13 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>ongoing</i>: Science members review comments and identify needed changes • 8/8: Scientists brief Panel about any important changes • 8/13-9/20: Science summary edits made • 9/20-9/28: Layout • 10/1: science summary released with Panel report
Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Working towards consensus on the final recs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8/8: Presentation and discussion; final agreement on the recommendations by the Panel? • 8/10: written comments on all recs due • 8/13-8/17: resolution of any remaining issues; editing • 8/6-8/23: science writer starts integrating recs into draft report
Communications strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In development, implementing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue developing and updating panel

Process Status

Product	Current Status	Major Next Steps
Final report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Science writer drafting content • Outline drafted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>ongoing</i>: First draft prepared • 8/8: Briefing to Panel on expected format • 8/13-8/14: Internal review of zero-order draft by Hedia, Lara & co-chairs (8/13-8/16) • 8/15-8/23: First draft prepared • 8/24-8/31 (shortened): Panel review of first draft; comments due no later than Fri, 8/31 (<i>no extensions!</i>) • 9/4-9/12: Edits made • 9/13: Final Panel review of final draft. Comments due by noon on 9/17 (<i>no extensions!</i>) • 9/18: Final Panel meeting; report approval • 9/18-9/20 (noon): Final edits • 9/20-9/28: final formatting and preparation for delivery to the Governor • 10/1: delivery to the Governor

Workgroups' Charge in Preparation for Today

Continue refining actions to include:

- The rationale/description for the recommendation
- Whether the recommendation can be done with existing authority or whether legislation is needed to implement;
- Implementation lead & partner(s);
- Implementation time frame: near term (< 5 years), medium term (5-10 years), long term (10 + years); and
- Estimated cost.

Prioritize actions and/or sequence

Primary Goal and Expected Outcome: Aug 8

Focusing on the “Top 18”:

Delineate where we have consensus, and where we do not, identify what issues need to be resolved to get to consensus.

What about the rest?

- Will cover as much as possible during meeting
- Submit written comments to Lara by c.o.b. Friday, August 10
- Comments will be synthesized and given to workgroups
- Remaining issues to be resolved via conference calls or e-mail during week of August 13.
- Panel members will have opportunity to review all the recommendations in the August 23 report draft.

Evaluation Criteria

The Spock Test

“Is the action logical?”

Does the action make sense given what we know and don't know about ocean acidification?



Evaluation Criteria

The Goldilocks Test

“Is the action just right?”

Is the action at the right level? We don't want recommendations that are too broad or overly-prescriptive.



Evaluation Criteria

The Upgrade Test

“Does the action make it better?”

Where there is overlap with existing activities, does the action add value to those activities by enhancing or accelerating the activities?



We don't want to reinvent the wheel unless we need a better wheel.